## ANALYSIS OF PINELLAS COUNTY POINT-IN-TIME (PIT) DATA FROM 2018 THROUGH 2022
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Each year, the number of individuals in Emergency, Transitional, and Safe Haven Shelters in Pinellas County, as well as a count of Unsheltered homeless individuals, is provided to the Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD). These data, along with supplemental data collected through the Unsheltered Point-inTime Homeless Survey, Pinellas County Schools, and the Pinellas County Jail are reported to provide a broader understanding of the Pinellas County homeless population. This year, we present data collected from 2018 through 2022 to examine trends across time to gain insights concerning the nature of the homeless population.

Due to the effects of COVID-19, an Unsheltered Homeless Count was not conducted in 2021. Jail data were not available for 2021. Homeschooling at the end of 2019-2020 and throughout the 2020-2021 school year affected reporting through the Pinellas County Schools. These conditions largely made data reported in 2021 not comparable to data collected in prior years. However, in most cases, trends begun prior to the effects of COVID-19 were resumed in data collected in 2022. 2021 data was excluded from the current report to help clarify these trends.

The total number of homeless individuals in the Point-in-Time (PIT) Survey Count has declined to 1,985 persons in 2022 (Table 1). This continues a downtrend from 2018 onward. The decline has been driven by lower Emergency Shelter (Table 6) and Unsheltered (Table 9) homeless individuals. The decline in Pinellas Safe Harbor (Table 6) from 379 individuals in 2018 to 172 individuals in 2022 is associated most strongly with the decline in individuals in Emergency Shelters. The Unsheltered homeless count has declined from 965 in 2018 to 644 in 2022.

The Pinellas County Sherriff's Jail Count (Table 16) had increased from 621 in 2018 to 714 in 2020. This trend reversed in 2022 with 626 individuals reported. Declines were found in both Clearwater and St. Petersburg from 2020 to 2022. The decline of 81 individuals in Clearwater was larger than the decline of 21 individuals in St. Petersburg.

The School Count population (Tables 17 and 18) had increased yearly through 2019 when 4,372 students were reported. This number decreased to 4,244 in 2020 with the onset of COVID-19. With return to onsite schooling in 2022, the upward trend seen through year 2019 resumed. 4,645 students were reported in 2022. These data indicate that there is a substantial population of individuals living with others or "couch surfing" that are not included in the PIT Count yet are nevertheless facing challenges associated with housing. Data indicate that a higher percentage of the School homeless population are African American ( $41.3 \%$ in 2022) relative to the nonhomeless population ( $18.3 \%$ in 2022).

The number of Unaccompanied Youth in the HUD data (Table 20) have declined from 143 in 2018 to 40 in 2022. The School data (Table 22) suggest that the number of Unaccompanied Youth may be larger if the couch surfing population is considered. While larger than the HUD data, School Unaccompanied Youth have also declined in size from 518 in 2018 to 370 in 2022.

Pinellas County continues to have the highest rate of homeless Veterans in the PIT Count in the state of Florida ${ }^{1}$. The count shows no clear trend upward or downward from 2018 to 2022. The total number of homeless Veterans in 2018 was 281 while the count in 2022 was 310 . Rates fluctuated in the interim years.

Data from 2019 through 2022 (Table 25) have consistently indicated that a large percentage of Unsheltered homeless individuals have either been lifetime Pinellas County residents ( $21.3 \%$ in 2022) or have been in

[^0]Pinellas one year or longer prior to becoming homeless ( $47.5 \%$ in 2022). A smaller percentage ( $12.8 \%$ in 2022) have been present in Pinellas County one week or less before becoming homeless.

Unsheltered Homeless Survey data indicate that a majority of the Unsheltered homeless population reside in St . Petersburg and Clearwater (Table 27). The population in St. Petersburg has declined yearly from 517 in 2018 to 291 in 2022. Despite a spike to 206 individuals in 2020, the Clearwater population has declined from 199 in 2018 to 131 in 2022. Remaining areas with populations above 10 individuals in 2022 include Largo (75), Lealman (37), Pinellas Park (39), and Tarpon Springs (26).

Subpopulation data (Table 28) consistently indicate that a significant percentage of the overall adult homeless population is affected by challenges associated with a Serious Mental Illness ( $23 \%$ in 2022) and/or Substance Use Disorder ( $18.3 \%$ in 2022). The rate of Adult Survivors of Domestic Violence spiked to $14.5 \%$ ( 245 individuals) among adults in 2022 from $7.1 \%$ ( 76 individuals) in 2021. The number of individuals reporting HIV/AIDS in 2022 (40) was the highest total in the 2018 to 2022 period. The total number of Unsheltered individuals who were ever in Foster Care (Table 29) declined to 97 ( $15.1 \%$ ) in 2022 from 128 (15.8\%) in 2020. This was consistent with declines seen overall in the Unsheltered population. There remains a significant number of Chronically Homeless individuals (451) and Veteran individuals (77) in 2022, while the number of Chronically Homeless families (9) and Veteran families (0) is much smaller.

Unsheltered homeless individuals consistently report Financial Problems as the most proximal cause of homelessness across years (Table 31). Family Break-Up /Family Problems/Family Issues, Medical Concern/Disability, Incarceration/Jail/Criminal History, and Alcohol or Drug Problems are the next four most likely responses. While Eviction is reported as the cause of homelessness by $5.7 \%$ of the population in 2022, separate questions suggest that lifetime eviction rates may be between $20 \%$ and $30 \%$, with $10 \%$ of individuals evicted two or more times.

Survey responses suggest that Unsheltered homeless individuals in Pinellas County have lower levels of access to health services or a medical clinic than the general U.S. population ${ }^{2} .71 \%$ of Unsheltered homeless individuals in Pinellas County report having access to services while $88.6 \%$ of the U.S. general population report having a usual place to go for medical care.

Homeless individuals in Pinellas County (Table 35) report sexual orientation consistent with estimates in the general U.S. population. $91 \%$ of Unsheltered homeless individuals report themselves to be heterosexual; 2\% report being gay or lesbian, and 3\% report being bisexual.

Approximately $41 \%$ of Unsheltered homeless individuals surveyed indicate having attained less than a GED (Table 36), which is a much higher rate than in the general U.S. population ${ }^{3}$.

Point-in-Time results are discussed in relation to the housing crisis that currently exists across Florida and in Pinellas County ${ }^{4}$.

[^1]
## INTRODUCTION

Each year, each Continuum of Care (COC) is required to report the number of homeless persons within its community to the Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD). The count takes place on a single night within the last ten days of January and includes both sheltered and unsheltered persons. Sheltered persons are those residing in Emergency Shelters (ES), Transitional Housing (TH), or Safe Havens (SH). Unsheltered persons (UN) are those residing in places not meant for human habitation. The two primary sources for the data are the Homeless Management Information System (HMIS) for sheltered persons and the Point-in-Time (PIT) Homeless Survey for unsheltered persons.

In addition to data provided to HUD, data are obtained each year from the Pinellas County Jail and the Pinellas County School District. These data do not meet inclusion criteria for HUD yet are valuable toward efforts to estimate the size of the homeless population not classified as literally homeless.

This report examines data across each of these sources for years 2018 through 2022. Data for year 2021 are excluded as data collection issues related to COVID-19 made these data not comparable to prior years or to 2022.

## METHOD

## DATA

## POINT-IN-TIME HOMELESS SURVEY DATA

The Point-in-Time (PIT) Survey count is a count of sheltered and unsheltered people experiencing homelessness on a single night in January. HUD requires that Continuums of Care conduct an annual count of people experiencing homelessness who are sheltered in Emergency Shelters, Transitional Housing, and Safe Havens on a single night. Demographic and survey questions are asked in accord with HUD reporting requirements. Supplemental questions are included to provide useful information beyond the HUD requirements.

HOMELESS MANAGEMENT INFORMATION SYSTEM (HMIS) DATA

HMIS is used to report data for individuals residing in Emergency Shelters, Transitional Housing, and Safe Havens on the night of the PIT Count. HMIS contains data required by HUD.

## NON-HMIS SHELTERED DATA

For the shelters not participating in HMIS - including shelters who serve victims of domestic violence - a separate survey is administered to obtain the aggregate data necessary for the HUD count and supplemental questions.

The Jail Count is comprised of individuals residing in the Pinellas County Jail on the night of the PIT Count who were arrested while living on the street or a place which falls under the definition of not being meant for human habitation prior to entering the facility or reported an address of "transient" at booking. These individuals are not considered literally homeless according to HUD. As such, these data are provided separately.

## PINELLAS COUNTY SCHOOLS DATA

The School Count identifies students who are Living in Hotels or Motels, Living in Emergency Shelters or Transitional Housing, Unsheltered, or Sharing Housing with Others. Students are identified through an initial survey at the start of the school year and are then added throughout the year as they come to the attention of district staff.

The Department of Education uses a broader definition of homelessness to include youth who "lack a fixed, regular, and nighttime residence". The School Count is a cumulative count of all students who were identified as homeless throughout the school year. Each year the School Count concludes during the final week in July. The School Count only includes the student and does not include individuals with whom the student resides. Due to these differences, the School Count and the Point-in-Time Count are not analogous. However, the School Count does provide a perspective on the impact of housing pressures beyond the effects captured in the Point-in-Time Count.

## ANALYSIS

To fulfill the requirement that the Housing Inventory Count (HIC) and Point-in-Time (PIT) Counts must match exactly, Point-in-Time Homeless Survey respondents who reported living in shelters are cross-checked with the sheltered dataset. If they are not found in that dataset, they are designated as living in a place not meant for habitation. Additionally, Point-in-Time Homeless Survey respondents indicating that they are unsheltered are designated as being sheltered if they are found in the sheltered dataset.

Reporting data consistent with HUD requirements involves combining data concerning demographics, chronicity, disability status, Veteran status, Foster Care status, and domestic violence across homeless survey data, HMIS data, and non-HMIS shelter data. Homeless survey data are included in HUD counts if the individual reported residing in a place not meant for habitation. Non-HUD data for those reporting Couch Surfing are reported separately.

## OVERALL HOMELESS DATA

Results presented in Table 1 include the total number of homeless households and persons for 2018-2022. Overall data for HUD, non-HUD survey (i.e., Couch Surfing), Jail, and School are presented first. These totals are then combined in a stepwise fashion to display combined results.

## Table 1

| Overall Homeless Data |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 | 2022 |
| HUD |  |  |  |  |
| Households | 2,346 | 2,152 | 1,979 | 1,658 |
| Persons | 2,612 | 2,415 | 2,226 | 1,985 |
| Non-HUD Survey (i.e., Couch Surfing) |  |  |  |  |
| Households | 198 | 204 | 160 | 64 |
| Persons | 217 | 238 | 178 | 64 |
| Jail |  |  |  |  |
| Households | 621 | 635 | 714 | 626 |
| Persons | 621 | 635 | 714 | 626 |
| School |  |  |  |  |
| Households | 4,238 | 4,372 | 4,244 | 4,649 |
| Persons | 4,238 | 4,372 | 4,244 | 4,649 |
| TOTAL HUD and non-HUD |  |  |  |  |
| Households | 2,544 | 2,356 | 2,139 | 1,722 |
| Persons | 2,829 | 2,653 | 2,404 | 2,049 |
| TOTAL HUD, non-HUD, and Jail |  |  |  |  |
| Households | 3,165 | 2,991 | 2,853 | 2,348 |
| Persons | 3,450 | 3,288 | 3,118 | 2,675 |
| TOTAL HUD, non-HUD, Jail, and School Data |  |  |  |  |
| Households | 7,403 | 7,363 | 7,097 | 6,997 |
| Persons | 7,688 | 7,660 | 7,362 | 7,324 |

Jail totals are consistent across years with the 2022-year total of 626 being almost identical to the 2018-year total of 621. School data have trended upward from years 2018 to 2022.

Results presented in Tables 2 through 5 separate the total number of households and persons across household types.

The school data only includes students and not others with whom students may be living. Adult and Child student totals are included below as having households of unknown composition.

Table 2

| Pinellas County Schools: Overall Homeless Data for <br> Households of Unknown Composition |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2018 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Adult | 2019 | 2020 | 2022 |  |
| Households | 315 | 300 | 283 | 261 |
| Persons | 315 | 300 | 283 | 261 |
| Child |  |  |  |  |
| Households | 3,923 | 4,072 | 3,961 | 4,384 |
| Persons | 3,923 | 4,072 | 3,961 | 4,384 |
| Total |  |  |  |  |
| Households | 4,238 | 4,372 | 4,244 | 4,649 |
| Persons | 4,238 | 4,372 | 4,244 | 4,649 |

Each year the school data underrepresents the total number of individuals at risk for homelessness because the student is the one reported in the total. The student's family is not included in these totals, which would increase the overall number of individuals at risk for homelessness in Pinellas County. These numbers have trended upward from year 2018 to 2022, with the 2022-year total of 4,645 being the highest total recorded.

Table 3

| Overall Homeless Data for Households with No Children |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| HUD | 2018 |  |  |  |
| Households | 2,198 | 1,994 | 1,844 | 1,506 |
| Persons | 2,214 | 2,003 | 1,854 | 1,520 |
| Non-HUD Survey (i.e., Couch Surfing) |  |  |  |  |
| Households | 189 | 194 | 154 | 64 |
| Persons | 191 | 195 | 157 | 64 |
| Jail |  |  |  |  |
| Households | 621 | 635 | 714 | 626 |
| Persons | 621 | 635 | 714 | 626 |
| TOTAL HUD and non-HUD |  |  |  |  |
| Households | 2,387 | 2,188 | 1,998 | 1,570 |
| Persons | 2,405 | 2,198 | 2,011 | 1,584 |
| TOTAL HUD, non-HUD, and Jail |  |  |  |  |
| Households | 3,008 | 2,823 | 2,712 | 2,196 |
| Persons | 3,026 | 2,833 | 2,725 | 2,210 |

Households with No Children are the most prevalent household type. The downtrend in HUD totals for Households with No Children mirror the downtrend seen overall in HUD data from years 2018 to 2022. All jail data include Households with No Children and have remained relatively consistent from years 2018 to 2022 with the exception of the higher total of 714 persons in year 2020.

Table 4

| Overall Homeless Data for Adult/Child Households |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 | 2022 |
| HUD | 110 | 127 | 118 | 139 |
| Households | 359 | 381 | 350 | 449 |
| Persons | 7 | 10 | 6 | 0 |
| Non-HUD Survey (i.e., Couch Surfing) |  |  |  |  |
| Households | 24 | 43 | 21 | 0 |
| Persons |  |  |  |  |
| Jail |  |  |  |  |
| Households | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Persons | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL HUD and non-HUD |  |  |  |  |
| Households | 117 | 137 | 124 | 139 |
| Persons | 383 | 426 | 371 | 449 |
| TOTAL HUD, non-HUD, and Jail |  |  |  |  |
| Households | 117 | 137 | 124 | 139 |
| Persons | 383 | 426 | 371 | 449 |

The number of persons in Adult/Child households in Table 4 reached the highest level in 2022 with 449 persons despite the survey not capturing any families in the couch surfing data.

## Table 5

| Overall Homeless Data for Households with Children Only |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 | 2022 |
| HUD | 38 | 31 | 17 | 13 |
| Households | 39 | 31 | 22 | 16 |
| Persons | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Non-HUD Survey (i.e., Couch Surfing) |  |  |  |  |
| Households | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Persons |  |  |  |  |
| Jail |  |  |  |  |
| Households | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Persons | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL HUD and non-HUD |  |  |  |  |
| Households | 40 | 31 | 17 | 13 |
| Persons | 41 | 31 | 22 | 16 |
| TOTAL HUD, non-HUD, and Jail |  |  |  |  |
| Households | 40 | 31 | 17 | 13 |
| Persons | 41 | 31 | 22 | 16 |

Households with Children Only are pregnant and/or parenting teenagers being reported at shelters without an adult present. These are low base rate data that have been trending downward from year 2018 to 2022. These data suggest that teenagers access housing outside of shelter supports.

## HOMELESS DATA BY SHELTER TYPE

Data below list occupancy counts for each shelter in the HUD survey from year 2018 to 2022. This is done separately for Emergency, Transitional, and Safe Haven shelters.

## Table 6

| HUD Homeless Count by Shelter- EMERGENCY SHELTERS |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 | 2022 |
| Catholic Charities, Pinellas Hope | 189 | 176 | 187 | 185 |
| Community Action Stops Abuse (CASA) | 112 | 100 | 97 | 82 |
| Directions for Living ESG-CV St. Petersburg Hotel/Motel Vouchers | - | - | - | 35 |
| Family Promise of Pinellas County | 13 | 8 | 1 | - |
| Family Resources, SafePlace2B, Clearwater | 5 | 2 | 4 | 2 |
| Family Resources, SafePlace2B, St. Petersburg | 7 | 6 | 0 | 1 |
| HLA (Formerly HLB) Disaster Related Services | 27 | - | - |  |
| Homeless Emergency Project (HEP) Emergency Shelter | 82 | 90 | 76 | 37 |
| Homeless Emergency Project (HEP) Homeless Outreach | 25 | 23 | 26 | 15 |
| Hope Villages of America Grace House | 76 | 55 | 50 | 70 |
| Hope Villages of America The Haven Emergency Shelter | 27 | 35 | 33 | 18 |
| People That Love Church and Mission, Men's Shelter | 2 | - | - |  |
| Pinellas County Sheriff's Office, Pinellas Safe Harbor | 379 | 315 | 260 | 172 |
| Pinellas Hope, Medical Respite Services | 3 | 5 | 4 | 1 |
| Salvation Army, St. Petersburg BCBH Respite Program | - | - | - | 2 |
| Salvation Army, St. Petersburg Residential Center, Families | 16 | 19 | 18 | 18 |
| Salvation Army, St. Petersburg Residential Center, Individuals | 81 | 75 | 76 | 50 |
| Salvation Army, St. Petersburg Respite Care Program | - | - | - | 5 |
| Salvation Army, St. Petersburg VA Medical Respite | 1 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| St. Petersburg Free Clinic, Family Housing Program | 41 | 50 | 25 |  |
| St. Vincent de Paul ESG-CV St. Petersburg Hotel/Motel Vouchers | - | - | - | 11 |
| St. Vincent de Paul St. Petersburg Bridging Families HLA Collaborative SEHA | - | - | - | 16 |
| St. Vincent de Paul St. Petersburg Bridging Families HLA Collaborative Master Lease | - | - | - | 26 |
| St. Vincent de Paul St. Petersburg Family Shelter Program | 68 | 63 | 61 | 36 |
| St. Vincent de Paul St. Petersburg JWB Family Shelter Congregate Shelter | - | - | - | 74 |
| St. Vincent de Paul St. Petersburg Nighttime Shelter | 68 | 69 | 69 | 58 |
| St. Vincent de Paul St. Petersburg Supportive Services for Veteran Families Priority 2 Non-Congregate Shelter | - | - | - | 68 |
| St. Vincent de Paul St. Petersburg VA 20 Emergency Shelter | 18 | 19 | 7 | 16 |
| WestCare Gulfcoast Florida Inc. Turning Point | 59 | 66 | 52 | 33 |
| TOTAL FOR EMERGENCY SHELTERS | 1,299 | 1,179 | 1,049 | 1,034 |

The Emergency Shelter total has trended downward from year 2018 to 2022. This downward trend is driven largely by declines in Pinellas Safe Harbor occupancy rates during this period. Declines in Pinellas Safe Harbor from 2020 to 2022 were also likely impacted by the effects of COVID-19.

Table 7

| HUD Homeless Count by Shelter- SAFE HAVENS |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2018 |  |  |  |  |
| Boley Centers, Mid-County Safe Haven, Morningside | 2019 | 2020 | 2022 |  |
| Boley Centers, Safe Haven Apartments | 17 | 17 | 18 | 12 |
| St. Vincent de Paul South, VA Low Demand | 23 | 22 | 22 | 11 |
| St. Vincent de Paul South, VA Low Demand 5 | - | 19 | 15 | 26 |
| WestCare, Mustard Seed GPD | - | 4 | 4 | - |
| TOTAL ACROSS SAFE HAVENS | - | 14 | 8 | - |

Occupancy rates for both Boley Centers shelters have declined from year 2018 to 2022. St. Vincent de Paul South, VA Low Demand 5 and WestCare, Mustard Seed GDP projects ended. Inclusion of these had increased the Safe Haven total in 2019 and 2020.

Table 8

| HUD Homeless Count by Shelter- TRANSITIONAL HOUSING |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 | 2022 |
| ALPHA House Florida Transitional Housing | 27 | 21 | 21 | 17 |
| Boley Centers, Jerry Howe GPD Bridge Housing | 2 | 4 | 1 | 4 |
| Boley Centers, Jerry Howe Transitional Apartments | 8 | 9 | 9 | 8 |
| Brookwood Florida, Central Transitional Housing | 6 | 14 | 12 | 2 |
| Community Action Stops Abuse (CASA) Transitional Housing | - | - | - | 22 |
| Family Resources, SafePlace2BToo | 2 | - | - | - |
| Family Resources, SafePlace2BToo, Demo | 3 | - | - | - |
| Florida Resurrection House | - | 27 | 15 | 33 |
| Homeless Emergency Project (HEP) GPD Transitional Housing | 32 | 32 | 39 | 17 |
| Homeless Emergency Project (HEP) Transitional Housing | 31 | 30 | 29 | 18 |
| Kimberly Home, Transitional Housing, Single Females | 18 | 22 | 18 |  |
| Salvation Army, Clearwater Hope Crest Transitional Housing | 9 | 31 | 42 | 32 |
| Salvation Army, St. Petersburg Re-Entry Program | 3 | - | - | - |
| St Vincent de Paul South, VA Bridge Housing | 20 | 22 | 6 | 18 |
| St Vincent de Paul South, VA Hospital to Home | 6 | 7 | 6 | 1 |
| St Vincent de Paul South, VA Low Demand | 22 | - | - | - |
| St. Petersburg Free Clinic, Men's Residence | 19 | 24 | 23 | 12 |
| St. Petersburg Free Clinic, Women's Residence | 46 | 50 | 41 | 23 |
| St. Vincent de Paul South, SRO Transitional Housing | 3 | - | - | - |
| WestCare, Mustard Seed Inn GPD Transitional Housing | 15 | - | - | - |
| WestCare, The Mustard Seed Inn | 36 | 33 | 40 | 51 |
| TOTAL ACROSS ALL TRANSITIONAL HOUSING | 326 | 302 | 258 |  |

Changes in Transitional Housing totals from year 2018 to 2022 were largely dependent on which projects were included in the count. The count increases when facilities are added, such as inclusion of Florida Resurrection House in year 2019 and declines when facilities are excluded, such as Kimberly Home in year 2021. Declines from 2020 to 2022 at facilities such as the St. Petersburg Free Clinic, Women's and Men's Residences were related to the impact of COVID-19.

Table 9

| TOTALS BY SHELTER TYPE |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 | 2022 |
| Emergency Shelters | 1,299 | 1,179 | 1,049 | 1,034 |
| Safe Havens | 40 | 76 | 67 | 49 |
| Transitional Housing | 308 | 326 | 302 | 258 |
| Unsheltered | 965 | 834 | 808 | 644 |
| FINAL HUD TOTALS | 2,612 | 2,415 | 2,226 | 1,985 |

The final HUD total has been in a downtrend from year 2018 to 2022. The downtrend is associated with the lower number of persons reported by Pinellas Safe Harbor, the reclassification of Transitional Housing to nonHUD housing, and a downward trend in the number of Unsheltered persons included in the Homeless Survey Count. CARES and ARPA funding have been associated with declines from 2020 to 2022. The CoC has added family and Veteran shelter space through Non-Congregate shelters. The CoC has also been able to increase its investment in Homeless Prevention and Diversion services.

DEMOGRAPHIC DATA
Table 10

| Demographic Data for Total HUD Sample |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2018 |  | 2019 |  | 2020 |  | 2022 |  |
|  | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% |
| Total number of Households | 2,346 |  | 2,152 |  | 1,979 |  | 1,658 |  |
| Total number of Persons | 2,612 |  | 2,415 |  | 2,226 |  | 1,985 |  |
| Number of Children (under age 18) | 264 | 10.1\% | 271 | 11.2\% | 230 | 10.3\% | 299 | 15.1\% |
| Number of Persons (age 18 to 24) | 129 | 4.9\% | 89 | 3.7\% | 119 | 5.3\% | 58 | 2.9\% |
| Number of Persons (over age 24) | 2,219 | 85.0\% | 2,055 | 85.1\% | 1,877 | 84.3\% | 1,628 | 82.0\% |
| Female | 826 | 31.6\% | 760 | 31.5\% | 695 | 31.2\% | 677 | 34.1\% |
| Male | 1,781 | 68.2\% | 1,650 | 68.3\% | 1,528 | 68.6\% | 1,300 | 65.5\% |
| Transgender | 5 | 0.2\% | 4 | 0.2\% | 3 | 0.1\% | 4 | 0.2\% |
| Gender that is not singularly 'Female' or 'Male' | - | - | 1 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0.0\% | 3 | 0.2\% |
| Questioning | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 0.1\% |
| Non-Hispanic/Non-Latin(a)(o)(x) | 2,382 | 91.2\% | 2,253 | 93.3\% | 2,055 | 92.3\% | 1,846 | 93.0\% |
| Hispanic/Latin(a)(o)(x) | 230 | 8.8\% | 162 | 6.7\% | 171 | 7.7\% | 139 | 7.0\% |
| White | 1,623 | 62.1\% | 1,527 | 63.2\% | 1,379 | 61.9\% | 1,138 | 57.3\% |
| Black, African American, or African | 858 | 32.8\% | 764 | 31.6\% | 718 | 32.3\% | 723 | 36.4\% |
| Asian or Asian American | 7 | 0.3\% | 8 | 0.3\% | 8 | 0.4\% | 14 | 0.7\% |
| American Indian, Alaska Native, or Indigenous | 23 | 0.9\% | 17 | 0.7\% | 25 | 1.1\% | 29 | 1.5\% |
| Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander | 9 | 0.3\% | 3 | 0.1\% | 10 | 0.4\% | 12 | 0.6\% |
| Multiple Races | 92 | 3.5\% | 96 | 4.0\% | 86 | 3.9\% | 77 | 3.9\% |

The relative percentage of children and females increased in 2022 after remaining consistent from years 2018 through 2020 due to increases in families in shelter data. Similarly, the relative percentage of Black or African Americans increased in 2022 after remaining consistent from years 2018 to 2020. These increases were not large yet are valid given the strong consistency in prior years.

Table 11

| Demographic Data for Emergency Shelters |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2018 |  | 2019 |  | 2020 |  | 2022 |  |
|  | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% |
| Total number of Households | 1,053 |  | 980 |  | 877 |  | 77 |  |
| Total number of Persons | 1,299 |  | 1,179 |  | 1,049 |  | 1,034 |  |
| Number of Children (under age 18) | 222 | 17.1\% | 194 | 16.5\% | 158 | 15.1\% | 229 | 22.1\% |
| Number of Persons (age 18 to 24) | 65 | 5.0\% | 48 | 4.1\% | 68 | 6.5\% | 39 | 3.8\% |
| Number of Persons (over age 24) | 1,012 | 77.9\% | 937 | 79.5\% | 823 | 78.5\% | 766 | 74.1\% |
| Female | 479 | 36.9\% | 417 | 35.4\% | 367 | 35.0\% | 420 | 40.6\% |
| Male | 817 | 62.9\% | 760 | 64.5\% | 682 | 65.0\% | 612 | 59.2\% |
| Transgender | 3 | 0.2\% | 2 | 0.2\% | 0 | 0.0\% | 1 | 0.1\% |
| Gender that is not singularly 'Female' or 'Male' | - | - | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0.0\% |
| Questioning | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 0.1\% |
| Non-Hispanic/Non-Latin(a)(o)(x) | 1,163 | 89.5\% | 1,089 | 92.4\% | 963 | 91.8\% | 959 | 92.7\% |
| Hispanic/Latin(a)(o)(x) | 136 | 10.5\% | 90 | 7.6\% | 86 | 8.2\% | 75 | 7.3\% |
| White | 777 | 59.8\% | 701 | 59.5\% | 600 | 57.2\% | 549 | 53.1\% |
| Black, African American, or African | 461 | 35.5\% | 421 | 35.7\% | 400 | 38.1\% | 423 | 40.9\% |
| Asian or Asian American | 5 | 0.4\% | 4 | 0.3\% | 6 | 0.6\% | 6 | 0.6\% |
| American Indian, Alaska Native, or Indigenous | 7 | 0.5\% | 2 | 0.2\% | 5 | 0.5\% | 2 | 0.2\% |
| Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander | 2 | 0.2\% | 3 | 0.3\% | 2 | 0.2\% | 9 | 0.9\% |
| Multiple Races | 47 | 3.6\% | 48 | 4.1\% | 36 | 3.4\% | 45 | 4.4\% |

The relative percentage of children, females, and Black or African Americans in the Emergency Shelter data reached their highest levels in 2022. These are incorporated into the overall demographic data reviewed in Table 10.

Table 12

| Demographic Data for Transitional Housing |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2018 |  | 2019 |  | 2020 |  | 2022 |  |
|  | N | $\%$ | N | $\%$ | N | $\%$ | N | $\%$ |
| Total number of Households | 289 |  | 277 |  | 244 |  | 197 |  |
| Total number of Persons | 308 |  | 326 |  | 302 |  | 258 |  |
| Number of Children (under age 18) | 38 | $12.3 \%$ | 71 | $21.8 \%$ | 67 | $22.2 \%$ | 70 | $27.1 \%$ |
| Number of Persons (age 18 to 24) | 22 | $7.1 \%$ | 19 | $5.8 \%$ | 23 | $7.6 \%$ | 5 | $1.9 \%$ |
| Number of Persons (over age 24) | 248 | $80.5 \%$ | 236 | $72.4 \%$ | 212 | $70.2 \%$ | 183 | $70.9 \%$ |
| Female | 115 | $37.3 \%$ | 150 | $46.0 \%$ | 139 | $46.0 \%$ | 114 | $44.2 \%$ |
| Male | 193 | $62.7 \%$ | 176 | $54.0 \%$ | 163 | $54.0 \%$ | 143 | $55.4 \%$ |
| Transgender | 0 | $0.0 \%$ | 0 | $0.0 \%$ | 0 | $0.0 \%$ | 1 | $0.4 \%$ |
| Gender that is not singularly 'Female' or | - | - | 0 | $0.0 \%$ | 0 | $0.0 \%$ | 0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| 'Male' | - | - | - | - | - | - | 0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| Questioning | 288 | $93.5 \%$ | 298 | $91.4 \%$ | 275 | $91.1 \%$ | 232 | $89.9 \%$ |
| Non-Hispanic/Non-Latin(a)(o)(x) | 20 | $6.5 \%$ | 28 | $8.6 \%$ | 27 | $8.9 \%$ | 26 | $10.1 \%$ |
| Hispanic/Latin(a)(o)(x) | 210 | $68.2 \%$ | 198 | $60.7 \%$ | 195 | $64.6 \%$ | 131 | $50.8 \%$ |
| White | 88 | $28.6 \%$ | 99 | $30.4 \%$ | 91 | $30.1 \%$ | 108 | $41.9 \%$ |
| Black, African American, or African | 1 | $0.3 \%$ | 2 | $0.6 \%$ | 1 | $0.3 \%$ | 4 | $1.6 \%$ |
| Asian or Asian American | 0 | $0.0 \%$ | 2 | $0.6 \%$ | 1 | $0.3 \%$ | 0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| American Indian, Alaska Native, or |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Indigenous | 0 | $0.0 \%$ | 0 | $0.0 \%$ | 0 | $0.0 \%$ | 1 | $0.4 \%$ |
| Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander | 0 | $2.9 \%$ | 25 | $7.7 \%$ | 14 | $4.6 \%$ | 14 | $5.4 \%$ |
| Multiple Races |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

The relative percentage of children and Black or African Americans in the Transitional Housing data increased in 2022, This trend is incorporated into the overall demographic data.

Table 13

| Demographic Data for Safe Havens |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2018 |  | 2019 |  | 2020 |  | 2022 |  |
|  | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% |
| Total number of Households | 40 |  | 76 |  | 67 |  | 49 |  |
| Total number of Persons | 40 |  | 76 |  | 67 |  | 49 |  |
| Number of Children (under age 18) | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0.0\% |
| Number of Persons (age 18 to 24) | 0 | 0.0\% | 1 | 1.3\% | 5 | 7.5\% | 0 | 0.0\% |
| Number of Persons (over age 24) | 40 | 100.0\% | 75 | 98.7\% | 62 | 92.5\% | 49 | 100.0\% |
| Female | 4 | 10.0\% | 7 | 9.2\% | 4 | 6.0\% | 4 | 8.2\% |
| Male | 36 | 90.0\% | 69 | 90.8\% | 63 | 94.0\% | 45 | 91.8\% |
| Transgender | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0.0\% |
| Gender that is not singularly 'Female' or 'Male' | - | - | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0.0\% |
| Questioning | - | - | - | - | - | - | 0 | 0.0\% |
| Non-Hispanic/Non-Latin(a)(o)(x) | 36 | 90.0\% | 72 | 94.7\% | 60 | 89.6\% | 47 | 95.9\% |
| Hispanic/Latin(a)(0)(x) | 4 | 10.0\% | 4 | 5.3\% | 7 | 10.4\% | 2 | 4.1\% |
| White | 30 | 75.0\% | 56 | 73.7\% | 44 | 65.7\% | 30 | 61.2\% |
| Black, African American, or African | 7 | 17.5\% | 19 | 25.0\% | 20 | 29.9\% | 16 | 32.7\% |
| Asian or Asian American | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0.0\% |
| American Indian, Alaska Native, or Indigenous | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0.0\% |
| Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0.0\% |
| Multiple Races | 3 | 7.5\% | 1 | 1.3\% | 3 | 4.5\% | 3 | 6.1\% |

Safe Haven data are largely comprised of adult males. The sample size is too small to interpret small changes in demographics from year to year.

Table 14

| Demographic Data for Unsheltered Persons |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2018 |  | 2019 |  | 2020 |  | 2022 |  |  |
|  | N | $\%$ | N | $\%$ | N | $\%$ | N | $\%$ |  |
| Total number of Households | 964 |  | 819 |  | 791 |  | 635 |  |  |
| Total number of Persons | 965 |  | 834 |  | 808 |  | 644 |  |  |
| Number of Children (under age 18) | 4 | $0.4 \%$ | 6 | $0.7 \%$ | 5 | $0.6 \%$ | 0 | $0.0 \%$ |  |
| Number of Persons (age 18 to 24) | 42 | $4.4 \%$ | 21 | $2.5 \%$ | 23 | $2.8 \%$ | 14 | $2.2 \%$ |  |
| Number of Persons (over age 24) | 919 | $95.2 \%$ | 807 | $96.8 \%$ | 780 | $96.5 \%$ | 630 | $97.8 \%$ |  |
| Female | 228 | $23.6 \%$ | 186 | $22.3 \%$ | 185 | $22.9 \%$ | 139 | $21.6 \%$ |  |
| Male | 735 | $76.2 \%$ | 645 | $77.3 \%$ | 620 | $76.7 \%$ | 500 | $77.6 \%$ |  |
| Transgender | 2 | $0.2 \%$ | 2 | $0.2 \%$ | 3 | $0.4 \%$ | 2 | $0.3 \%$ |  |
| Gender that is not singularly 'Female' or | - | - | 1 | $0.1 \%$ | 0 | $0.0 \%$ | 3 | $0.5 \%$ |  |
| 'Male' | - | - | - | - | - | - | 0 | $0.0 \%$ |  |
| Questioning | 895 | $92.7 \%$ | 794 | $95.2 \%$ | 757 | $93.7 \%$ | 608 | $94.4 \%$ |  |
| Non-Hispanic/Non-Latin(a)(o)(x) | 70 | $7.3 \%$ | 40 | $4.8 \%$ | 51 | $6.3 \%$ | 36 | $5.6 \%$ |  |
| Hispanic/Latin(a)(o)(x) | 606 | $62.8 \%$ | 572 | $68.6 \%$ | 540 | $66.8 \%$ | 420 | $65.2 \%$ |  |
| White | 302 | $31.3 \%$ | 225 | $27.0 \%$ | 207 | $25.6 \%$ | 176 | $27.3 \%$ |  |
| Black, African American, or African | 1 | $0.1 \%$ | 2 | $0.2 \%$ | 1 | $0.1 \%$ | 4 | $0.6 \%$ |  |
| Asian or Asian American | 16 | $1.7 \%$ | 13 | $1.6 \%$ | 19 | $2.4 \%$ | 27 | $4.2 \%$ |  |
| American Indian, Alaska Native, or | 7 | $0.7 \%$ | 0 | $0.0 \%$ | 8 | $1.0 \%$ | 2 | $0.3 \%$ |  |
| Indigenous | 33 | $3.4 \%$ | 22 | $2.6 \%$ | 33 | $4.1 \%$ | 15 | $2.3 \%$ |  |
| Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Multiple Races |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

The demographic profile of Unsheltered individuals is highly consistent across years and comprised mostly of adult, non-Hispanic males of White or Black or African American race.

NON-HUD RESPONSES TO THE POINT-IN-TIME HOMELESS SURVEY
Table 15

| Non-HUD Responses to the Point-in-Time Homeless Survey |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 | 2022 |
| Couch Surfing | 217 | 238 | 178 | 64 |

Non-HUD responses to the Point-in-Time Homeless Survey include those persons whose reported status is not classified as being literally homeless by HUD definitions. These persons are reported as couch surfing. There is a large decline from 178 individuals in 2020 to 64 in 2022. These data contradict the increase in couch surfing individuals in the school data. The school data is more reliable with respect to these data.

Table 16

| Jail Data Across Years |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2018 |  | 2019 |  | 2020 |  | 2022 |  |
|  | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% |
| Race |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Asian | 2 | 0.3 | 1 | 0.2 | 5 | 0.7 | 1 | 0.2 |
| Black | 164 | 26.4 | 158 | 24.9 | 192 | 26.9 | 178 | 28.4 |
| Hispanic | 73 | 11.8 | 71 | 11.2 | 91 | 12.7 | 37 | 5.9 |
| White | 382 | 61.5 | 405 | 63.8 | 426 | 59.7 | 410 | 65.5 |
| Gender |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Female | 78 | 12.6 | 98 | 15.4 | 109 | 15.3 | 92 | 14.7 |
| Male | 543 | 87.4 | 537 | 84.6 | 605 | 84.7 | 534 | 85.3 |
| Location |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Clearwater | 307 | 49.4 | 286 | 45.0 | 343 | 48.0 | 262 | 41.9 |
| Largo | 52 | 8.4 | 45 | 7.1 | 57 | 8.0 | 48 | 7.7 |
| Pinellas Park | 20 | 3.2 | 32 | 5.0 | 24 | 3.4 | 24 | 3.8 |
| St. Petersburg | 183 | 29.5 | 193 | 30.4 | 238 | 33.3 | 217 | 34.7 |
| Other | 59 | 9.5 | 79 | 12.4 | 52 | 7.3 | 75 | 12.0 |
| Total | 621 |  | 635 |  | 714 |  | 626 |  |

The jail data increased sharply in 2020 after showing small increases in prior years. The reversion to 626 individuals in year 2022 suggests that this trend has been reversed. Future year data is necessary to confirm this reversal as a trend.

Table 17

| Pinellas County Schools: Homeless Data for Students Aged 17 and Younger |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 | 2022 |
|  | N | N | N | N |
| Living in Hotels or Motels | 545 | 446 | 455 | 757 |
| Living in Emergency or Transitional Housing | 578 | 524 | 513 | 451 |
| Unsheltered | 92 | 195 | 171 | 112 |
| Sharing Housing | 2,708 | 2,907 | 2,822 | 3,054 |
| HOMELESS TOTAL | 3,923 | 4,072 | 3,961 | 4,374 |
| Not Homeless | 99,689 | 96,849 | 95,080 | 91,235 |
| TOTAL | 103,612 | 100,921 | 99,041 | 95,609 |
| PERCENTAGE REPORTED AS HOMELESS | $3.8 \%$ | $4.0 \%$ | $4.0 \%$ | $4.6 \%$ |

Year 2022 school data confirm a clear increasing trend from 2018 to 2022. Economic effects are evident in the increases in reports of children living in hotels or motels.

Table 18

| Pinellas County Schools: Homeless Data for Students Aged 18 and Older |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 | 2022 |
|  | N | N | N | N |
| Living in Hotels or Motels | 15 | 21 | 21 | 37 |
| Living in Emergency or Transitional Housing | 39 | 34 | 32 | 29 |
| Unsheltered | 6 | 16 | 10 | 5 |
| Sharing Housing | 255 | 229 | 220 | 204 |
| HOMELESS TOTAL | 315 | 300 | 283 | 275 |
| Not Homeless | 7,825 | 9,045 | 8,372 | 8,190 |
| TOTAL | 8,140 | 9,345 | 8,655 | 8,465 |
| PERCENTAGE REPORTED AS HOMELESS | $3.9 \%$ | $3.2 \%$ | $3.3 \%$ | $3.3 \%$ |

The adult population in the schools has remained consistent in year 2022 relative to 2019 in terms of the Percentage Reported as Homeless. The child population is more representative of actual trends.

Table 19

| Pinellas County Schools: Racial Composition of the Homeless Population |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HOMELESS POPULATION |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2018 |  | 2019 |  | 2020 |  | 2022 |  |
|  | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% |
| Asian | 65 | 1.5\% | 72 | 1.6\% | 78 | 1.8\% | 100 | 2.2\% |
| Black | 1,738 | 41.0\% | 1,787 | 40.9\% | 1,689 | 39.8\% | 1,920 | 41.3\% |
| Hispanic | 726 | 17.1\% | 761 | 17.4\% | 727 | 17.1\% | 802 | 17.3\% |
| Ind/Alaskan | 10 | 0.2\% | 6 | 0.1\% | 5 | 0.1\% | 7 | 0.2\% |
| Multi-Racial | 239 | 5.6\% | 205 | 4.7\% | 256 | 6.0\% | 306 | 6.6\% |
| White | 1,460 | 34.5\% | 1,541 | 35.2\% | 1,489 | 35.1\% | 1,514 | 32.6\% |
| Not Provided | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0.0\% |
| TOTAL | 4,238 |  | 4,372 |  | 4,244 |  | 4,649 |  |
| NON-HOMELESS POPULATION |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2018 |  | 2019 |  | 2020 |  | 2022 |  |
|  | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% |
| Asian | 4,878 | 4.5\% | 4,810 | 4.5\% | 4,635 | 4.5\% | 4,321 | 4.3\% |
| Black | 19,880 | 18.5\% | 19,563 | 18.5\% | 19,012 | 18.4\% | 18,206 | 18.3\% |
| Hispanic | 18,733 | 17.4\% | 18,888 | 17.8\% | 18,940 | 18.3\% | 19,293 | 19.4\% |
| Ind/Alaskan | 210 | 0.2\% | 201 | 0.2\% | 168 | 0.2\% | 164 | 0.2\% |
| Multi-Racial | 4,739 | 4.4\% | 4,830 | 4.6\% | 4,868 | 4.7\% | 5,169 | 5.2\% |
| White | 59,070 | 54.9\% | 57,602 | 54.4\% | 55,829 | 54.0\% | 52,272 | 52.6\% |
| Not Provided | 4 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0.0\% |
| TOTAL | 107,514 |  | 105,894 |  | 103,452 |  | 99,425 |  |

School data indicate consistent differences across years in the racial composition of the homeless population relative to the non-homeless population. The homeless population is more likely to include Black or African American individuals relative to the non-homeless population. In 2022, $41.3 \%$ of the homeless population was Black or African American with $32.6 \%$ White. Whereas in the non-homeless population, $18.3 \%$ of students were Black or African American and $52.5 \%$ were White.

## UNACCOMPANIED AND PARENTING YOUTH

Unaccompanied Youth and Parenting Youth in the HUD data are reported in Tables 20 and 21. Unaccompanied Youth in the school data are presented separately in Table 22. These results are not combined with the HUD data as they are collected throughout the school year and students are not removed from the dataset if they obtain housing.

Table 20

| Total Unaccompanied Youth Across Years |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2018 |  | 2019 |  | 2020 |  | 2022 |  |
|  | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% |
| Number of unaccompanied youth households | 140 |  | 94 |  | 90 |  | 40 |  |
| Total number of unaccompanied youth | 143 |  | 94 |  | 91 |  | 40 |  |
| Number of unaccompanied children | 37 | 25.9\% | 31 | 33.0\% | 14 | 15.4\% | 10 | 25.0\% |
| Unaccompanied young adults (age 18 to 24) | 106 | 74.1\% | 63 | 67.0\% | 77 | 84.6\% | 30 | 75.0\% |
| Gender (unaccompanied youth only) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Female | 58 | 40.6\% | 49 | 52.1\% | 37 | 40.7\% | 15 | 37.5\% |
| Male | 85 | 59.4\% | 45 | 47.9\% | 53 | 58.2\% | 24 | 60.0\% |
| Transgender | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0.0\% | 1 | 1.10\% | 1 | 2.5\% |
| Gender that is not singularly 'Female' or 'Male' | - | - | - | - | - | - | 0 | 0.0\% |
| Questioning | - | - | - | - | - | - | 0 | 0.0\% |
| Ethnicity (unaccompanied youth only) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Non-Hispanic/Non-Latin(a)(o)(x) | 125 | 87.4\% | 82 | 87.2\% | 78 | 85.7\% | 38 | 95.0\% |
| Hispanic/Latin(a)(0)(x) | 18 | 12.6\% | 12 | 12.8\% | 13 | 14.3\% | 2 | 5.0\% |
| Race (unaccompanied youth only) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| White | 80 | 55.9\% | 55 | 58.5\% | 54 | 59.3\% | 19 | 47.5\% |
| Black, African American, or African | 49 | 34.3\% | 28 | 29.8\% | 32 | 35.2\% | 11 | 27.5\% |
| Asian or Asian American | 0 | 0.0\% | 1 | 1.1\% | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0.0\% |
| American Indian, Alaska Native, or Indigenous | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0.0\% | 1 | 2.5\% |
| Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander | 3 | 2.1\% | 0 | 0.0\% | 1 | 1.1\% | 1 | 2.5\% |
| Multiple Races | 11 | 7.7\% | 10 | 10.6\% | 4 | 4.4\% | 8 | 20.0\% |

The total number of Unaccompanied Youth in the HUD data has trended downward from year 2018 to 2022.

Table 21

| Total Parenting Youth Across Years |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2018 |  | 2019 |  | 2020 |  | 2022 |  |
|  | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% |
| Number of parenting youth households | 16 |  | 21 |  | 29 |  | 17 |  |
| Persons In parenting youth households | 47 |  | 58 |  | 73 |  | 42 |  |
| Parenting youth (youth parents only) | 17 |  | 22 |  | 32 |  | 19 |  |
| Number of parenting youth (under age 18) | 1 | 5.9\% | 0 | 0.0\% | 4 | 12.5\% | 3 | 15.8\% |
| Number of parenting youth (age 18 to 24) | 16 | 94.1\% | 22 | 100\% | 28 | 87.5\% | 16 | 84.2\% |
| Number of children with parenting youth | 30 |  | 36 |  | 41 |  | 23 |  |
| Gender (parenting youth only) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Female | 15 | 88.2\% | 20 | 90.9\% | 29 | 90.6\% | 17 | 89.5\% |
| Male | 2 | 11.8\% | 2 | 9.1\% | 3 | 9.4\% | 2 | 10.5\% |
| Transgender | 0 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.00\% | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0.0\% |
| Gender that is not singularly 'Female' or 'Male' | - | - | - | - | - | - | 0 | 0.0\% |
| Questioning | - | - | - | - | - | - | 0 | 0.0\% |
| Ethnicity (parenting youth only) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Non-Hispanic/Non-Latin(a)(o)(x) | 14 | 82.4\% | 18 | 81.8\% | 31 | 96.9\% | 15 | 78.9\% |
| Hispanic/Latin(a)(0)(x) | 3 | 17.6\% | 4 | 18.2\% | 1 | 3.1\% | 4 | 21.1\% |
| Race (parenting youth only) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| White | 9 | 52.9\% | 5 | 22.7\% | 13 | 40.6\% | 4 | 21.1\% |
| Black, African American, or African | 8 | 47.1\% | 17 | 77.3\% | 19 | 59.4\% | 13 | 68.4\% |
| Asian or Asian American | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0.0\% |
| American Indian, Alaska Native, or Indigenous | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0.0\% | 1 | 5\% |
| Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0.0\% |
| Multiple Races | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0.0\% | 1 | 5\% |

The total number of Parenting Youth and Parenting Youth households do not show a clear trend across years. Numbers are similar in year 2022 (19 Parenting Youth and 42 individuals) to year 2018 (17 Parenting Youth and 47 individuals).

The school dataset also specifies whether each student is Accompanied or Unaccompanied.
Table 22

| Pinellas County Schools: Unaccompanied Youth |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 | 2022 |
| Age 17 and Younger | 376 | 355 | 327 | 266 |
| Age 18 to 24 | 142 | 114 | 125 | 107 |
| Total Unaccompanied | 518 | 469 | 452 | 373 |
| Total Homeless | 4,238 | 4,372 | 4,244 | 4,649 |
| Percentage Unaccompanied | $12.2 \%$ | $10.7 \%$ | $10.7 \%$ | $8.0 \%$ |

The total number of Unaccompanied Youth in the school data has declined from year 2018 to 2022 while the overall number of homeless students has increased.

Table 23


The total number of homeless Veterans in Pinellas County is higher in year 2022 than in 2018 yet there is variability across years. The number of Veterans in the Unsheltered count was highest in year 2022 despite the overall decline in the Unsheltered count.

Table 24

| Veteran Household Demographic Data Across Years |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2018 |  | 2019 |  | 2020 |  | 2022 |  |
|  | Total | \% | Total | \% | Total | \% | Total | \% |
| Total number of households | 281 |  | 316 |  | 265 |  | 310 |  |
| Total number of persons | 281 |  | 327 |  | 271 |  | 322 |  |
| Total number of Veterans | 281 |  | 316 |  | 265 |  | 310 |  |
| Gender (Veterans only) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Female | 15 | 5.3\% | 21 | 6.6\% | 14 | 5\% | 19 | 6.1\% |
| Male | 266 | 94.7\% | 295 | 93.4\% | 251 | 95\% | 289 | 93.2\% |
| Transgender | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0\% | 2 | 0.6\% |
| Gender that is not singularly 'Female' or 'Male' | - | - | - | - | - | - | 0 | 0.0\% |
| Questioning | - | - | - | - | - | - | 0 | 0.0\% |
| Ethnicity (Veterans only) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Non-Hispanic/Non-Latin(a)(0)(x) | 267 | 95.0\% | 302 | 95.6\% | 253 | 95\% | 297 | 95.8\% |
| Hispanic/Latin(a)(0)(x) | 14 | 5.0\% | 14 | 4.4\% | 12 | 5\% | 13 | 4.2\% |
| Race (Veterans only) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| White | 201 | 71.5\% | 232 | 73.4\% | 182 | 69\% | 202 | 65.2\% |
| Black, African American, or African | 71 | 25.3\% | 75 | 23.7\% | 75 | 28\% | 87 | 28.1\% |
| Asian or Asian American | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0.0\% | 1 | 0.4\% | 1 | 0.3\% |
| American Indian, Alaska Native, or Indigenous | 1 | 0.4\% | 2 | 0.6\% | 1 | 0.4\% | 5 | 1.6\% |
| Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0.0\% | 1 | 0.4\% | 0 | 0.0\% |
| Multiple Races | 8 | 2.8\% | 7 | 2.2\% | 5 | 2\% | 15 | 4.8\% |

The demographic profile of Veterans in the HUD data has remained similar across years. In 2022, $93.2 \%$ of Veterans were male, $4.2 \%$ were Hispanic/Latino, and $28.1 \%$ were African American.

Table 25

| How long were in you in Pinellas County before you became homeless? |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2018 |  | 2019 |  | 2020 |  | 2022 |  |
|  | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% |
| 1 week or less | 141 | 15.2\% | 82 | 10.1\% | 96 | 12.3\% | 79 | 12.8\% |
| More than 1 week, less than 1 month | 46 | 5.0\% | 27 | 3.3\% | 18 | 2.3\% | 22 | 3.6\% |
| 1 to 3 months | 68 | 7.4\% | 40 | 4.9\% | 47 | 6.0\% | 31 | 5.0\% |
| More than 3 months, less than 12 months | 71 | 7.7\% | 82 | 10.1\% | 77 | 9.9\% | 61 | 9.9\% |
| 1 Year or longer | 599 | 64.8\% | 430 | 53.0\% | 404 | 51.7\% | 294 | 47.5\% |
| Lifetime resident | - | - | 151 | 18.6\% | 139 | 17.8\% | 132 | 21.3\% |
| Total | 925 |  | 812 |  | 781 |  | 619 |  |
| Don't know | 8 |  | 6 |  | 7 |  | 7 |  |
| Refused | 0 |  | 1 |  | 3 |  | 3 |  |
| Missing | 32 |  | 15 |  | 17 |  | 15 |  |

Approximately $70 \%$ of the Unsheltered homeless population reports having been in Pinellas for one year or longer before becoming homeless. $12.8 \%$ report being in Pinellas County for one week or less before becoming homeless in year 2022.

Table 26

| Number of Times Homeless in the Last Three Years |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2018 |  | 2019 |  | 2020 |  | 2022 |  |
| 1 time | 394 | $43.3 \%$ | 154 | $21.4 \%$ | 232 | $34.3 \%$ | 188 | $33.0 \%$ |
| 2 times | 158 | $17.4 \%$ | 84 | $11.7 \%$ | 78 | $11.5 \%$ | 66 | $11.6 \%$ |
| 3 times | 103 | $11.3 \%$ | 78 | $10.8 \%$ | 60 | $8.9 \%$ | 61 | $10.7 \%$ |
| 4 or more times | 255 | $28.0 \%$ | 403 | $56.1 \%$ | 307 | $45.3 \%$ | 255 | $44.7 \%$ |
| Total | 910 |  | 719 |  | 677 |  | 570 |  |
| Missing | 55 |  | 115 |  | 131 |  | 74 |  |

The number of times Unsheltered individuals report being homeless in the last three years was similar in 2020 and 2022. In 2022, approximately one-third are homeless for the first time while $44.7 \%$ report being homeless four or more times.

Table 27

| What Area Do You Mainly Stay In? |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2018 |  | 2019 |  | 2020 |  | 2022 |  |
| Clearwater | 199 | $21.5 \%$ | 163 | $20.1 \%$ | 206 | $25.5 \%$ | 131 | $20.9 \%$ |
| Dunedin | 5 | $0.5 \%$ | 8 | $1.0 \%$ | 0 | $0.0 \%$ | 5 | $0.8 \%$ |
| Gulfport | 4 | $0.4 \%$ | 2 | $0.2 \%$ | 1 | $0.1 \%$ | 5 | $0.8 \%$ |
| Kenneth City | 1 | $0.1 \%$ | 0 | $0.0 \%$ | 3 | $0.4 \%$ | 6 | $1.0 \%$ |
| Largo | 39 | $4.2 \%$ | 42 | $5.2 \%$ | 80 | $9.9 \%$ | 75 | $11.9 \%$ |
| Lealman | 40 | $4.3 \%$ | 44 | $5.4 \%$ | 54 | $6.7 \%$ | 37 | $5.9 \%$ |
| Other | 4 | $0.4 \%$ | 16 | $2.0 \%$ | 16 | $2.0 \%$ | 5 | $0.8 \%$ |
| Pasco County | 3 | $0.3 \%$ | 3 | $0.4 \%$ | 0 | $0.0 \%$ | 3 | $0.5 \%$ |
| Pinellas Park | 52 | $5.6 \%$ | 37 | $4.6 \%$ | 58 | $7.2 \%$ | 39 | $6.2 \%$ |
| St. Petersburg | 517 | $55.9 \%$ | 433 | $53.5 \%$ | 335 | $41.5 \%$ | 291 | $46.3 \%$ |
| St. Pete Beach | 15 | $1.6 \%$ | 13 | $1.6 \%$ | 11 | $1.4 \%$ | 3 | $0.5 \%$ |
| Tampa | 2 | $0.2 \%$ | 6 | $0.7 \%$ | 0 | $0.0 \%$ | 2 | $0.3 \%$ |
| Tarpon Springs | 44 | $4.8 \%$ | 42 | $5.2 \%$ | 22 | $2.7 \%$ | 26 | $4.1 \%$ |
| TOTAL | 925 |  | 809 |  | 786 |  | 628 |  |
| Missing | 39 |  | 24 |  | 19 |  | 12 |  |
| Doesn't know | 1 |  | 1 |  | 2 |  | 4 |  |
| Refused | 0 |  | 0 |  | 1 |  | 0 |  |

Across years, St. Petersburg and Clearwater have been reported as the most common location for Unsheltered individuals. The number of individuals surveyed in Clearwater and St. Petersburg has declined from year 2018 to 2022. The decline in Clearwater from 2020 to 2022 was larger than the decline in St. Petersburg. This results in St. Petersburg containing a larger percentage of the overall population in 2022 relative to 2020.

## HUD SUBPOPULATION DATA

Table 28

| HUD Subpopulation Data Across Years | 2018 |  | 2019 |  | 2020 |  | 2022 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2,347 |  | 2,144 |  | 1,996 |  | 1,686 |  |
| Total Adults Aged 18+ | 483 | $20.6 \%$ | 676 | $31.5 \%$ | 426 | $21.3 \%$ | 388 | $23.0 \%$ |
| Adults with a Serious Mental IIlness | 465 | $19.8 \%$ | 613 | $28.6 \%$ | 314 | $15.7 \%$ | 308 | $18.3 \%$ |
| Adults with a Substance Use Disorder | 37 | $1.6 \%$ | 26 | $1.2 \%$ | 33 | $1.7 \%$ | 40 | $2.4 \%$ |
| Adults with HIV/AIDS | 184 | $7.8 \%$ | 191 | $8.9 \%$ | 164 | $8.2 \%$ | 245 | $14.5 \%$ |
| Adult Survivors of Domestic Violence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Rates of adults with a Serious Mental Illness and adults with a Substance Use Disorder vary yearly due to rates of disclosure and efforts to reliably assess the existence of these conditions. In year 2022, 388 adults reported a Serious Mental Illness and 308 reported a Substance Use Disorder.

2022 had the highest number of adults reporting HIV/AIDS (40 adults and 2.4\% of the adult sample).
While the rate of Adult Survivors of Domestic Violence remained consistent from year 2018 to 2020, this rate spiked to $14.5 \%$ with 245 individuals in year 2022. This is consistent with the higher number of families reported in the year 2022 dataset.

Table 29

| Were you ever in Foster Care? |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2018 |  | 2019 |  | 2020 |  | 2022 |  |
|  | N | $\%$ | N | $\%$ | N | $\%$ | N | $\%$ |
|  | 117 | $12.1 \%$ | 124 | $14.9 \%$ | 128 | $15.8 \%$ | 97 | $15.1 \%$ |
| No | 838 | $86.8 \%$ | 710 | $85.1 \%$ | 680 | $84.2 \%$ | 547 | $84.9 \%$ |
| Total | 965 |  | 834 |  | 808 |  | 644 |  |

The total number of Unsheltered homeless individuals who report having ever been in Foster Care declined in year 2022 to 97 . This is consistent with the decline seen in the overall sample. The relative percentage of Unsheltered individuals who were previously in Foster Care has remained consistent near 15\% of the sample from 2019 to 2022.

Table 30

| Chronic Homeless Data Across Years | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 | 2022 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 417 | 718 | 557 |
| Chronically Homeless Individuals | 7 | 1 | 14 | 9 |
| Chronically Homeless Families | 17 | 4 | 33 | 32 |
| Persons in Chronically Homeless Families | 37 | 100 | 57 | 77 |
| Chronically Homeless Veteran Individuals | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Chronically Homeless Veteran Families | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| Persons in Chronically Homeless Veteran Families |  |  |  |  |

The number of Chronically Homeless individuals and Veterans was highest in year 2019. There remains a significant number of Chronically Homeless individuals (451) and Veteran individuals (77) in year 2022. Chronic Homelessness among families in the HUD sample has remained at a low base rate.

REPORTED REASON FOR HOMELESSNESS
Table 31

| Reported Reason for Current Homeless Episode |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2018 |  | 2019 |  | 2020 |  | 2022 |  |
|  | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% |
| Financial Problems | 383 | 41.9\% | 314 | 39.5\% | 310 | 41.1\% | 223 | 37.2\% |
| Family Break-Up/Family Problems/ Family Issues | 172 | 18.8\% | 143 | 18.0\% | 136 | 18.0\% | 111 | 18.5\% |
| Medical Concern/Disability | 118 | 12.9\% | 97 | 12.2\% | 105 | 13.9\% | 73 | 12.2\% |
| Alcohol or Drug Problems | 96 | 10.5\% | 88 | 11.1\% | 66 | 8.8\% | 44 | 7.3\% |
| Eviction | 45 | 4.9\% | 41 | 5.2\% | 43 | 5.7\% | 34 | 5.7\% |
| Mental Health Problems | 27 | 3.0\% | 25 | 3.1\% | 22 | 2.9\% | 28 | 4.7\% |
| Foreclosure | 22 | 2.4\% | 4 | 0.5\% | 5 | 0.7\% | 13 | 2.2\% |
| Natural/Other Disaster | 22 | 2.4\% | 12 | 1.5\% | 5 | 0.7\% | 16 | 2.7\% |
| Incarceration/Jail/Criminal History | 16 | 1.8\% | 45 | 5.7\% | 44 | 5.8\% | 46 | 7.7\% |
| Domestic Violence | 9 | 1.0\% | 24 | 3.0\% | 18 | 2.4\% | 9 | 1.5\% |
| Recent Immigration | 3 | 0.3\% | 1 | 0.1\% | 0 |  | 2 | 0.3\% |
| Total | 913 |  | 794 |  | 754 |  | 599 |  |

When asked the reason for the current homeless episode, individuals are most likely to report Financial Problems as the most proximal reason for homelessness. Family Break-Up /Family Problems/Family Issues, Medical Concern/Disability, Incarceration/Jail/Criminal History, and Alcohol or Drug Problems are the next four most likely responses. Each year, eviction is reported as the fifth or sixth most likely reason for the current homeless episode, yet new questions asked in 2020 suggest a higher lifetime prevalence for eviction among the homeless population in Pinellas County (Tables 32 and 33).

## EVICTION DATA

Table 32

| Have you been evicted in Pinellas County? |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Couch Surfing |  |  |  | Unsheltered |  |  |  |
|  | 2020 |  | 2022 |  | 2020 |  | 2022 |  |
|  | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% |
| Yes | 41 | 23\% | 18 | 28\% | 167 | 21\% | 147 | 23\% |
| No/No Answer | 137 | 77\% | 46 | 72\% | 641 | 79\% | 497 | 77\% |
| Total | 178 |  | 64 |  | 808 |  | 644 |  |

The reported lifetime eviction rate among the Unsheltered homeless population was similar in years 2020 ( $21 \%$ ) and $2022(23 \%)$. The higher rate in year 2022 among the couch surfing population ( $28 \%$ ) may be a product of small sample size.

Table 33

| How many prior evictions? | Couch Surfing |  |  |  | Unsheltered |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2020 |  | 2022 |  | 2020 |  | 2022 |  |
|  | N | $\%$ | N | $\%$ | N | $\%$ | N | $\%$ |
| 0 | 123 | $69 \%$ | 43 | $67 \%$ | 570 | $71 \%$ | 440 | $68 \%$ |
| 1 | 37 | $21 \%$ | 12 | $19 \%$ | 158 | $20 \%$ | 142 | $22 \%$ |
| 2 or more | 18 | $10 \%$ | 9 | $14 \%$ | 80 | $10 \%$ | 62 | $10 \%$ |
| Total | 178 |  | 64 |  | 808 |  | 644 |  |

When asked how many prior evictions overall - regardless of whether in Pinellas or elsewhere - the rates in the Unsheltered population were consistent in years 2020 (30\%) and 2022 (32\%).

## ACCESS TO HEALTHCARE

## Table 34

| Do you have access to health services or a medical clinic? |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Couch Surfing |  |  |  | Unsheltered |  |  |  |
|  | 2020 |  | 2022 |  | 2020 |  | 2022 |  |
|  | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% |
| Yes | 118 | 74\% | 46 | 73\% | 593 | 76\% | 411 | 71\% |
| No | 42 | 26\% | 17 | 27\% | 184 | 24\% | 171 | 29\% |
| Total | 160 |  | 63 |  | 777 |  | 582 |  |

Approximately one-quarter of Unsheltered individuals report having access to health services or a medical clinic. Rates are similar in years $2020(24 \%)$ and 2022 ( $29 \%$ ). This compares to $87.6 \%$ of individuals in the U.S. population who report having a usual place to go for medical care ${ }^{5}$.

## SEXUAL ORIENTATION

Table 35

| Do you consider yourself to be... | Couch Surfing |  |  |  |  | Unsheltered |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2020 |  | 2022 |  | 2020 |  | 2022 |  |
|  | N | $\%$ | N | $\%$ | N | $\%$ | N | $\%$ |
|  | 142 | $88 \%$ | 53 | $83 \%$ | 717 | $92 \%$ | 567 | $91 \%$ |
| Gay or lesbian | 4 | $2 \%$ | 3 | $5 \%$ | 22 | $3 \%$ | 15 | $2 \%$ |
| Bisexual | 10 | $6 \%$ | 8 | $13 \%$ | 24 | $3 \%$ | 18 | $3 \%$ |
| Unsure or questioning | 3 | $2 \%$ | 0 | $0 \%$ | 4 | $1 \%$ | 1 | $0 \%$ |
| Other/not listed | 1 | $1 \%$ | 0 | $0 \%$ | 6 | $1 \%$ | 6 | $1 \%$ |
| Client Refused | 1 | $1 \%$ | 0 | $0 \%$ | 9 | $1 \%$ | 14 | $2 \%$ |
| Total | 161 |  | 64 |  | 782 |  | 621 |  |
| No Answer | 17 |  | 0 |  | 26 |  | 23 |  |

$91 \%$ of Unsheltered individuals report being straight or heterosexual. Overall, these rates do not differ widely from rates surveyed in the general population, where up to $2 \%$ report being gay and up to $6 \%$ report being bisexual ${ }^{6}$. Gay, lesbian, and bisexual respondents were distributed similarly to the overall population with the majority located in Clearwater or St. Petersburg.
${ }^{5}$ CDC.gov, FastStats: Access to Health Care. Available at https://www.cdc.gov/nchs/fastats/access-to-healthcare.htm
${ }^{6}$ Sexual Behavior, Sexual Attraction, and Sexual Orientation Among Adults Aged 18-44 in the United States:
Data From the 2011-2013 National Survey of Family Growth. Available at https://www.cdc.gov/nchs/data/nhsr/nhsr088.pdf

Table 36

| What was the last grade you completed in school? |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Couch Surfing |  |  | Unsheltered |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2020 |  | 2022 |  | 2020 |  | 2022 |  |
|  | N | $\%$ | N | $\%$ | N | $\%$ | N | $\%$ |
| Less than 5 | 1 | $1 \%$ | 0 | $0 \%$ | 5 | $1 \%$ | 2 | $0 \%$ |
| Grade 5-6 | 1 | $1 \%$ | 1 | $2 \%$ | 9 | $1 \%$ | 8 | $1 \%$ |
| Grade 7-8 | 2 | $1 \%$ | 1 | $2 \%$ | 33 | $4 \%$ | 17 | $3 \%$ |
| Grade 9-11 | 48 | $30 \%$ | 21 | $33 \%$ | 181 | $23 \%$ | 161 | $26 \%$ |
| GED | 16 | $10 \%$ | 5 | $8 \%$ | 77 | $10 \%$ | 68 | $11 \%$ |
| High School Diploma | 60 | $37 \%$ | 16 | $25 \%$ | 273 | $35 \%$ | 211 | $33 \%$ |
| Some College | 18 | $11 \%$ | 10 | $16 \%$ | 126 | $16 \%$ | 96 | $15 \%$ |
| Vocational Certification | 0 | $0 \%$ | 1 | $2 \%$ | 12 | $2 \%$ | 9 | $1 \%$ |
| Associate Degree | 9 | $6 \%$ | 6 | $9 \%$ | 37 | $5 \%$ | 24 | $4 \%$ |
| Bachelor's degree | 6 | $4 \%$ | 2 | $3 \%$ | 29 | $4 \%$ | 24 | $4 \%$ |
| Graduate Degree | 1 | $1 \%$ | 1 | $2 \%$ | 8 | $1 \%$ | 11 | $2 \%$ |
| Total | 162 |  | 64 |  | 790 |  | 631 |  |
| Refused | 0 |  | 0 |  | 1 |  | 0 |  |
| Missing | 16 |  | 0 |  | 17 |  | 13 |  |

Approximately $30 \%$ of Unsheltered individuals surveyed indicated having attained less than a GED. This compares to estimates of $10.2 \%$ in the general population ${ }^{7}$. Rates were consistent between years 2020 and 2022.
${ }^{7}$ Digest of Education Statistics, Rates of high school completion and bachelor's degree attainment among persons aged 25 and over, by race/ethnicity and sex: Selected years, 1910 through 2018. Available at https://nces.ed.gov/programs/digest/d18/tables/dt18_104.10.asp

## DISCUSSION

The 2022 Florida Council on Homelessness Annual Report states that "Florida is facing a housing crisis that will result in an increase in homelessness if resources are not prioritized toward affordable rental housing for the most vulnerable households". In Pinellas County, prior to 2020, the School data showed that housing instability was increasing at a steady rate with families finding solutions through being "doubled up" as a means to secure housing. In contrast, the Unsheltered count showed, and continues to show, that the number of individuals Living in Places Not Meant for Habitation have declined steadily. As the COVID-19 pandemic began in 2020, it was apparent that the trends seen in the School data would continue and could potentially lead to a housing crisis. What was not evident at that time was the extraordinary rate at which housing costs would rise between 2020 and 2022.

The 2022 Florida Council report states that "between April 2021 and April 2022, Florida's single-family home costs have increased $28.8 \%$." Florida is at the epicenter of the nationwide housing crisis. The Council report states in its letter to Governor DeSantis that " $34.6 \%$ of the homes sold between April 2021 and April 2022 were purchased for cash. This makes purchasing a home unobtainable for many families." A recent report commissioned by the City of St. Petersburg in 2022 states that "investor market share is growing exponentially." ${ }^{8}$ This growth has been occurring since 2011 and has accelerated since 2020.

This has resulted in what is likely a qualitative shift from housing instability among the lowest wage earners to housing instability among median wage earners. In 2022, a median wage earner can be housing cost burdened in Pinellas County. Median wage earners include teachers, nurses, healthcare professionals, civil service workers, etc. A portion of these individuals may move away from Pinellas County because they cannot afford to live here.

While median wage earners are now increasingly likely to be housing cost burdened, lower wage earners are also clearly feeling increased housing cost pressures. The Homeless Leadership Alliance of Pinellas has recently documented increases in requests and heightened wait times for those requesting support ${ }^{9}$.

It is unclear whether the current housing and rental market represents a long-term increase in pressure or will be followed by a crash similar to 2008. Pinellas County must plan for each of these contingencies. Given that Pinellas County is densely populated and increasingly affected by investor housing purchases, it is likely that the School data trend will continue. Low wage earners are likely to continue to feel increased housing pressures. Pinellas County must be prepared to respond to the likelihood that professionals who work in Pinellas County may be unable to afford to live here. Pinellas County must also be prepared for a scenario in which increased housing costs ultimately result in increases in the Unsheltered Homeless population.

[^2]
## MIDDLE INCOME HOUSING COST BURDEN

Reports reviewed in accord with this report often site housing cost burden statistics that were current as of 2020. These numbers are not relevant to housing costs in 2022. Individuals with lower incomes in Pinellas County have historically responded to housing cost pressures by pooling resources or "doubling up" to secure housing. These efforts are not tracked or emphasized by Florida Council data or any organization that is looking at "literal homelessness." Pressures on these individuals have increased each year for several years according to School data, though School data may be underestimating the size of the increase.

The recognition of the existence of a housing crisis and increased supports provided to individuals have likely helped ease this burden in the short-term. When median income workers are housing cost burdened, some will employ similar strategies to secure housing. However, others may move away from Pinellas County. Shortages of professionals such as teachers or nurses may become a long-term consequence of exponential increases in investor purchases of housing in Pinellas County. This is a potential consequence that may not have been clear two years ago.

## LITERAL HOMELESSNESS

Increased wait times and requests for support have been evident. The Sheltered housing count has always had a limited ceiling because we cannot have more individuals in shelters than there is shelter space available. Over time, best practices have provided supports that fall outside the Point-in-Time Count including Rapid Rehousing and Permanent Supportive Housing. The Pinellas Continuum of Care (CoC) will need to continue effective Housing First practices in addition to multiple long-term solutions that ease the pressure that increased wait times and requests for assistance have placed on the current system of Emergency Shelter and Transitional Housing.

## UNSHELTERED POPULATION

Continued declines in the Unsheltered population may be a result of the CoC's efforts to address homelessness though Homeless Prevention and Diversion services made available through CARES Act and ARPA funding. The 2022 PIT shows there are 644 Unsheltered homeless individuals. Of these 644 individuals, there are 81 Veterans and 97 individuals who were previously in Foster Care. A recent report suggests several valid reasons why individuals may choose to be Unsheltered rather than receive supports through shelters ${ }^{10}$ : individuals may view the shelters as dangerous places, may not want to part with pets, may feel too restricted by shelter rules, or may have formed a community with others that are Unsheltered that they do not want to leave. Current PIT questions do not provide insights into this perspective. The CoC may want to ask what might be preventing Unsheltered homeless individuals from entering shelter or accessing Diversion services. Perhaps innovative solutions may be found to provide stronger insights into ways to reduce Unsheltered homelessness.

[^3]
## RECOMMENDATIONS

1) Do not rely solely on the Point-in-Time Count to fully understand the effects of housing pressures in Pinellas County. While declines are present in the Point-in-Time Count, supplemental data from the Pinellas County Schools and examination of housing support wait lists suggest that a burden exists that is not entirely captured in the PIT Count. Some individuals and families may respond to housing pressures by moving away from Pinellas County. This outcome is also not captured in the literal homeless count.
2) The Point-in-Time Count may not reflect housing pressures on professionals such as teachers who may not be able to afford rent in an environment in which housing costs have increased substantially. This may become a more permanent housing challenge in Pinellas County if housing costs remain elevated. Consequently, Pinellas County should have a long-term plan in place to assure that professionals providing services to the Pinellas County population can afford to live in Pinellas County. This may include subsidized housing dedicated specifically for professionals such as teachers, police, etc.
3) While supports have been sufficient to produce declines in the Unsheltered count, understand that this trend can reverse. There may be a substantially increased need in the next year to use Diversion and Housing First policies to address or avoid a substantial increase in Unsheltered homeless individuals. The CoC is encouraged to continue to access supports to address increased wait times and requests for supports. The 2022 Florida Council on Homeless Annual Report contains many specific avenues for doing so. There are also evolving efforts at the federal level ${ }^{11}$ to address increased housing pressures felt across the nation.
4) Consider amending the PIT survey to gain a better understanding of what may be preventing Unsheltered homeless individuals from entering shelters or accessing Diversion services.

[^4] administration-announces-immediate-steps-to-increase-affordable-housing-supply/


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Florida’s Council on Homelessness: 2022 Annual Report, p. 36 .

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ CDC.gov, FastStats: Access to Health Care. Available at https://www.cdc.gov/nchs/fastats/access-to-healthcare.htm
    ${ }^{3}$ Digest of Education Statistics, Rates of high school completion and bachelor's degree attainment among persons age 25 and over, by race/ethnicity and sex: Selected years, 1910 through 2018. Available at https://nces.ed.gov/programs/digest/d18/tables/dt18_104.10.asp
    ${ }^{4} 2022$ Annual Report, Florida's Council on Homelessness

[^2]:    ${ }^{8}$ Emerging Strategies in Affordable Housing by the Harvard Kennedy School Transition Team
    ${ }^{9}$ FMR Waiver request to the Office on Homelessness by the Homeless Leadership Alliance of Pinellas, Inc.

[^3]:    ${ }^{10} \mathrm{https}: / /$ caufsociety.com/why-the-homeless-refuse-help/

[^4]:    ${ }^{11} \mathrm{https}: / / \mathrm{www}$.whitehouse.gov/briefing-room/statements-releases/2021/09/01/fact-sheet-biden-harris-

