### Before Starting the Special CoC Application

You must submit both of the following parts in order for us to consider your Special NOFO Consolidated Application complete:

- 1. the CoC Application, and
- 2. the CoC Priority Listing.

The CoC Special NOFO page provides HUD-approved resources to assist you in completing the Special NOFO CoC Application, including:

- Special Notice of Funding Opportunity to Address Unsheltered and Rural Homelessness
- 24 CFR part 578
- Special NOFO CoC Application Navigational Guide
- Section 3 Resources
- Frequently Asked Questions

As the Collaborative Applicant, you are responsible for reviewing the following:

- 1. The Special Notice of Funding Opportunity (Special NOFO) for specific application and program requirements.
- 2. The Special NOFO Continuum of Care (CoC) Application Detailed Instructions for Collaborative Applicants which provide additional information and guidance for completing the application.
- 3. All information provided to ensure it is correct and current.
- 4. Responses provided by project applicants in their Project Applications.
- 5. The application to ensure all documentation, including attachment are provided.

CoC Approval is Required before You Submit Your CoC's Special NOFO CoC Consolidated Application

- 24 CFR 578.9 requires you to compile and submit the Special NOFO CoC Consolidated Application on behalf of your CoC.
- 24 CFR 578.9(b) requires you to obtain approval from your CoC before you submit the Consolidated Application into e-snaps.

#### **Answering Multi-Part Narrative Questions**

Many questions require you to address multiple elements in a single text box. Number your responses to correspond with multi-element questions using the same numbers in the question. This will help you organize your responses to ensure they are complete and help us to review and score your responses.

#### Attachments

Questions requiring attachments to receive points state, "You must upload the [Specific Attachment Name] attachment to the 4A. Attachments Screen." Only upload documents responsive to the questions posed–including other material slows down the review process, which ultimately slows down the funding process. Include a cover page with the attachment name.

- Attachments must match the questions they are associated with—if we do not award points for evidence you upload and associate with the wrong question, this is not a valid reason for you to appeal HUD's funding determination.
- We must be able to read the date and time on attachments requiring system-generated dates and times, (e.g., a screenshot displaying the time and date of the public posting using your desktop calendar; screenshot of a webpage that indicates date and time).

## 1A. Continuum of Care (CoC) Identification

The CoC Special NOFO page provides HUD-approved resources to assist you in completing the Special NOFO CoC Application, including:

- Special Notice of Funding Opportunity to Address Unsheltered and Rural Homelessness
- 24 CFR part 578
- Special NOFO CoC Application Navigational Guide
- Section 3 Resources
- Frequently Asked Questions

**1A-1. CoC Name and Number:** FL-502 - St. Petersburg, Clearwater,

Largo/Pinellas County CoC

**1A-2. Collaborative Applicant Name:** Homeless Leadership Alliance of Pinellas, Inc.

1A-3. CoC Designation: CA

1A-4. HMIS Lead: Homeless Leadership Alliance of Pinellas, Inc.

1A-5.	New Projects	
	Complete the chart below by indicating which funding opportunity(ies) your CoC applying for projects under. A CoC may apply for funding under both set asides; however, projects funded through the rural set aside may only be used in rural areas, as defined in the Special NOFO.	
1.	Unsheltered Homelessness Set Aside	Yes
2.	Rural Homelessness Set Aside	No

# 1B. Project Capacity, Review, and Ranking–Local Competition

The CoC Special NOFO page provides HUD-approved resources to assist you in completing the Special NOFO CoC Application, including:

- Special Notice of Funding Opportunity to Address Unsheltered and Rural Homelessness 24 CFR part 578
- Special NOFO CoC Application Navigational Guide Section 3 Resources
- Frequently Asked Questions

1B-1.	. Web Posting of Your CoC Local Competition Deadline-Advance Public Notice. (All Applicants)		
	Special NOFO Section VII.B.1.b.		
	You must upload the Local Competition Deadline attachment to the 4A. Attachments Screen.		
	Enter the date your CoC published the deadline for project application submission for your CoC's loc competition.	cal	08/17/2022
	1B-2. Project Review and Ranking Process Your CoC Used in Its Local Competition. (All Applicants	s)	

1B-2.	Project Review and Ranking Process Your CoC Used in Its Local Competition. (All Applicants)	
	Special NOFO Section VII.B.1.a.	
	You must upload the Local Competition Scoring Tool attachment to the 4A. Attachments Screen.	
	Select yes or no in the chart below to indicate how your CoC ranked and selected new project applications during your CoC's local competition:	
1.	Established total points available for each project application type.	Yes
2.	At least 33 percent of the total points were based on objective criteria for the project application (e.g., cost effectiveness, timely draws, utilization rate, match, leverage), performance data, type of population served (e.g., DV, youth, Veterans, chronic homelessness), or type of housing proposed (e.g., PSH, RRH).	Yes
3.	At least 20 percent of the total points were based on system performance criteria for the project application (e.g., exits to permanent housing destinations, retention of permanent housing, length of time homeless, returns to homelessness).	Yes

1B-3.	Projects Rejected/Reduced-Notification Outside of e-snaps. (All Applicants)	
	Special NOFO Section VII.B.1.b.	
	You must upload the Notification of Projects Rejected-Reduced attachment to the 4A. Attachments Screen.	
1.	Did your CoC reject or reduce any project application(s)?	Yes
2.	Did your CoC inform the applicants why their projects were rejected or reduced?	Yes
3.	If you selected yes, for element 1 of this question, enter the date your CoC notified applicants that their project applications were being rejected or reduced, in writing, outside of e-snaps. If you notified applicants on various dates, list the latest date of any notification. For example, if you notified applicants on 6/26/22, 6/27/22, and 6/28/22, then you must enter 6/28/22.	10/05/2022

FY2022 Special NOFO CoC Application	Page 3	10/07/2022

1B-3a.	Projects Accepted-Notification Outside of e-snaps. (All Applicants)	
	Special NOFO Section VII.B.1.b.	
	You must upload the Notification of Projects Accepted attachment to the 4A. Attachments Screen.	
	Enter the date your CoC notified project applicants that their project applications were accepted and ranked on the New Priority Listings in writing, outside of e-snaps. If you notified applicants on various dates, list the latest date of any notification. For example, if you notified applicants on 6/26/22, 6/27/22, and 6/28/22, then you must enter 6/28/22.	09/27/2022
40.4	Web Desting of the CoC Approved Special NOTO CoC Concellidated Application (All	
	Web Posting of the CoC-Approved Special NOFO CoC Consolidated Application. (All Applicants)	
	Special NOFO Section VII.B.1.b.	
	You must upload the Web Posting-Special NOFO CoC Consolidated Application attachment to the 4A. Attachments Screen.	
	Enter the date your CoC posted its Special NOFO CoC Consolidated Application on the CoC's website or affiliate's website—which included:  1. the CoC Application, and 2. Priority Listings.	10/14/2022

## 2A. System Performance

The CoC Special NOFO page provides HUD-approved resources to assist you in completing the Special NOFO CoC Application, including:

- Special Notice of Funding Opportunity to Address Unsheltered and Rural Homelessness
- 24 CFR part 578
   Special NOFO CoC Application Navigational Guide
- Section 3 Resources
- Frequently Asked Questions

2A-1.	Reduction in the Number of First Time Homeless–Risk Factors.
	Special NOFO Section VII.B.2.b.
	Describe in the field below:
	how your CoC determined which risk factors your CoC uses to identify persons becoming homeless for the first time;
2.	how your CoC addresses individuals and families at risk of becoming homeless; and
3.	provide the name of the organization or position title that is responsible for overseeing your CoC's strategy to reduce the number of individuals and families experiencing homelessness for the first time or to end homelessness for individuals and families.

 The Continuum of Care (CoC) coordinates with diverse organizations to determine the risk factors which are most likely to lead to first time homelessness. The Collaborative Applicant (CA) staff reviews HMIS data to identify trends and recommend interventions to the Data & System Performance Committee (DSP) once a month. Together, CA staff and the DSP Committee review this HMIS data, along with data from the Point-in-Time Count and CoC Annual Performance Reports, to identify the risk factors for first time homelessness and make recommendations for the development and standardization of assistance programs to the Funders' Council, and Providers' Council. The CoC has also used data from the local 2-1-1. Urban Institute. United Pinellas, and U.S. Census to identify Zip Codes where residents were determined to be at higher risk of homelessness due to housing and economic conditions to target them for assistance. 2) If an individual or family is determined to be at-risk of homelessness, they are targeted by Prevention and Diversion efforts prior to becoming literally homeless and entering the Coordinated Entry Process (CEP). The CA has one hundred and fifteen provider staff members trained in Diversion strategies and continues to expand local funding for Diversion strategies that assist at-risk individuals and families with problem-solving and accessing formal and informal networks. The CoC continues to invest in Eviction Diversion Programs, Diversion for clients prior to shelter intake, and Targeted Prevention Programs for high risk/high needs Zip Codes. The Targeted Prevention has been expanded to cover individuals and families who reside in 15 zip codes that local data has shown to house those at the highest risk for experiencing homelessness. 3) The CA's Director of Quality and Performance Improvement, CE Manager, HMIS staff, and the DSP Committee are responsible for overseeing the strategy to reduce the number of those experiencing homelessness for the first time. The CA's CEP Manager is responsible for ensuring the CEP design meets the needs of the CoC. DSP reviews the CoC's Diversion and Prevention projects against local benchmarks and tracks outcomes quarterly.

2A-2.	Length of Time Homeless–Strategy to Reduce. (All Applicants)
	Special NOFO Section VII.B.2.c.
	Describe in the field below:
1.	your CoC's strategy to reduce the length of time individuals and persons in families remain homeless;
2.	how your CoC identifies and houses individuals and persons in families with the longest lengths of time homeless; and
3.	provide the name of the organization or position title that is responsible for overseeing your CoC's strategy to reduce the length of time individuals and families remain homeless.

 The Continuum of Care (CoC) aims to reduce the length of time individuals and families remain homeless by monitoring HMIS intake and exit data and continuously improving the Coordinated Entry Process (CEP). The Data & System Performance Committee (DSP) meets monthly to evaluate projects and monitor local benchmarks including length of time homeless. The Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion Committee (DEI) monitors race disparities in length of time homeless and develops policies to increase equity. In the past year, the Coordinated Entry Process (CEP) was redesigned to improve the efficiency of referring households to housing opportunities and providers to reduce the time from referral to housing, including a redesigned Coordinated Entry Report that makes all needed data available to improve case conferencing and housing placements. The CoC is piloting a process to push referrals out to housing opportunities once households have been matched based on need and eligibility to reduce delays in housing placements after referral. The CoC has also developed a mobility policy to move households between rapid rehousing providers based on developing need to ensure better client care. The CoC is also redirecting low acuity households to mainstream resources rather than letting them sit at the bottom of the CE list. The policy and process changes were adopted to ensure that the CEP is an efficient process and that wait times are reduced between a housing opportunity opening and a referral being made. In the past year, the CoC has continued work on the Built-for-Zero project to focus on ending homelessness among those who are chronically homeless and have been homeless for the longest lengths of time. The CA has also coordinated CoC-wide training on trauma-informed care, harm reduction, case management, and Housing First practices. 2) The CE prioritization process uses VI-SPDAT scores for ranking and chronically homeless status is a tiebreaker to prioritize individuals and families with the longest history of homelessness and the most severe service needs. This process results in a CE List that is used to refer those who have been homeless the longest to available PH interventions and case conferencing has been changed to better serve individuals. 3) The Collaborative Applicant's Client Care Manager, HMIS staff, and the Director of Quality and Performance Improvement are responsible for overseeing the CoC's strategy to reduce the length of time homeless.

2A-3.	Successful Permanent Housing Placement or Retention. (All Applicants)
	Special NOFO Section VII.B.2.d.
	Describe in the field below how your CoC will increase the rate that individuals and persons in families residing in:
	emergency shelter, safe havens, transitional housing, and rapid rehousing exit to permanent housing destinations; and
2.	permanent housing projects retain their permanent housing or exit to permanent housing destinations.

 The Data & System Performance (DSP) Committee monitors exits to permanent housing across all project types and shares outcomes with the CoC quarterly. Additionally, the Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion (DEI) Committee reviews exit data for racial disparities and proposes solutions to increase equitable outcomes. In the past year, the Collaborative Applicant (CA) hired additional staff to assist households in identifying available housing, mediate on their behalf, coordinate inspections, and help households move into their new home. A Landlord Liaison position was created to increase landlord participation and affordable housing options and a Workforce Development Specialist position was created to assist households in finding and maintaining employment. The CoC also partnered with three housing authorities to utilize the new Emergency Housing Vouchers. These vouchers will expand the options for those currently connected to RRH to exit to permanent housing. The CA has also begun piloting a new Coordinated Entry Assessment to replace the VI-SPDAT and better match households with interventions that meet their level of need to increase exits to permanent housing. 2) The CoC utilizes the Moving on Strategy in conjunction with a local housing authority and PSH provider to help households in PSH who no longer want or need intensive services maintain their housing. The CoC works to increase the rate that individuals and families exit to permanent housing and maintain a permanent housing status by expanding housing navigation and support services staff and partnering with PSH providers to help households in PSH gain self-sufficiency. Recovery Specialists work to secure Housing Choice vouchers & locate the housing of the household's choice, negotiating with landlords, coordinating inspections & helping the household move into their new home. Supported Living staff ensures the household connects to needed service & day to day needs are met.

2A-4.	Returns to Homelessness–CoC's Strategy to Reduce Rate. (All Applicants)
	Special NOFO Section VII.B.2.e.
	Describe in the field below:
1.	how your CoC identifies individuals and families who return to homelessness;
2.	your CoC's strategy to reduce the rate of additional returns to homelessness; and
3.	provide the name of the organization or position title that is responsible for overseeing your CoC's strategy to reduce the rate individuals and persons in families return to homelessness.

1)The Continuum of Care (CoC) works to reduce the rate that individuals and families return to homelessness by identifying risk factors for returns across a range of factors such as loss, or low levels, of income, high acuity scores, and high levels of service needs and developing plans to mitigate these issues. Data is analyzed for trends by demographics to look for equity issues with households returning to homelessness. Data on household income is also compared to median rent costs to understand household sustainability and risks of returns to homelessness. Collaborative Applicant (CA) staff consistently review return data against local benchmarks at the system and project levels to identify potential gaps in services or trends in returns. 2) These findings and policy recommendations are presented to the Data & System Performance Committee (DSP) once a month. This data is also reported to the Funders' Council, and Providers' Council to develop strategies and target funding to reduce returns to homelessness. The Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion (DEI) Committee also reviews return data, monitors racial disparities, and proposes solutions to increase equitable outcomes. In the past year, the CoC expanded system-wide efforts reduce recidivism. The CoC is expanding the use of the full SPDAT for RRH and PSH to help tailor case management efforts to client needs. A new strategic plan which expands housing options for Veterans, connects them to resources, and improves community partnerships was implemented. Funding has been used to expand Eviction Diversion Programs to assist households who are at risk of returning to homelessness by connecting them to new housing opportunities. The CA continues to work with the Florida Housing Coalition to provide training to create partnerships with landlords to reduce the number of evictions in the community. The CA also created a pilot project which connects a Workforce Development Specialist to ESG-CV funded RRH programs to help households find and maintain employment, thereby increasing their housing stability. Workforce development projects throughout the CoC are ongoing. 3) The CA's Director of Quality and Performance Improvement and HMIS staff are responsible for monitoring compliance. benchmark tracking, and reporting. The CoC's DSP is responsible for creating policies to reduce returns to homelessness.

2A-5.	Increasing Employment Cash Income-Strategy. (All Applicants)
	Special NOFO Section VII.B.2.f.
	Describe in the field below:
1.	the strategy your CoC has implemented to increase employment cash sources;
	how your CoC works with mainstream employment organizations to help individuals and families increase their cash income; and
	provide the organization name or position title that is responsible for overseeing your CoC's strategy to increase income from employment.

1) All Continuum of Care (CoC) provider case managers are required to monitor the status of their clients' income and access to employment. Case managers must follow up on referrals to employment organizations and document all income information in HMIS and the clients' housing plans. The CoC works to increase individual and family income through the Collaborative Applicant's (CA) Workforce Development Project. The project was piloted with ESG-CV funding and is now funded locally, allowing the project to expand. The annual monitoring of all CoC and ESG funded projects ensures project have formal partnerships with workforce development programs, which are to include MOU's. The CA has a pilot project which connects a Workforce Development Specialist to ESG-CV funded RRH to help people find and maintain employment. The CoC encourages these projects to have formal agreements with employment organizations. Data regarding the effectiveness of workforce development collaborations is regularly presented to the Data & System Performance (DSP) Committee, Funders' Council, Providers' Council, and CoC Board of Directors. 2) CoC funded projects connect clients to mainstream employment services through their case management process. Local service agencies have developed a range of programs to assist clients in gaining employment. For example, Boley Centers offers community employment services, a job support program, a homeless Veteran's reintegration program, supported employment demonstration, supported employment services, vocation evaluation, work adjustment, and youth employment program. Also, the Homeless Emergency Project has Pathways to Employment program which uses the area's workforce agency, Urban League, and Veteran employment programs for job training and placement. Lastly, the Florida Dream Center offers a work readiness and forklift certification classes. 3) The CA's Director of Quality and Performance Improvement, HMIS staff, and the DSP Committee are responsible for overseeing the CoC's strategy to increase access to employment & mainstream benefits.

ncreasing Non- employment Cash ncome–Strategy. (All Applicants)
Special NOFO Section /II.B.2.f.
Describe in the field pelow:
he strategy your CoC has mplemented to increase non-employment cash ncome;
rour CoC's strategy to ncrease access to non- employment cash cources; and
provide the organization name or position title that is responsible for exercise your CoC's strategy to increase non-temployment cash income.
Despendent of the control of the con

FY2022 Special NOFO CoC Application	Page 10	10/07/2022

#### (limit 2,500 characters)

Project: FL-502 CoC Registration FY 2022

1) The Continuum of Care (CoC) helps clients increase their non-employment cash income by ensuring they are connected to mainstream resources. The Data & System Performance Committee (DSP) and Collaborative Applicant (CA) staff monitor cost-of-living adjustments from the federal government and send out income update notices to CoC projects when there are increases made to non-cash benefits. Additionally, HMIS staff provide training to end users on non-employment income which ensures data integrity. All CoC and ESG funded projects are monitored for their effectiveness and impact of connecting households to non-cash benefits. All CoC provider case managers are responsible for ongoing updates to clients' income within client files and HMIS. Case managers also assist with benefit enrollment and renewal applications as part of case management process. CoC funded housing projects have inter- and intra-agency relationships with the SSA, VA, Disability Determination Services, Ryan White Services, and other public, private, and non-profit agencies. Coordinated Entry Navigators are required to connect households going through the Coordinated Entry Process to non-cash benefit resources as part of the document readiness process for housing interventions. 2) To increase access to non-employment cash sources, participation in the SSI/SSDI Outreach, Access, and Recovery (SOAR) program has been expanded across the CoC and SOAR engagement and outcomes data is being tracked in HMIS to improve follow through with clients and ensure they receive all non-cash benefits they are entitled to. The CoC also been conducting training for how to apply for benefits and SOAR. Trainings are mandatory for all CoC and ESG funded projects. 3) The CA's Director of Quality and Performance Improvement, HMIS staff, and the DSP Committee are responsible for overseeing the CoC's strategy to increase access to employment & mainstream benefits. The CA HMIS trainer is responsible for educating end-users on how to enter or update non-employment income into HMIS.

# 2B. Coordination and Engagement–Inclusive Structure and Participation

The CoC Special NOFO page provides HUD-approved resources to assist you in completing the Special NOFO CoC Application, including:

- Special Notice of Funding Opportunity to Address Unsheltered and Rural Homelessness
- 24 CFR part 578
- Special NOFO CoC Application Navigational Guide
   Section 3 Resources
- Frequently Asked Questions

2B-1.	Inclusive Structure and Participation-Participation in Coordinated Entry. (All Applicants)
	Special NOFO Sections VII.B.3.a.(1)
	In the chart below for the period from May 1, 2021 to April 30, 2022:
1.	select yes or no in the chart below if the entity listed participates in CoC meetings, voted–including selecting CoC Board members, and participated in your CoC's coordinated entry system; or
2.	select Nonexistent if the organization does not exist in your CoC's geographic area:

	Organization/Person	Participated in CoC Meetings	Voted, Including Electing of CoC Board Members	Participated in CoC's Coordinated Entry System		
1.	Affordable Housing Developer(s)	Yes	Yes	Yes		
2.	Agencies serving survivors of human trafficking	Yes	Yes	Yes		
3.	CDBG/HOME/ESG Entitlement Jurisdiction	Yes	Yes	Yes		
4.	CoC-Funded Victim Service Providers	Yes	Yes	Yes		
5.	CoC-Funded Youth Homeless Organizations	Yes	Yes	Yes		
6.	Disability Advocates	Yes	Yes	Yes		
7.	Disability Service Organizations	Yes	Yes	Yes		
8.	Domestic Violence Advocates	Yes	Yes	Yes		
9.	EMS/Crisis Response Team(s)	No	No	No		
10.	Homeless or Formerly Homeless Persons	Yes	Yes	No		
11.	Hospital(s)	Yes	Yes	No		
12.	Indian Tribes and Tribally Designated Housing Entities (TDHEs) (Tribal Organizations)	Nonexistent	No	No		
13.	Law Enforcement	Yes	Yes	Yes		
14.	Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, Transgender, Queer (LGBTQ+) Advocates	Yes	No	No		
15.	LGBTQ+ Service Organizations	Yes	Yes	Yes		
16.	Local Government Staff/Officials	Yes	Yes	Yes		
17.	Local Jail(s)	Yes	Yes	Yes		
18.	Mental Health Service Organizations	Yes	Yes	Yes		
19.	Mental Illness Advocates	Yes	Yes	Yes		

FY2022 Special NOFO CoC Application	Page 12	10/07/2022
-------------------------------------	---------	------------

Project:	FI -502 (	CoC F	Registration	FΥ	2022
i iojeci.	1 L-302 C	, OO	region anom		2022

20.	Non-CoC Funded Youth Homeless Organizations	Yes	Yes	Yes
21.	Non-CoC-Funded Victim Service Providers	Yes	No	Yes
22.	Organizations led by and serving Black, Brown, Indigenous and other People of Color	Yes	Yes	No
23.	Organizations led by and serving LGBTQ+ persons	Yes	No	No
24.	Organizations led by and serving people with disabilities	Yes	Yes	Yes
25.	Other homeless subpopulation advocates	Yes	Yes	Yes
26.	Public Housing Authorities	Yes	Yes	Yes
27.	School Administrators/Homeless Liaisons	Yes	Yes	No
28.	Street Outreach Team(s)	Yes	Yes	Yes
29.	Substance Abuse Advocates	Yes	Yes	Yes
30.	Substance Abuse Service Organizations	Yes	Yes	Yes
31.	Youth Advocates	Yes	Yes	Yes
32.	Youth Service Providers	Yes	Yes	Yes
	Other:(limit 50 characters)			
33.	Faith Based Providers	Yes	Yes	Yes
34.				

2B-2.	Open Invitation for New Members. (All Applicants)
	Special NOFO Section VII.B.3.a.(2), V.B.3.g.
	Describe in the field below how your CoC:
1.	communicated the invitation process annually to solicit new members to join the CoC;
2.	ensured effective communication with individuals with disabilities, including the availability of accessible electronic formats;
	conducted outreach to ensure persons experiencing homelessness or formerly homeless persons are encouraged to join your CoC; and
	invited organizations serving culturally specific communities experiencing homelessness in the geographic area to address equity (e.g., Black, Latino, Indigenous, other People of Color, persons with disabilities).

 All CoC committee, council, and leadership meetings are publicly posted and adhere to Florida's Sunshine Law. Throughout the year, the Collaborative Applicant (CA) invites interested community members to join, issuing formal invitations via email and social media at least once annually. The CA uses email, website, social media, public announcements, and newsletters distributed to over 5,000 contacts as well as community outreach events and direct contact by CoC members. The CA facilitates public forums, CoC membership meetings and educational sessions regarding homelessness and homeless prevention to promote membership. 2) The CA complies with the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA). All meeting rooms are ADA compliant. To ensure diverse participation, attendees are asked to inform the CA of any necessary accommodation. Virtual attendees are provided a number/TDD to request accommodations or accessible documents. 3) The CoC respects and recognizes the voices of people with lived experience in its mission to end homelessness, as they are crucial to the planning, deliberation, and oversight of the homeless service system. CoC members with lived homeless experiences do not pay annual dues. To guarantee persons with lived experiences are in decision making positions, there are currently 2 designated slots for homeless/formerly homeless members on the CoC Board of Directors. The Board functions as the local planner, overseer, and policy maker for all issues surrounding homelessness in the CoC. Furthermore, the CoC has amended its charter to include a Lived Experience Advisory Council. This standing committee – which aligns with the Funders' and Providers' Councils – will make recommendations to the CoC BOD based on personal experiences, as well as providing peer support to the community. 4) Organizations such as the Pinellas County Office of Human Rights, LGBTQ+ advocates, Florida Department of Juvenile Justice, the NAACP, various municipalities, Veteran Affairs, and Legal Aid Services are invited to serve on other CoC councils and committees. To further encourage diverse involvement, individual CoC members are offered opinions to pay reduced or complimentary membership fees.

2B-3.	CoC's Strategy to Solicit/Consider Opinions on Preventing and Ending Homelessness. (All Applicants)	
	Special NOFO Section VII.B.3.a.(3)	
		,
	Describe in the field below how your CoC:	
1.	solicited and considered opinions from a broad array of organizations and individuals that have knowledge of homelessness or an interest in preventing and ending homelessness;	
2.	communicated information during public meetings or other forums your CoC uses to solicit public information; and	
3.	took into consideration information gathered in public meetings or forums to address improvements or new approaches to preventing and ending homelessness.	

1) The Collaborative Applicant (CA) operates under Florida's Sunshine Law, and as such, publicly posts and invites all interested parties to attend and participate in meetings and policy making decisions. The CoC structure offers support from boards, committees, and councils, with subject matter expertspublic and nonprofit service providers, local funders, and elected officials. These meetings include: CoC Leadership, Provider & Funders Council, Executive, Data & System Performance and Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion Committees, and & the Leadership Team to End Veteran Homelessness. All committees include subject matter experts, and more than 85 local leaders serve on these committee to ensure collaboration, partnerships, resources, and expertise are leveraged to end homelessness. Membership is balanced for CoC area representation to cover the full geography of the continuum, target population, and area of expertise. On August 5th, 2022, the CA hosted a daylong CoC planning session which included representatives from direct service providers. local governments, healthcare representatives. housing developers. faith-based communities, Housing Authorities, funders, and 12 individuals with lived experience. The primary outcomes of this session will be captured in the 15-page plan developed for the purpose of submission of the Special NOFO application and will be one of the driving forces for the CoC for future planning purposes. The CA joined the national Built for Zero movement in 2021. This community movement commits to ending homelessness one population at a time and the CoC has selected chronic homeless individuals as the first population to address. The CoC identified key agencies that work together on a weekly basis to work toward the shared definition of "zero". 2) Public comments, updates & announcements are encouraged and welcomed during all CA meetings. Agendas are posted and distributed in advance and minutes are recorded at every meeting convened by the CA. Minutes are approved or amended at the next meeting. 3) CA staff maintains a master spreadsheet that assembles all decisions, tasks identified, and resolutions made during all council and committee meetings & the CA staff uses this list to develop future meeting agendas and to track decisions/progress/completeness of activities surrounding all facets of homeless services.

2B-4.	Public Notification for Proposals from Organizations Not Previously Funded. (All Applicants)
	Special NOFO Section VII.B.3.a.(4)
	Describe in the field below how your CoC notified the public:
1.	that your CoC's local competition was open and accepting project applications;
2.	that your CoC will consider project applications from organizations that have not previously received CoC Program funding;
3.	about how project applicants must submit their project applications;
	about how your CoC would determine which project applications it would submit to HUD for funding; and
5.	how your CoC effectively communicated with individuals with disabilities, including making information accessible in electronic formats.

FY2022 Special NOFO CoC Application	Page 15	10/07/2022

 The Collaborative Applicant (CA) accepts proposals from organizations through a competitive application process. Constant Contact was used to announce the local competition to over 5,000 contacts. The application, instructions, timeline, and scoring matrix was also posted on the Homeless Leadership Alliance's (HLA) website and posted on Facebook, Instagram, and Twitter to solicit the widest range of applicants. Two reminders about the local competition were also sent within the Providers' Newsletter. Two mandatory bidder's conferences were hosted and publicized online and via Constant Contact. Review and Rank and Executive Committee meetings were also posted on the HLA's website and social media accounts and were open to the public. 2) The CA considers all applications that meet the threshold requirements. This includes applications from organizations not previously funded. Threshold requirements include on-time submission, verifiable 501c3 status, and completed in full application. 3) Unless other accommodations were requested, all applications were submitted via email to HLA staff. 4) To determine which project applications would be submitted to HUD, the CoC Board of Directors appointed a Review and Rank Committee. Committee members were asked to attend two training sessions before formally convening on July 15, 2022, to deliberate and score project applications. Ranking decisions were performance-based, with 74% of total points based on objective criteria (e.g., cost effectiveness, utilization rate, performance data, and type of housing proposed) and 58% based on system performance criteria. The Committee met again on August 30, 2022, to deliberate and score bonus project applications. Ranking decisions were again performance-based, with 55% of the total points based on objective criteria and 22% based on system performance criteria. Rank and Review made funding recommendations during the August 30th meeting. These recommendations were ratified by the CoC's Executive Committee on September 1, 2022. 5) The CA complies with the Americans with Disabilities Act. Individuals are directed to a phone or TTD number to request any accommodation or accessible formats of documents that are used/discussed at the posted meetings. All meetings room are ADA compliant. Additionally, potential applicants were instructed to notify HLA staff if other accommodations were needed.

# 2C. Coordination / Engagement–with Federal, State, Local, Private, and Other Organizations

The CoC Special NOFO page provides HUD-approved resources to assist you in completing the Special NOFO CoC Application, including:

- Special Notice of Funding Opportunity to Address Unsheltered and Rural Homelessness
- 24 CFR part 578
- Special NOFO CoC Application Navigational Guide
- Section 3 Resources
- Frequently Asked Questions

2C-1.	Coordination with Federal, State, Local, Private, and Other Organizations. (All Applicants)
	Special NOFO Section VII.B.3.b.
	In the chart below:
	select yes or no for entities listed that are included in your CoC's coordination, planning, and operations of projects that serve individuals, families, unaccompanied youth, persons who are fleeing domestic violence who are experiencing homelessness, or those at risk of homelessness; or
2.	select Nonexistent if the organization does not exist within your CoC's geographic area.

	Entities or Organizations Your CoC Coordinates with for Planning or Operations of Projects	Coordinates with Planning or Operations of Projects
1.	Funding Collaboratives	Yes
2.	Head Start Program	Yes
3.	Housing and services programs funded through Local Government	Yes
4.	Housing and services programs funded through other Federal Resources (non-CoC)	Yes
5.	Housing and services programs funded through private entities, including Foundations	Yes
6.	Housing and services programs funded through State Government	Yes
7.	Housing and services programs funded through U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS)	Yes
8.	Housing and services programs funded through U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ)	Yes
9.	Housing Opportunities for Persons with AIDS (HOPWA)	Yes
10.	Indian Tribes and Tribally Designated Housing Entities (TDHEs) (Tribal Organizations)	Nonexistent
11.	Organizations led by and serving Black, Brown, Indigenous and other People of Color	Yes
12.	Organizations led by and serving LGBTQ+ persons	Yes
13.	Organizations led by and serving people with disabilities	Yes
14.	Private Foundations	Yes
15.	Public Housing Authorities	Yes
16.	Runaway and Homeless Youth (RHY)	Yes
17.	Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF)	Yes
	Other:(limit 50 characters)	
18.		

TV (2000 0 1 1 1 1 1 2 0 0 0 1 1 1 1 1 1		1010-1000
FY2022 Special NOFO CoC Application	Page 17	10/07/2022

2C-2.	CoC Consultation with ESG Program Recipients. (All Applicants)
	Special NOFO Section VII.B.3.b.
	Describe in the field below how your CoC:
1.	consulted with ESG Program recipients in planning and allocating ESG funds;
2.	participated in evaluating and reporting performance of ESG Program recipients and subrecipients;
3.	provided Point-in-Time (PIT) count and Housing Inventory Count (HIC) data to the Consolidated Plan jurisdictions within its geographic area; and
4.	provided information to Consolidated Plan Jurisdictions to address homelessness within your CoC's geographic area so it could be addressed in Consolidated Plan update.

#### (limit 2,500 characters)

1)The Collaborative Applicant (CA) consults ESG recipients about allocating ESG and ESG-CV funds, CoC goals, objectives and outcomes, standard performance measures, and funding priorities during monthly jurisdictional meetings. The Collaborative Applicant used these meeting to present new information from HUD and discuss data trends to identify gaps in services and determine the best possible uses for ESG-CV funding. Additionally, ESG recipients maintain seats on the CoC Providers' and Funders' Councils, who are responsible for recommending target population and funding priorities to the CoC. 2) CA staff review HMIS data at least once a month to identify trends, data quality issues, and to monitor project performance. These data reviews are then presented to the CoC's Data and System Performance Committee. CA staff conduct annual evaluations of ESG, and ESG-CV funded programs that are contracted through the CA. Results of these evaluations are shared with state and local funders. ESG projects funded through jurisdictions are evaluated annually by the ESG recipients. The CA may be consulted by the recipient regarding evaluation tools, HMIS data, Housing First standards, annual training attendance, and CoC participation. Last year, the Collaborative Applicant pilot tested joint monitoring for an ESG-CV funded project with the local funder. Provider feedback was positive, so the Collaborative Applicant updated the CoC monitoring standards to ensure joint evaluations will be conducted whenever possible. 3) The HIC and PIT reports are published on the Collaborative Applicant's website and sent to all stakeholders, including local Consolidated Plan Jurisdictions. A formal presentation of these reports is presented annually to the CoC, and PIT data is used to develop the annual CoC Gap Analysis submitted to the state and local funders, including ESG recipients. All the CoC's entitlement jurisdictions maintain seats on the CoC's Funders Council. 4) The CA provides quarterly reviews of CoC data trends, identified gaps in service needs, recommendations for consolidated plans, the CoC Gap Analysis, Pointin-Time, and Veteran Services reports. In FY21/22, the CA also provided additional information to ESG recipients on spending targets for CARES Act funds, race equity needs, investment priorities, and managing wage and capacity issues.

2C-3.	Discharge Planning Coordination. (All Applicants)
	Special NOFO Section VII.B.3.c.

FY2022 Special NOFO CoC Application	Page 18	10/07/2022
-------------------------------------	---------	------------

	Select yes or no in the chart below to indicate whether your CoC actively coordinates with the systems of care listed to ensure persons who have resided in them longer than 90 days are not discharged directly to the streets, emergency shelters, or other homeless assistance programs.	
1.	Foster Care	No
2.	Health Care	Yes
3.	Mental Health Care	No
4.	Correctional Facilities	Yes

2C-4.	CoC Collaboration Related to Children and Youth–SEAs, LEAs, School Districts. (All Applicants)	
	Special NOFO Section VII.B.3.d.	
		1
	Select yes or no in the chart below to indicate the entities your CoC collaborates with:	
1.	Youth Education Provider	Yes
2.	State Education Agency (SEA)	No
3.	Local Education Agency (LEA)	Yes
4.	School Districts	Yes

2C-4a.	CoC Collaboration Related to Children and Youth–SEAs, LEAs, School Districts–Formal Partnerships. (All Applicants)	
	Special NOFO Section VII.B.3.d.	
	Describe in the field below:	
1.	how your CoC collaborates with the entities checked in Question 2C-4; and	
2.	the formal partnerships your CoC has with the entities checked in Question 2C-4.	

The Collaborative Applicant (CA) has an agreement with the Pinellas County School District to prioritize access to education and for students experiencing homelessness. A Pinellas School Board member serves on the CoC Board of Directors and takes an active role in policy development. The Pinellas County School's Helping Educate All in Transition (HEAT) team supports the educational success of students experiencing homelessness by coordinating school, community, and family services and participating in case conferencing. There is also a HEAT Team representative on the CoC's Providers' Council. The CA also partners with the Early Learning Coalition (ELC) to provide childcare subsidies to families in shelter programs, coordinated through a MOU. In collaboration with Pinellas County Schools, the ELC, and Head and Healthy Start, the CA adopted a policy stating these local education agencies agree to assist in the identification of homeless families and unaccompanied youth. All housing programs ask about children in the household during intake to make appropriate referrals. Parents/Legal Guardians and unaccompanied youth may sign a release of information allowing a provider to speak with the education provider to coordinate services. Housing programs forward this release to education providers to start the process to enroll children in services. In 2015, the CoC adopted a "Enrollment of Children into School or Early Childhood Education" policy. The policy states that all providers working with homeless families will ensure that all preschool and school-aged children in their programs are enrolled in school or early childhood education programs, and that the providers will facilitate their regular attendance and participation to the greatest extent possible. This policy further states that the service providers working with school-aged children and their families will coordinate with the HEAT Team. This ensures a strategic, system-wide response to educate homeless children and unaccompanied youth, as required by the McKinney-Vento Act. The CoC Written Standards also require all housing projects to include information about client rights and access to public education in their intake procedures. Additionally, the CoC's Coordinated Entry Policies and Procedures require all participant advocates, including the Pinellas County School System, to be included in case conferencing for children/youth.

CoC Collaboration Related to Children and Youth–Informing Individuals and Families Experiencing Homelessness about Eligibility for Educational Services. (All Applicants)	
Special NOFO Section VII.B.3.d.	

Describe in the field below written policies and procedures your CoC adopted to inform individuals and families who become homeless of their eligibility for educational services

The CoC policy "Enrollment of Children into School or Early Childhood Education" requires all Pinellas housing providers to ensure all pre-school and school age homeless children in their programs are enrolled in school or early childhood education programs. It also requires providers to facilitate regular attendance and participation by the children in their education programs. This policy states providers working with school age children and their families will coordinate with the Pinellas County Schools Helping Educate All in Transition (HEAT) staff to ensure that the McKinney-Vento Act. (MVA) rights and services are provided to these children in accordance with federal law and school board policy. Providers cannot refuse to provide housing services because of a family's choice to not utilize HEAT services. During intakes, providers must gather information including the grade level of children, school the children are attending or last attended, transportation method to get to and from schools, needs to participate in school appropriately, referral to HEAT team, and schoolbased services needed. Providers may forward a release of information to school districts/early childhood education providers to assist in enrollment in education services. Providers are responsible for notifying families that children will attend their school of origin or zoned school per MVA, in coordination with school district/early education program policies. Providers are responsible for educating households of their MVA rights and available services to ensure barriers such as enrollment delays caused by immunization and medical requirements, residency requirements, lack of birth certificates, or other documentation are eliminated in accordance with federal law and school board policy. To increase parent engagement, shelters and housing programs will allow households flexibility in participating in school related activities. The Collaborative Applicant also maintains a MOA with the Early Learning Coalition of Pinellas County and collaborates with the Florida Department of Children and Families to ensure pre-school age children are enrolled in school readiness services and childcare. Case managers are trained to complete referrals for school readiness services and connection to the Early Learning Coalition for school readiness and childcare resources.

2C-5.	Mainstream Resources–CoC Training of Project Staff. (All Applicants)	
	Special NOFO Section VII.B.3.e.	

Indicate in the chart below whether your CoC trains project staff annually on the following mainstream resources available for program participants within your CoC's geographic area:

	Mainstream Resource	CoC Provides Annual Training?
1.	Food Stamps	Yes
2.	SSI–Supplemental Security Income	Yes
3.	TANF-Temporary Assistance for Needy Families	Yes
4.	Substance Abuse Programs	Yes
5.	Employment Assistance Programs	Yes
6.	Other	Yes

FY2022 Special NOFO CoC Application	Page 21	10/07/2022

2C-5a.	Mainstream Resources–CoC Collaboration with Project Staff Regarding Healthcare Organizations. (All Applicants)
	Special NOFO Section VII.B.3.e.
	Describe in the field below how your CoC:
1.	systemically provides up-to-date information on mainstream resources available for program participants (e.g., Food Stamps, SSI, TANF, substance abuse programs) within your CoC's geographic area;
2.	works with project staff to collaborate with healthcare organizations to assist program participants with enrolling in health insurance;
3.	provides assistance to project staff with the effective use of Medicaid and other benefits; and
4.	works with projects to promote SOAR certification of program staff.

#### (limit 2,500 characters)

1) Collaborative Applicant (CA) offers CoC-wide annual training through the Florida Department of Children and Families (DCF) on mainstream benefits and how to assist the homeless and at-risk in applying for aid. CA staff attend DCF meetings where updates on mainstream benefits, including SNAP benefits, TANF, and Medicaid are provided. Each CoC provider has at least one benefits expert(s) on staff who is charged with staying current on benefit criteria and is responsible for staff training on benefit eligibility and application processes. 2) The CoC collaborates with several healthcare organizations including the Health Care for the Homeless Program (HCH), EVARA (FQHC), and several hospitals, crisis stabilization units, and treatment facilities. HCH provides primary care and an array of specialty care services including dental, substance use, mental health, prevention, screening, and more to over 2,000 homeless individuals annually. HCH also provides a mobile medical unit that travels throughout the CoC homeless shelters and drop-in sites 5 days a week and a Street Medicine team who targets homeless encampments. HCH also manages a clinic offering primary care and dental services on-site 6 days a week. HCH employs a Certified Application Counselor (CAC) to assist patients with obtaining health care coverage. CACs are certified to provide information to consumers about qualified health plan (QHP) options and insurance affordability programs and to assist consumers in applying for coverage in a QHP and insurance affordability programs. CACs also help facilitate enrollment of eligible individuals in QHPs and insurance affordability programs. When patients inquire about service eligibility, staff assess the individual's current situation and educate consumers about the full range of coverage options available, such as QHPs through the Exchange, and other local, state, and federal programs that they might be eligible for. Once the consumer's needs and eligibility factors are determined, staff assist the consumer with the application process. 3)The CA offers CoC-wide annual SOAR training. Additionally, the CoC has a contracted provider that engages homeless individuals or individuals at risk of homelessness who have a serious mental illness, medical impairment, and/or a co-occurring substance use disorder that would qualify for SSI/SSDI. That provider assists eligible individuals with applying for SSI/SSDI benefits utilizing the SOAR model.

## 3A. New Projects With Rehabilitation/New Construction Costs

The CoC Special NOFO page provides HUD-approved resources to assist you in completing the Special NOFO CoC Application, including:

- Special Notice of Funding Opportunity to Address Unsheltered and Rural Homelessness 24 CFR part 578
- Special NOFO CoC Application Navigational Guide
- Section 3 Resources
- Frequently Asked Questions

3A-1.	Rehabilitation/New Construction Costs-New Projects. (Rural Set Aside Only).	
	Special NOFO Section VII.A.	
		•
	If the answer to the question below is yes, you must upload the CoC Letter Supporting Capital Costs attachment to the 4A. Attachments Screen.	
	Is your CoC requesting funding for any new project(s) under the Rural Set Aside for housing rehabilitation or new construction costs?	No

# 3B. Serving Persons Experiencing Homelessness as Defined by Other Federal Statutes

The CoC Special NOFO page provides HUD-approved resources to assist you in completing the Special NOFO CoC Application, including:

- Special Notice of Funding Opportunity to Address Unsheltered and Rural Homelessness
- 24 CFR part 578
- Special NOFO CoC Application Navigational Guide
- Section 3 Resources
- Frequently Asked Questions

3B-1.	Designating SSO/TH/Joint TH and PH-RRH Component Projects to Serving Persons Experiencing Homelessness as Defined by Other Federal Statutes. (Rural Set Aside Only)	
	Special NOFO Section VII.C.	
	Is your CoC requesting to designate one or more of its SSO, TH, or Joint TH and PH-RRH component projects to serve families with children or youth experiencing homelessness as defined by other Federal statutes?	No
3B-2.	Serving Persons Experiencing Homelessness as Defined by Other Federal Statutes. (Rural Set Aside Only)	
	Special NOFO Section VII.C.	
	You must upload the Project List for Other Federal Statutes attachment to the 4A. Attachments Screen.	
	If you answered yes to question 3B-1, describe in the field below:	
1.	how serving this population is of equal or greater priority, which means that it is equally or more cost effective in meeting the overall goals and objectives of the plan submitted under Section 427(b)(1)(B) of the Act, especially with respect to children and unaccompanied youth than serving the homeless as defined in paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of the definition of homeless in 24 CFR 578.3; and	
2.	how your CoC will meet requirements described in Section 427(b)(1)(F) of the Act.	

## 4A. Attachments Screen For All Application Questions

		Please rea	d the following guidance to help you	successfully unload attachments and get maximum				
Please read the following guidance to help you successfully upload attachmen points:								
	1.	You must i Submissio	You must include a Document Description for each attachment you upload; if you do not, the Submission Summary screen will display a red X indicating the submission is incomplete.					
	2.	You must	upload an attachment for each docum	ent listed where 'Required?' is 'Yes'				
	3.	necessary often produ files as a F	We prefer that you use PDF files, though other file types are supported–please only use zip files if ecessary. Converting electronic files to PDF, rather than printing documents and scanning them, ften produces higher quality images and reduces file size. Many systems allow you to create PDF les as a Print Option. If you are unfamiliar with this process, you should consult your IT Support or earch for information on Google or YouTube.					
	4.	Attachmen	ts must match the questions they are	associated with.				
	5.	Only uplo	ad documents responsive to the ques process, which ultimately slows dowr	stions posed–including other material slows down the funding process.				
	6. If you cannot read the attachment, it is likely we cannot read it either.  - We must be able to read the date and time on attachments requiring system-gene times, (e.g., a screenshot displaying the time and date of the public posting using you calendar; screenshot of a webpage that indicates date and time).  - We must be able to read everything you want us to consider in any attachment.							
	7.	Open attac Document	hments once uploaded to ensure the Type.	y are the correct attachment for the required				
Document Type	Requ	ired?	Document Description	Date Attached				
1B-1. Local Competition Announcement	Yes		Local Competition	10/06/2022				
1B-2. Local Competition Scoring Tool	Yes		Local Competition	10/06/2022				
1B-3. Notification of Projects Rejected-Reduced	Yes		Notification of P	10/06/2022				
1B-3a. Notification of Projects Accepted	Yes		Notification of P	10/06/2022				
1B-4. Special NOFO CoC Consolidated Application	Yes							
3A-1. CoC Letter Supporting Capital Costs	No							
3B-2. Project List for Other Federal Statutes	No							
P-1. Leveraging Housing Commitment	No		Leveraging Housin	10/07/2022				
P-1a. PHA Commitment	No		PHA Commitment	10/06/2022				
P-3. Healthcare Leveraging Commitment	No		Healthcare Levera	10/07/2022				
P-9c. Lived Experience Support Letter	No		Lived Experience	10/06/2022				
Plan. CoC Plan	Yes		Plan. CoC Plan	10/07/2022				

FY2022 Special NOFO CoC Application	Page 25	10/07/2022

### **Attachment Details**

**Document Description:** Local Competition Announcement

### **Attachment Details**

**Document Description:** Local Competition Scoring Tool

## **Attachment Details**

**Document Description:** Notification of Projects Rejected-Reduced

## **Attachment Details**

**Document Description:** Notification of Projects Accepted

### **Attachment Details**

**Document Description:** 

### **Attachment Details**

**Document Description:** 

### **Attachment Details**

**Document Description:** 

### **Attachment Details**

**Document Description:** Leveraging Housing Commitment

### **Attachment Details**

**Document Description:** PHA Commitment

## **Attachment Details**

**Document Description:** Healthcare Leveraging Commitment

## **Attachment Details**

**Document Description:** Lived Experience Support Letter

Document Description: Plan. CoC Plan

## **Submission Summary**

Ensure that the Special NOFO Project Priority List is complete prior to submitting.

Page	Last Updated
1A. CoC Identification	10/06/2022
1B. Project Review, Ranking and Selection	10/06/2022
2A. System Performance	10/06/2022
2B. Coordination and Engagement	10/06/2022
2C. Coordination and Engagement–Con't.	10/06/2022
3A. New Projects With Rehab/New Construction	No Input Required
3B. Homelessness by Other Federal Statutes	10/06/2022
4A. Attachments Screen	Please Complete
Submission Summary	No Input Required

#### Supplemental NOFO for Unsheltered Homelessness Scoring Matrix

Please complete the applicant scoring on the individual scoring sheets. The table below is locked from editing. The individual application score sheets will auto-calculate the final scores which will be auto-populated in the overall score table below. Any changes to scoring must be made to the individual applicant score sheets.

	Organization	Project Name	Amount	Туре	CoC Participation	System Performance Outcomes	Budget	CoC Plan Narrative	Capacity & Experience	Quality Threshold	Lived Experience	Race Equity	Total Score	Percentage Score
1	Directions for Living	HOME	\$1,393,765.00	SSO	20	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	20	10%
2	Directions for Living	SOAR	\$126,632.00	SSO	20	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	20	10%
3	Homeless Empowerment Program	Case Management	\$137,222.00	SSO	18	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	18	9%
4	Homeless Empowerment Program	Housing Navigation	\$137,222.00	SSO	18	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	18	9%
5	Pinellas County Housing Authority	Sepcial NOFO	\$515,625.00	PH/SSO	18	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	18	9%
6	Life Intervention Focus Team (LIFT)	Homeless Outreach Partnership	\$56,250.00	SSO	20	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	20	10%
7	Volunteers of America (VOA)	Volunteers of America	\$240,880.00	SSO	18	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	18	9%
8	Homeless Leadership Alliance	Coordinated Entry (CE)	\$314,336.91	CE	20	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	20	10%
9	Homeless Leadership Alliance	CoC Planning	\$146,016.00	Planning	20	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	20	10%
10	Homeless Leadership Alliance	HMIS	\$607,010.78	HMIS	20	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	20	10%
11	Homeless Leadership Alliance	Housing Stabilization	\$1,027,260.00	SSO	20	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	20	10%
12	St. Vincent de Paul	Scattered Site PSH	\$4,733,895.00	PH	20	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	20	10%
13	Salvation Army	One-Stop	\$75,062.50	SSO	20	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	20	10%

Total Requested: \$9,511,177.19
CoC Maximum \$4,733,895
Difference: \$4,777,282.19)

Project Quality Threshold Scoring Rubric		
Permanent Housing Projects	Points Available	Points Earned
The type of housing proposed, including the number and configuration of units, will fit the needs of the program participants.	1	
The type of supportive services (regardless of funding source) that will be offered to program participants to ensure successful retention in or help to obtain permanent housing.	1	
The specific plan with formal partnerships/collaborations for ensuring program participants will be individually assisted to obtain the benefits of mainstream healthcare, social, and employment programs for which they are eligible to apply, and which meet the needs of	1	
program participants (e.g., Medicare, SSI, Food Stamps, etc.) Description of how program participants are assisted to obtain and remain in permanent housing in a manner that fits their needs (e.g., case management, housing that meets accessibility related needs, etc.)	1	
Consistent with the CoC's Plan to Serve Those with Severe Service Needs	1	
Permanent Housing Projects must earn 4 of 5 points to be considered.	Total:	0
Joint Projects	Points Available	Points Earned
The type of housing proposed, including the number and configuration of units, will fit the needs of the program participants (e.g.,	1	
ensuring a range of bedroom sizes to assist various family sizes.)  The proposed project will provide enough rapid rehousing assistance to ensure that at any given time a program participant may move from transitional housing to permanent housing. This may be demonstrated by identifying a budget that has twice as many resources for	1	
the rapid rehousing portion of the project than the TH portion, by having twice as many PH-RRH units at a point in time as TH units, or by demonstrating that the budget and units are appropriate for the population being served by the project.		
The type of supportive services that will be offered to program participants will ensure successful retention or help to obtain permanent housing, including all supportive services regardless of funding source.	1	
The proposed project has a specific plan with formal partnerships/collaborations for ensuring program participants will be individually assisted to obtain the benefits of mainstream healthcare, social services, and employment programs for which they are eligible to apply, and which meet the needs of the program participants (e.g., Medicare, SSI, etc.)	1	
Program participants are assisted to obtain and remain in permanent housing in a manner that fits their needs (e.g., case management, housing that meets accessibility related needs, etc.)	1	
Consistent with the CoC's Plan to Serve Those with Severe Service Needs	1	
Joint Projects must earn 4 of 6 points to be considered.	Total:	0
Supportive Services Only Projects	Points Available	Points Earned
The proposed project has a strategy for providing supportive services to those with the highest service needs, including those with histories of unsheltered homelessness and those who do not traditionally engage with supportive services.	1	
Program participants are assisted to obtain and maintain permanent housing in a manner that fits their needs	1	
The proposed project with formal partnerships/collaborations has a specific plan for ensuring program participants will be individually assisted to obtain the benefits of mainstream healthcare, social services, and employment programs for which they are eligible to apply and which meet the needs of the program participants (e.g., Medicare, SSI, etc.)	1	
The project proposed will participate in coordinated entry.	2	
Consistent with the CoC's Plan to Serve Those with Severe Service Needs  Supportive Services Only Projects must earn 4 of 6 points to be considered.	1 Total:	0
Coordinated Entry  The centralized or coordinated assessment system is easily available/reachable for all persons within the CoC's geographic area who are	Points Available	Points Earned
seeking homeless assistance. The system must also be accessible for persons with disabilities within the CoC's geographic area.  There is a strategy for advertising that is designed specifically to reach homeless persons with the highest barriers within the CoC's	1	
geographic area.	1	
There is a standardized assessment process. Ensures program participants are directed to appropriate housing and services that fit their needs.	1	
Coordinated Entry Projects must earn 2 of 4 points to be considered.	Total:	0
HMIS	Points Available	Points Earned
How the HMIS funds will be expended in a way that is consistent with the CoC's funding strategy for the HMIS and furthers the CoC's HMIS		Tollits Edifica
implementation. The HMIS collects all Universal Data Elements as set forth in the HMIS Data Standards.	1	
The ability of the HMIS to un-duplicate client records.	1	
The HMIS produces all HUD-required reports and provides data as needed for HUD reporting (e.g., APR, quarterly reports, etc.) and other	1	
reports required by other federal partners.		0
reports required by other federal partners.  HMIS Projects must earn 3 of 4 points to be considered.	Total:	
HMIS Projects must earn 3 of 4 points to be considered.	Total:  Points Available	Points Earned
HMIS Projects must earn 3 of 4 points to be considered.  CoC Planning  The CoC conducts meetings of the entire CoC membership that are inclusive and open to members and demonstrates the CoC has a		Points Earned
HMIS Projects must earn 3 of 4 points to be considered.  CoC Planning  The CoC conducts meetings of the entire CoC membership that are inclusive and open to members and demonstrates the CoC has a written governance charter in place that includes CoC policies.  The CoC has CoC-wide planning committees, subcommittees, or workgroups to address homeless needs in the CoC's geographic area that	Points Available	Points Earned
HMIS Projects must earn 3 of 4 points to be considered.  CoC Planning  The CoC conducts meetings of the entire CoC membership that are inclusive and open to members and demonstrates the CoC has a written governance charter in place that includes CoC policies.  The CoC has CoC-wide planning committees, subcommittees, or workgroups to address homeless needs in the CoC's geographic area that recommends and sets policy priorities for the CoC.	Points Available	Points Earned
reports required by other federal partners.  **HMIS Projects must earn 3 of 4 points to be considered.**  CoC Planning  The CoC conducts meetings of the entire CoC membership that are inclusive and open to members and demonstrates the CoC has a written governance charter in place that includes CoC policies.  The CoC has CoC-wide planning committees, subcommittees, or workgroups to address homeless needs in the CoC's geographic area that recommends and sets policy priorities for the CoC.  The proposed planning activities that will be carried out by the CoC with grant funds are compliant with the provisions of 24 CFR 578.7.  The funds requested will improve the CoC's ability to evaluate the outcome of both CoC Program-funded and ESG-funded projects.	Points Available  1	Points Earned

#### Cost Effectiveness Guide

The table below was created with data from HMIS and 2021 HUD funding allocations. When scoring projects, this table should be used to give reviewers an idea of reasonable costs. It is not intended to provide firm cost restrictions for any program.

HUD establishes a contract with different grant dates for each program. Therefore, local programs all work off of different schedules for when their 2021 funding begins and ends. This means that HMIS data for the HLA's fiscal year of October 1, 2020 to September 30, 2021 will not directly align with the funding amounts listed.

Additionally, these caluclations are only able to be completed for PSH and RRH projects since no other project types in our CoC were funded by HUD in 2021. For these reasons, this data should be used to guide reviewers on the general concept of cost effectiveness and not directly coorelate to scoring.

Intervention	Total 2021 HUD Allocation	Total Nights Stayed in Project	Average Cost Per Night	Number of Households Served	Cost per household
PSH	\$1,721,929.00	59,032	\$29.17	271	\$6,353.98
RRH	\$825,467.00	9,242	\$89.32	107	\$7,714.64
TH-RRH (DV)	349,420.00	4,863	\$82.42	59	\$5,921.88

#### FY 2022 Pinellas County CoC RFP Scoring Tool

HOME Project Type: SSO: Case Management, Mental Health, and Outreach Services

Directions for Living Request: \$1,393,765.00

calculated?

Directions for Living	Request:	\$1,393,765.00		
CoC Participation		ed Scoring Rubric	Score	Notes
What is the project's Housing First Questionnaire Score?	8 pts. 6 pts. 4 pts. 2 pts.	Score of 22 – 25 Score of 18 – 21 Score of 14 – 17 Score of 10 – 13 Score of 6 – 9 Score Less than 6	10	To be scored by HLA staff
Does the organization actively participate in HMIS or a comparable victim services database? If they don't currently participate in HMIS, are they willing to if awarded funds?	10 pts. 0 pts.		10	To be scored by HLA staff
Points Possible:	20	Section Total:	20	
System Performance Outcomes	Recommende	ed Scoring Rubric	Score	Notes
Oo the proposed outcomes focus on the achievements of clients, rather than services that will be provided?  Oo the proposed outcomes describe specific ways in which		v) -5 (high) points v) -5 (high) points		
success can be measured?  Are the proposed outcomes relevant to the needs of the population to be served?	Scale of 1 (lov	v) -5 (high) points		
Do the proposed outcomes seem attainable within the 3 year grant period?	Scale of 1 (lov	v) -5 (high) points		
Are the proposed outcomes in alignment with HUD System Performance Measures?	Scale of 1 (low	y) -10 (high) points		
Do the proposed outcomes support the CoC's local system performance benchmarks?	Scale of 1 (low	y) -10 (high) points		
Points Possible:	40	Section Total:	0	
Budget and Proposed Cost Effectiveness	Recommende	ed Scoring Rubric	Score	Notes
s the budget complete and was it calculated correctly?	Scale of 1 (lov	v) -5 (high) points		
Does the budget explain how the amount of funding being requested and/or listed as match in each section was	Scale of 1 (lov	v) -5 (high) points		

#### FY 2022 Pinellas County CoC RFP Scoring Tool

Will the project utilize funds from other sources? If so, does it list the other funding sources, amount, and uses reflecting least 50% other funding sources such as HCV, HOME-ARP, HOPWA, ARPA and CDBG are used to support any housing units proposed?  Is the project cost-effective?	Scale of 1 (low)	-5 (high) points		
(Not deviating substantially from the norm in our CoC for the program type and population served.)	Scale of 1 (low)	-5 (high) points		
Points Possible:	20	Section Total:	0	
	Nar	ratives		
Alignment with CoC Plan Narrative (See Page 2 of Application Instructions for Details)	Recommended	Scoring Rubric	Score	Notes
Does the proposed project provide immediate access to healthcare resources and low-barrier permanent housing?	Scale of 1 (low)	-5 (high) points		
Does the proposed project partner with a local housing authority?	Scale of 1 (low) -	10 (high) points		
Does the proposed project partner with any private or public healthcare organizations? Does the project demonstrate 50% of their proposal is covered by healthcare commitment?	Scale of 1 (low) -	-10 (high) points		
Does the proposed project plan to identify, shelter, and house people in an equitable and efficient way?	Scale of 1 (low)	-5 (high) points		
Does the narrative demonstrate that the organization assisted in the creation of the CoC's plan?	Scale of 1 (low)	-5 (high) points		
Does the narrative explain how the project will utilize housing first principles to reduce the length of time homeless?	Scale of 1 (low)	-5 (high) points		
Does the narrative explain how the project will engage landlords in housing program participants?	Scale of 1 (low)	-5 (high) points		
Does the proposed project explain how it will increase employment opportunities for participants?	Scale of 1 (low)	-5 (high) points		
Points Possible:	50	Section Total:	0	
Organizational Capacity and Experience Narrative (See Page 3 of Application Instructions for Details)	Recommended	Scoring Rubric	Score	Notes
Does the organization have a history of providing services to low-income individuals/households?	Scale of 1 (low)	-5 (high) points		

#### FY 2022 Pinellas County CoC RFP Scoring Tool

Does the organization have experience operating the proposed or similar projects?	Scale of 1 (low) -5	(high) points		
Does the organization have the experience and/or capacity to effectively partner with healthcare agencies, ensuring the project is grounded in public health principles, and housing authorities?	Scale of 1 (low) -5	(high) points		
Is the organization financially sound? Do they describe their organizational liquidity, percent of liabilities and assets, and percent of program expenses and relation to their total expenses?	Scale of 1 (low) -5	(high) points		
Points Possible:	20	Section Total:	0	
Project Quality Threshold (See Page 3 of Application Instructions for Details)	Recommended Sc	oring Rubric	Score	Notes
Does the project meet or exceed the quality threshold score minimum as established by HUD? See "Quality Threshold Rubric" tab for guidance.	Yes 20 Points No 0 Points			
Points Possible:	20	Section Total:	0	
Persons with Lived Experience Narrative	Recommended Sc	oring Rubric	Score	
Does the organization incorporate the input and experience of people with lived experience into their structure, decision making, and quality improvement efforts?	Scale of 1 (low) -20	(high) points		
Points Possible:	20	Section Total:	0	
Race Equity Narrative	Recommended Sc	oring Rubric	Score	Notes
Does the narrative explain the organization's plan to ensure racial equity for staff and clients?	Scale of 1 (low) -5	(high) points		
Has the organization taken steps to secure a commitment to race equity from the board of directors, funders, community stakeholders, volunteers, employees, and clients?	Scale of 1 (low) -5	(high) points		
Has the organization adopted a racial equity approach through policies and procedures, assessments, planning, and/or tools?	Scale of 1 (low) -5	(high) points		

Has the organization created an informal, equitable, inclusive environment for staff and/or communities served?

Scale of 1 (low) -5 (high) points

Points Possible:	20	Section Total:	0
------------------	----	----------------	---

Total Scores	Po	oints Possible	Points Earned	Attachment Checklist Included? Y/N
CoC Participation		20	20	Completed Application and Signed Certification Y
System Performance Outcomes		40	0	Evidence of 501c3 Status (If not a CoC Member)
Budget and Cost Effectiveness		20	0	Most Recently Submitted Federal Form 990 Y
CoC Plan Narrative		50	0	Most Recent Financial Audit Y
Organizational Capacity and Experience		20	0	Current List of Board of Directors Y
Quality Threshold Narrative		20	0	Current Organizational Chart Y
Lived Experience Narrative		20	0	All attachments must be dated between 7/22/22 and 10/20/22
Race Equity Narrative		20	0	
	Total:	210	20	

SOAR Project Type: SSO: Outreach Services

Directions for Living Request: \$126,632.00

calculated?

CoC Participation	Recommende	ed Scoring Rubric	Score	Notes
What is the project's Housing First Questionnaire Score?	8 pts. 6 pts. 4 pts. 2 pts.	Score of 22 – 25 Score of 18 – 21 Score of 14 – 17 Score of 10 – 13 Score of 6 – 9 Score Less than 6	10	To be scored by HLA staff
Ooes the organization actively participate in HMIS or a omparable victim services database? If they don't currently participate in HMIS, are they willing to if awarded funds?	10 pts. 0 pts.	Yes	10	To be scored by HLA staff
Points Possible:	20	Section Total:	20	
System Performance Outcomes	Recommende	ed Scoring Rubric	Score	Notes
Do the proposed outcomes focus on the achievements of clients, rather than services that will be provided?  Do the proposed outcomes describe specific ways in which	Scale of 1 (low	/) -5 (high) points		
success can be measured?	Scale of 1 (low	v) -5 (high) points		
Are the proposed outcomes relevant to the needs of the population to be served?	Scale of 1 (low	/) -5 (high) points		
Do the proposed outcomes seem attainable within the 3 year grant period?	Scale of 1 (low	v) -5 (high) points		
Are the proposed outcomes in alignment with HUD System Performance Measures?	Scale of 1 (low	) -10 (high) points		
Do the proposed outcomes support the CoC's local system performance benchmarks?	Scale of 1 (low	) -10 (high) points		
Points Possible:	40	Section Total:	0	
Budget and Proposed Cost Effectiveness	Recommende	ed Scoring Rubric	Score	Notes
Is the budget complete and was it calculated correctly?	Scale of 1 (low	/) -5 (high) points		
Does the budget explain how the amount of funding being requested and/or listed as match in each section was	Scale of 1 (low	v) -5 (high) points		

Will the project utilize funds from other sources? If so, does it list the other funding sources, amount, and uses reflecting least 50% other funding sources such as HCV, HOME-ARP,	Scale of 1 (low) -	5 (high) noints		
HOPWA, ARPA and CDBG are used to support any housing units proposed?	Scale of 1 (low)	o (mgn) pomics		
Is the project cost-effective? (Not deviating substantially from the norm in our CoC for the program type and population served.)	Scale of 1 (low) -	5 (high) points		
Points Possible:	20	Section Total:	0	
	Narı	ratives		
Alignment with CoC Plan Narrative (See Page 2 of Application Instructions for Details)	Recommended	Scoring Rubric	Score	Notes
Does the proposed project provide immediate access to healthcare resources and low-barrier permanent housing?	Scale of 1 (low) -	5 (high) points		
Does the proposed project partner with a local housing authority?	Scale of 1 (low) -:	10 (high) points		
Does the proposed project partner with any private or public healthcare organizations? Does the project demonstrate 50% of their proposal is covered by healthcare commitment?	Scale of 1 (low) -:	10 (high) points		
Does the proposed project plan to identify, shelter, and house people in an equitable and efficient way?	Scale of 1 (low) -	5 (high) points		
Does the narrative demonstrate that the organization assisted in the creation of the CoC's plan?	Scale of 1 (low) -	5 (high) points		
Does the narrative explain how the project will utilize housing first principles to reduce the length of time homeless?	Scale of 1 (low) -	5 (high) points		
Does the narrative explain how the project will engage landlords in housing program participants?	Scale of 1 (low) -	5 (high) points		
Does the proposed project explain how it will increase employment opportunities for participants?	Scale of 1 (low) -	5 (high) points		
Points Possible:	50	Section Total:	0	
Organizational Capacity and Experience Narrative (See Page 3 of Application Instructions for Details)	Recommended	Scoring Rubric	Score	Notes
Does the organization have a history of providing services to low-income individuals/households?	Scale of 1 (low) -	5 (high) points		

low-income individuals/households?

Does the organization have experience operating the proposed or similar projects?	Scale of 1 (low)	-5 (high) points		
Does the organization have the experience and/or capacity to effectively partner with healthcare agencies, ensuring the project is grounded in public health principles, and housing	Scale of 1 (low)	-5 (high) points		
authorities? Is the organization financially sound? Do they describe their organizational liquidity, percent of liabilities and assets, and percent of program expenses and relation to their total expenses?	Scale of 1 (low)	-5 (high) points		
Points Possible:	20	Section Total:	0	
Project Quality Threshold (See Page 3 of Application Instructions for Details)	Recommended	Scoring Rubric	Score	Notes
Does the project meet or exceed the quality threshold score minimum as established by HUD? See "Quality Threshold Rubric" tab for guidance.		) Points Points		
Points Possible:	20	Section Total:	0	
Persons with Lived Experience Narrative	Recommended	Scoring Rubric	Score	
Does the organization incorporate the input and experience of people with lived experience into their structure, decision making, and quality improvement efforts?	Scale of 1 (low) -	20 (high) points		
Points Possible:	20	Section Total:	0	
Race Equity Narrative	Recommended	Scoring Rubric	Score	Notes
Does the narrative explain the organization's plan to ensure racial equity for staff and clients?	Scale of 1 (low)	-5 (high) points		
Has the organization taken steps to secure a commitment to race equity from the board of directors, funders, community stakeholders, volunteers, employees, and clients?	Scale of 1 (low)	-5 (high) points		
Has the organization adopted a racial equity approach through policies and procedures, assessments, planning, and/or tools?	Scale of 1 (low)	-5 (high) points		

Has the organization created an informal, equitable, inclusive environment for staff and/or communities served?

Scale of 1 (low) -5 (high) points

Points Possible:	20	Section Total:	0
------------------	----	----------------	---

Total Scores	Po	oints Possible	Points Earned	Attachment Checklist Included? Y/N
CoC Participation		20	20	Completed Application and Signed Certification Y
System Performance Outcomes		40	0	Evidence of 501c3 Status (If not a CoC Member)
Budget and Cost Effectiveness		20	0	Most Recently Submitted Federal Form 990 Y
CoC Plan Narrative		50	0	Most Recent Financial Audit Y
Organizational Capacity and Experience		20	0	Current List of Board of Directors Y
Quality Threshold Narrative		20	0	Current Organizational Chart Y
Lived Experience Narrative		20	0	All attachments must be dated between 7/22/22 and 10/20/22
Race Equity Narrative		20	0	
	Total:	210	20	

Case Management Project Type: SSO: Case Management

Homeless Empowerment Program (HEP) Request: \$137,222.00

CoC Participation	Recommende	ed Scoring Rubric	Score	Notes	
What is the project's Housing First Questionnaire Score?	10 pts. 8 pts. 6 pts. 4 pts.	Score of 22 – 25 Score of 18 – 21 Score of 14 – 17 Score of 10 – 13 Score of 6 – 9	8	To be scored by HLA staff	
Does the organization actively participate in HMIS or a comparable victim services database? If they don't currently participate in HMIS, are they willing to if awarded funds?	0 pts. 10 pts. 0 pts.		10	To be scored by HLA staff	
Points Possible:	20	Section Total:	18		
System Performance Outcomes	Recommende	ed Scoring Rubric	Score	Notes	
Do the proposed outcomes focus on the achievements of clients, rather than services that will be provided?  Do the proposed outcomes describe specific ways in which success can be measured?	Scale of 1 (low) -5 (high) points  Scale of 1 (low) -5 (high) points				
Are the proposed outcomes relevant to the needs of the population to be served?	Scale of 1 (low) -5 (high) points				
Do the proposed outcomes seem attainable within the 3 year grant period?	Scale of 1 (lov	v) -5 (high) points			
Are the proposed outcomes in alignment with HUD System Performance Measures?	Scale of 1 (low	) -10 (high) points			
Do the proposed outcomes support the CoC's local system performance benchmarks?	Scale of 1 (low	) -10 (high) points			
Points Possible:	40	Section Total:	0		
Budget and Proposed Cost Effectiveness	Recommende	ed Scoring Rubric	Score	Notes	
s the budget complete and was it calculated correctly?	Scale of 1 (lov	v) -5 (high) points			
Does the budget explain how the amount of funding being requested and/or listed as match in each section was calculated? Will the project utilize funds from other sources? If so, does it	Scale of 1 (lov	v) -5 (high) points			
list the other funding sources, amount, and uses reflecting least 50% other funding sources such as HCV, HOME-ARP, HOPWA, ARPA and CDBG are used to support any housing units proposed?	Scale of 1 (lov	v) -5 (high) points			

Is the project cost-effective?

(Not deviating substantially from the norm in our CoC for the

Scale of 1 (low) -5 (high) points

program type and population served.)				
Points Possible:	20	Section Total:	0	
	N	arratives		
Alignment with CoC Plan Narrative (See Page 2 of Application Instructions for Details)	Recommende	ed Scoring Rubric	Score	Notes
Does the proposed project provide immediate access to healthcare resources and low-barrier permanent housing?	Scale of 1 (low	v) -5 (high) points		
Does the proposed project partner with a local housing authority?	Scale of 1 (low)	) -10 (high) points		
Does the proposed project partner with any private or public healthcare organizations? Does the project demonstrate 50% of their proposal is covered by healthcare commitment?	Scale of 1 (low	) -10 (high) points		
Does the proposed project plan to identify, shelter, and house people in an equitable and efficient way?	Scale of 1 (low	v) -5 (high) points		
Does the narrative demonstrate that the organization assisted in the creation of the CoC's plan?	Scale of 1 (low	v) -5 (high) points		
Does the narrative explain how the project will utilize housing first principles to reduce the length of time homeless?	Scale of 1 (low	v) -5 (high) points		
Does the narrative explain how the project will engage landlords in housing program participants?	Scale of 1 (low	r) -5 (high) points		
Does the proposed project explain how it will increase employment opportunities for participants?	Scale of 1 (low	v) -5 (high) points		
Points Possible:	50	Section Total:	0	
Organizational Capacity and Experience Narrative (See Page 3 of Application Instructions for Details)	Recommende	ed Scoring Rubric	Score	Notes
Does the organization have a history of providing services to ow-income individuals/households?	Scale of 1 (low	r) -5 (high) points		
Does the organization have experience operating the proposed or similar projects?	Scale of 1 (low	v) -5 (high) points		
Does the organization have the experience and/or capacity to effectively partner with healthcare agencies, ensuring the project is grounded in public health principles, and housing authorities?	Scale of 1 (low	v) -5 (high) points		
Is the organization financially sound? Do they describe their organizational liquidity, percent of liabilities and assets, and percent of program expenses and relation to their total expenses?	Scale of 1 (low	v) -5 (high) points		
Points Possible:	20	Section Total:	0	

Dated May 18, 2022

Ν

Υ

Project Quality Threshold (See Page 3 of Application Instructions for Details)	Recommended Scoring Rubric		Score	Notes	
Does the project meet or exceed the quality threshold score minimum as established by HUD? See "Quality Threshold Rubric" tab for guidance.		0 Points Points			
Points Possible:	20	Section Total:	0		
Persons with Lived Experience Narrative	Recommended	Scoring Rubric	Score		
Does the organization incorporate the input and experience of people with lived experience into their structure, decision making, and quality improvement efforts?	Scale of 1 (low)	-20 (high) points			
Points Possible:	20	Section Total:	0		
Race Equity Narrative	Recommended	Scoring Rubric	Score	Notes	
Does the narrative explain the organization's plan to ensure racial equity for staff and clients?	Scale of 1 (low)	-5 (high) points			
Has the organization taken steps to secure a commitment to race equity from the board of directors, funders, community stakeholders, volunteers, employees, and clients?	Scale of 1 (low)	-5 (high) points			
Has the organization adopted a racial equity approach through policies and procedures, assessments, planning, and/or tools?	Scale of 1 (low)	-5 (high) points			
Has the organization created an informal, equitable, inclusive environment for staff and/or communities served?	Scale of 1 (low)	-5 (high) points			
Points Possible:	20	Section Total:	0		
Total Scores	Points Possible	Points Earned		Attachment Checklist	Included
CoC Participation	20	18		Completed Application and Signed Certification	Y
System Performance Outcomes	40	0		Evidence of 501c3 Status (If not a CoC Member)	Y
Budget and Cost Effectiveness	20	0		Most Recently Submitted Federal Form 990	Υ

0

0

0

0

0

18

Most Recent Financial Audit

**Current Organizational Chart** 

Current List of Board of Directors

All attachments must be dated between 7/22/22 and 10/20/22

50

20 20

20

20

210

Total:

CoC Plan Narrative

Race Equity Narrative

Quality Threshold Narrative Lived Experience Narrative

Organizational Capacity and Experience

Housing Navigation Project Type: SSO: Housing Search and Conseling Services

Homeless Empowerment Program (HEP) Request: \$137,222.00

1 0 1 ,		<del>+</del>		
CoC Participation	Recommende	ed Scoring Rubric	Score	Notes
Vhat is the project's Housing First Questionnaire Score?	8 pts. 6 pts. 4 pts. 2 pts.	Score of 22 – 25 Score of 18 – 21 Score of 14 – 17 Score of 10 – 13 Score of 6 – 9 Score Less than 6	8	To be scored by HLA staff
ooes the organization actively participate in HMIS or a omparable victim services database? If they don't currently articipate in HMIS, are they willing to if awarded funds?	10 pts. 0 pts.		10	To be scored by HLA staff
Points Possible:	20	Section Total:	18	
System Performance Outcomes	Recommende	ed Scoring Rubric	Score	Notes
to the proposed outcomes focus on the achievements of lients, rather than services that will be provided? To the proposed outcomes describe specific ways in which uccess can be measured?		v) -5 (high) points		
are the proposed outcomes relevant to the needs of the oppulation to be served?	Scale of 1 (low) -5 (high) points			
to the proposed outcomes seem attainable within the 3 year rant period?	Scale of 1 (low	/) -5 (high) points		
are the proposed outcomes in alignment with HUD System erformance Measures?	Scale of 1 (low	) -10 (high) points		
to the proposed outcomes support the CoC's local system erformance benchmarks?	Scale of 1 (low	) -10 (high) points		
Points Possible:	40	Section Total:	0	
Budget and Proposed Cost Effectiveness	Recommende	ed Scoring Rubric	Score	Notes
s the budget complete and was it calculated correctly?	Scale of 1 (low	/) -5 (high) points		
ooes the budget explain how the amount of funding being equested and/or listed as match in each section was alculated?	Scale of 1 (low	v) -5 (high) points		
Vill the project utilize funds from other sources? If so, does it st the other funding sources, amount, and uses reflecting east 50% other funding sources such as HCV, HOME-ARP, IOPWA, ARPA and CDBG are used to support any housing inits proposed?	Scale of 1 (low	v) -5 (high) points		

Is the project cost-effective?

(Not deviating substantially from the norm in our CoC for the

Scale of 1 (low) -5 (high) points

program type and population served.)				
Points Possible:	20	Section Total:	0	
	N	arratives		
Alignment with CoC Plan Narrative (See Page 2 of Application Instructions for Details)	Recommende	ed Scoring Rubric	Score	Notes
Does the proposed project provide immediate access to healthcare resources and low-barrier permanent housing?	Scale of 1 (low	v) -5 (high) points		
Does the proposed project partner with a local housing authority?	Scale of 1 (low)	) -10 (high) points		
Does the proposed project partner with any private or public healthcare organizations? Does the project demonstrate 50% of their proposal is covered by healthcare commitment?	Scale of 1 (low	) -10 (high) points		
Does the proposed project plan to identify, shelter, and house people in an equitable and efficient way?	Scale of 1 (low	v) -5 (high) points		
Does the narrative demonstrate that the organization assisted in the creation of the CoC's plan?	Scale of 1 (low	v) -5 (high) points		
Does the narrative explain how the project will utilize housing first principles to reduce the length of time homeless?	Scale of 1 (low	v) -5 (high) points		
Does the narrative explain how the project will engage landlords in housing program participants?	Scale of 1 (low	r) -5 (high) points		
Does the proposed project explain how it will increase employment opportunities for participants?	Scale of 1 (low	v) -5 (high) points		
Points Possible:	50	Section Total:	0	
Organizational Capacity and Experience Narrative (See Page 3 of Application Instructions for Details)	Recommende	ed Scoring Rubric	Score	Notes
Does the organization have a history of providing services to ow-income individuals/households?	Scale of 1 (low	r) -5 (high) points		
Does the organization have experience operating the proposed or similar projects?	Scale of 1 (low	v) -5 (high) points		
Does the organization have the experience and/or capacity to effectively partner with healthcare agencies, ensuring the project is grounded in public health principles, and housing authorities?	Scale of 1 (low	v) -5 (high) points		
Is the organization financially sound? Do they describe their organizational liquidity, percent of liabilities and assets, and percent of program expenses and relation to their total expenses?	Scale of 1 (low	v) -5 (high) points		
Points Possible:	20	Section Total:	0	

Dated May 18, 2022

Ν

Υ

Project Quality Threshold (See Page 3 of Application Instructions for Details)	Recommended Scoring Rubric		Score	Notes	
Does the project meet or exceed the quality threshold score minimum as established by HUD? See "Quality Threshold Rubric" tab for guidance.		0 Points Points			
Points Possible:	20	Section Total:	0		
Persons with Lived Experience Narrative	Recommended	Scoring Rubric	Score		
Does the organization incorporate the input and experience of people with lived experience into their structure, decision making, and quality improvement efforts?	Scale of 1 (low)	-20 (high) points			
Points Possible:	20	Section Total:	0		
Race Equity Narrative	Recommended	Scoring Rubric	Score	Notes	
Does the narrative explain the organization's plan to ensure racial equity for staff and clients?	Scale of 1 (low)	-5 (high) points			
Has the organization taken steps to secure a commitment to race equity from the board of directors, funders, community stakeholders, volunteers, employees, and clients?	Scale of 1 (low)	-5 (high) points			
Has the organization adopted a racial equity approach through policies and procedures, assessments, planning, and/or tools?	Scale of 1 (low)	-5 (high) points			
Has the organization created an informal, equitable, inclusive environment for staff and/or communities served?	Scale of 1 (low)	-5 (high) points			
Points Possible:	20	Section Total:	0		
Total Scores	Points Possible	Points Earned		Attachment Checklist	Included
CoC Participation	20	18		Completed Application and Signed Certification	Y
System Performance Outcomes	40	0		Evidence of 501c3 Status (If not a CoC Member)	Y
Budget and Cost Effectiveness	20	0		Most Recently Submitted Federal Form 990	Υ

0

0

0

0

0

18

Most Recent Financial Audit

**Current Organizational Chart** 

Current List of Board of Directors

All attachments must be dated between 7/22/22 and 10/20/22

50

20 20

20

20

210

Total:

CoC Plan Narrative

Race Equity Narrative

Quality Threshold Narrative Lived Experience Narrative

Organizational Capacity and Experience

Special NOFO Project Type: Permanent Supportive Housing, SSO: Case Management, Housing Search, Moving Costs

Pinellas County Housing Authority (PCHA) Request: \$515,625.00

CoC Participation	Recommende	ed Scoring Rubric	Score	Notes
What is the project's Housing First Questionnaire Score?	8 pts. 6 pts. 4 pts. 2 pts.	Score of 22 – 25 Score of 18 – 21 Score of 14 – 17 Score of 10 – 13 Score of 6 – 9 Score Less than 6	8	To be scored by HLA staff
Does the organization actively participate in HMIS or a comparable victim services database? If they don't currently participate in HMIS, are they willing to if awarded funds?	10 pts. 0 pts.		10	To be scored by HLA staff
Points Possible:	20	Section Total:	18	
System Performance Outcomes	Recommende	ed Scoring Rubric	Score	Notes
Do the proposed outcomes focus on the achievements of clients, rather than services that will be provided?	Scale of 1 (low	v) -5 (high) points		
Do the proposed outcomes describe specific ways in which success can be measured?	Scale of 1 (lov	v) -5 (high) points		
Are the proposed outcomes relevant to the needs of the population to be served?	Scale of 1 (low	v) -5 (high) points		
Do the proposed outcomes seem attainable within the 3 year grant period?	Scale of 1 (low	v) -5 (high) points		
Are the proposed outcomes in alignment with HUD System Performance Measures?	Scale of 1 (low	) -10 (high) points		
Do the proposed outcomes support the CoC's local system performance benchmarks?	Scale of 1 (low) -10 (high) points			
Points Possible:	40	Section Total:	0	
Budget and Proposed Cost Effectiveness	Recommende	ed Scoring Rubric	Score	Notes
s the budget complete and was it calculated correctly?	Scale of 1 (lov	v) -5 (high) points		
Does the budget explain how the amount of funding being requested and/or listed as match in each section was calculated?	Scale of 1 (low	v) -5 (high) points		

Will the project utilize funds from other sources? If so, does it list the other funding sources, amount, and uses reflecting least 50% other funding sources such as HCV, HOME-ARP, HOPWA, ARPA and CDBG are used to support any housing units proposed?	Scale of 1 (low) -5 (hi	gh) points		
Is the project cost-effective? (Not deviating substantially from the norm in our CoC for the program type and population served.)	Scale of 1 (low) -5 (hi	gh) points		
Points Possible:	20 Se	ection Total:	0	
	Narrati	ves		
Alignment with CoC Plan Narrative (See Page 2 of Application Instructions for Details)	Recommended Scori	ing Rubric	Score	Notes
Does the proposed project provide immediate access to healthcare resources and low-barrier permanent housing?	Scale of 1 (low) -5 (hi	gh) points		
Does the proposed project partner with a local housing authority?	Scale of 1 (low) -10 (h	igh) points		
Does the proposed project partner with any private or public healthcare organizations? Does the project demonstrate 50% of their proposal is covered by healthcare commitment?	Scale of 1 (low) -10 (h	igh) points		
Does the proposed project plan to identify, shelter, and house people in an equitable and efficient way?	Scale of 1 (low) -5 (hi	gh) points		
Does the narrative demonstrate that the organization assisted in the creation of the CoC's plan?	Scale of 1 (low) -5 (hi	gh) points		
Does the narrative explain how the project will utilize housing first principles to reduce the length of time homeless?	Scale of 1 (low) -5 (hi	gh) points		
Does the narrative explain how the project will engage landlords in housing program participants?	Scale of 1 (low) -5 (hi	gh) points		
Does the proposed project explain how it will increase employment opportunities for participants?	Scale of 1 (low) -5 (hi	gh) points		
Points Possible:	50 Se	ection Total:	0	
Organizational Capacity and Experience Narrative (See Page 3 of Application Instructions for Details)	Recommended Scori	ing Rubric	Score	Notes
Does the organization have a history of providing services to	Scale of 1 (low) -5 (hi	gh) points		

low-income individuals/households?

Does the organization have experience operating the proposed or similar projects?

Scale of 1 (low) -5 (high) points

Does the organization have the experience and/or capacity to effectively partner with healthcare agencies, ensuring the project is grounded in public health principles, and housing authorities?

Scale of 1 (low) -5 (high) points

Is the organization financially sound? Do they describe their organizational liquidity, percent of liabilities and assets, and percent of program expenses and relation to their total

Scale of 1 (low) -5 (high) points

expenses?

Points Possible:	20	Section Total:	0	
Project Quality Threshold (See Page 3 of Application Instructions for Details)	Recommended Scoring Rubric		Score	Notes
Does the project meet or exceed the quality threshold score minimum as established by HUD? See "Quality Threshold Rubric" tab for guidance.	-	es 20 Points lo 0 Points		
Points Possible:	20	Section Total:	0	
Persons with Lived Experience Narrative	Recommen	ded Scoring Rubric	Score	

Does the organization incorporate the input and experience of people with lived experience into their structure, decision making, and quality improvement efforts?

Scale of 1 (low) -20 (high) points

Points Possible:	20	Section Total:	0	
Race Equity Narrative	Recommend	ded Scoring Rubric	Score	Notes
Does the narrative explain the organization's plan to ensure racial equity for staff and clients?	Scale of 1 (lo	ow) -5 (high) points		
Has the organization taken steps to secure a commitment to race equity from the board of directors, funders, community stakeholders, volunteers, employees, and clients?	Scale of 1 (lo	ow) -5 (high) points		
Has the organization adopted a racial equity approach through policies and procedures, assessments, planning, and/or tools?	Scale of 1 (lo	ow) -5 (high) points		
Has the organization created an informal, equitable, inclusive environment for staff and/or communities served?	Scale of 1 (lo	ow) -5 (high) points		

0

Section Total:

Points Possible:

20

Total Scores	Poi	nts Possible	Points Earned	Attachment Checklist Included? Y/N
CoC Participation		20	18	Completed Application and Signed Certification Y
System Performance Outcomes		40	0	Evidence of 501c3 Status (If not a CoC Member)
Budget and Cost Effectiveness		20	0	Most Recently Submitted Federal Form 990 Y
CoC Plan Narrative		50	0	Most Recent Financial Audit
Organizational Capacity and Experience		20	0	Current List of Board of Directors Y
Quality Threshold Narrative		20	0	Current Organizational Chart Y
Lived Experience Narrative		20	0	All attachments must be dated between 7/22/22 and 10/20/22
Race Equity Narrative		20	0	
	Total:	210	18	

Ī	Homeless Outreach Partnership	Project Type:	SSO: Case Management
	Life Intervention Focus Team (LIFT)	Request:	\$56,250.00

Life Intervention Focus Team (LIFT)	Request: \$56,250.00			
CoC Participation	Recommendo	ed Scoring Rubric	Score	Notes
What is the project's Housing First Questionnaire Score?	8 pts. 6 pts. 4 pts. 2 pts.	Score of 22 – 25 Score of 18 – 21 Score of 14 – 17 Score of 10 – 13 Score of 6 – 9 Score Less than 6	10	To be scored by HLA staff
Does the organization actively participate in HMIS or a comparable victim services database? If they don't currently participate in HMIS, are they willing to if awarded funds?	10 pts. 0 pts.		10	To be scored by HLA staff
Points Possible:	20	Section Total:	20	
System Performance Outcomes	Recommend	ed Scoring Rubric	Score	Notes
Do the proposed outcomes focus on the achievements of clients, rather than services that will be provided?	Scale of 1 (lov	w) -5 (high) points		
Do the proposed outcomes describe specific ways in which success can be measured?  Are the proposed outcomes relevant to the needs of the	Scale of 1 (lov	w) -5 (high) points		
population to be served?  Do the proposed outcomes seem attainable within the 3 year	·	w) -5 (high) points		
grant period?	Scale of 1 (lov	w) -5 (high) points		
Are the proposed outcomes in alignment with HUD System Performance Measures?	Scale of 1 (low	/) -10 (high) points		
Do the proposed outcomes support the CoC's local system performance benchmarks?	Scale of 1 (low	v) -10 (high) points		
Points Possible:	40	Section Total:	0	
Budget and Proposed Cost Effectiveness	Recommend	ed Scoring Rubric	Score	Notes
Is the budget complete and was it calculated correctly?	Scale of 1 (lov	w) -5 (high) points		
Does the budget explain how the amount of funding being requested and/or listed as match in each section was calculated?	Scale of 1 (lov	w) -5 (high) points		
Will the project utilize funds from other sources? If so, does it list the other funding sources, amount, and uses reflecting least 50% other funding sources such as HCV, HOME-ARP, HOPWA, ARPA and CDBG are used to support any housing units proposed?		w) -5 (high) points		
Is the project cost-effective? (Not deviating substantially from the norm in our CoC for the program type and population served.)	Scale of 1 (lov	w) -5 (high) points		
Points Possible:	20	Section Total:	0	
		Narratives		
Alignment with CoC Plan Narrative (See Page 2 of Application Instructions for Details)	Recommend	ed Scoring Rubric	Score	Notes

Does the proposed project provide immediate access to healthcare resources and low-barrier permanent housing? Does the proposed project partner with a local housing authority?	Scale of 1 (low) -5 (high) point Scale of 1 (low) -10 (high) point		
Does the proposed project partner with any private or public healthcare organizations? Does the project demonstrate 50% of their proposal is covered by healthcare commitment?	Scale of 1 (low) -10 (high) point	:s	
Does the proposed project plan to identify, shelter, and house people in an equitable and efficient way?  Does the narrative demonstrate that the organization assisted	Scale of 1 (low) -5 (high) point Scale of 1 (low) -5 (high) point		
in the creation of the CoC's plan?  Does the narrative explain how the project will utilize housing first principles to reduce the length of time homeless?	Scale of 1 (low) -5 (high) point		
Does the narrative explain how the project will engage landlords in housing program participants?  Does the proposed project explain how it will increase	Scale of 1 (low) -5 (high) point	S	
employment opportunities for participants?	Scale of 1 (low) -5 (high) point	S	
Points Possible:	50 Section Tot	al: 0	
Organizational Capacity and Experience Narrative (See Page 3 of Application Instructions for Details)	Recommended Scoring Rubric	Score	Notes
Does the organization have a history of providing services to low-income individuals/households?	Scale of 1 (low) -5 (high) point	S	
Does the organization have experience operating the proposed or similar projects?	Scale of 1 (low) -5 (high) point	S	
Does the organization have the experience and/or capacity to effectively partner with healthcare agencies, ensuring the project is grounded in public health principles, and housing authorities?	Scale of 1 (low) -5 (high) point	S	
Is the organization financially sound? Do they describe their organizational liquidity, percent of liabilities and assets, and percent of program expenses and relation to their total expenses?	Scale of 1 (low) -5 (high) point	5	
Points Possible:	20 Section Tot	al: 0	
Project Quality Threshold (See Page 3 of Application Instructions for Details)	Recommended Scoring Rubric	Score	Notes
Does the project meet or exceed the quality threshold score minimum as established by HUD? See "Quality Threshold Rubric" tab for guidance.	Yes 20 Points No 0 Points		
Points Possible:	20 Section Tot	al: 0	
Persons with Lived Experience Narrative	Recommended Scoring Rubric	Score	
Does the organization incorporate the input and experience of people with lived experience into their structure, decision making, and quality improvement efforts?	Scale of 1 (low) -20 (high) point	cs	
Points Possible:	20 Section Tot		
Race Equity Narrative	Recommended Scoring Rubric	Score	Notes

Does the narrative explain the organization's plan to ensure racial equity for staff and clients?

Scale of 1 (low) -5 (high) points

Has the organization taken steps to secure a commitment to race equity from the board of directors, funders, community stakeholders, volunteers, employees, and clients?

Scale of 1 (low) -5 (high) points

Has the organization adopted a racial equity approach through policies and procedures, assessments, planning, and/or tools?

Scale of 1 (low) -5 (high) points

Has the organization created an informal, equitable, inclusive environment for staff and/or communities served?

Scale of 1 (low) -5 (high) points

Dainte Dassible

	ruiits russible.	20	Section rotal.	U			
Total Scores	F	Points Possible	Points Earned		Attachment Checklist	Included? Y/N	
CoC Participation		20	20		Completed Application and Signed Certification	Υ	
System Performance Outcomes		40	0		Evidence of 501c3 Status (If not a CoC Member)	Υ	
Budget and Cost Effectiveness		20	0		Most Recently Submitted Federal Form 990	Υ	
CoC Plan Narrative		50	0		Most Recent Financial Audit	?	A
Organizational Capacity and Experience		20	0		Current List of Board of Directors	Υ	5
Quality Threshold Narrative		20	0		Current Organizational Chart	Υ	
Lived Experience Narrative		20	0				
Race Equity Narrative		20	0				
	Total:	210	20				

Section Total:

Audit provided is from the City of Treasure Island, not LIFT 501@3 is <1 year old

Volunteers of America Project Type: Various SSO
Volunteers of America (VOA) Request: \$240,880.00

CoC Participation	Recommended S	Scoring Rubric	Score	Notes
What is the project's Housing First Questionnaire Score?	8 pts. Scc 6 pts. Scc 4 pts. Scc 2 pts. Scc	ore of 22 – 25 ore of 18 – 21 ore of 14 – 17 ore of 10 – 13 ore of 6 – 9 ore Less than 6	8	To be scored by HLA staff
Does the organization actively participate in HMIS or a comparable victim services database? If they don't currently participate in HMIS, are they willing to if awarded funds?	10 pts. Yes 0 pts. No		10	To be scored by HLA staff
Points Possible:	20	Section Total:	18	
System Performance Outcomes	Recommended S	Scoring Rubric	Score	Notes
Oo the proposed outcomes focus on the achievements of clients, rather than services that will be provided? Oo the proposed outcomes describe specific ways in which success can be measured?	Scale of 1 (low) -5			
Are the proposed outcomes relevant to the needs of the population to be served?	Scale of 1 (low) -5	5 (high) points		
oo the proposed outcomes seem attainable within the 3 year grant period?	Scale of 1 (low) -5	5 (high) points		
Are the proposed outcomes in alignment with HUD System Performance Measures?	Scale of 1 (low) -1	0 (high) points		
Oo the proposed outcomes support the CoC's local system performance benchmarks?	Scale of 1 (low) -1	.0 (high) points		
Points Possible:	40	Section Total:	0	
Budget and Proposed Cost Effectiveness	Recommended S	Scoring Rubric	Score	Notes
s the budget complete and was it calculated correctly?	Scale of 1 (low) -5	5 (high) points		
Does the budget explain how the amount of funding being requested and/or listed as match in each section was calculated?	Scale of 1 (low) -5	5 (high) points		

Will the project utilize funds from other sources? If so, does it list the other funding sources, amount, and uses reflecting least 50% other funding sources such as HCV, HOME-ARP, HOPWA, ARPA and CDBG are used to support any housing units proposed?	Scale of 1 (low) -5 (hi	gh) points		
Is the project cost-effective? (Not deviating substantially from the norm in our CoC for the program type and population served.)	Scale of 1 (low) -5 (hi	gh) points		
Points Possible:	20 Se	ection Total:	0	
	Narrati	ves		
Alignment with CoC Plan Narrative (See Page 2 of Application Instructions for Details)	Recommended Scori	ing Rubric	Score	Notes
Does the proposed project provide immediate access to healthcare resources and low-barrier permanent housing?	Scale of 1 (low) -5 (hi	gh) points		
Does the proposed project partner with a local housing authority?	Scale of 1 (low) -10 (h	igh) points		
Does the proposed project partner with any private or public healthcare organizations? Does the project demonstrate 50% of their proposal is covered by healthcare commitment?	Scale of 1 (low) -10 (h	igh) points		
Does the proposed project plan to identify, shelter, and house people in an equitable and efficient way?	Scale of 1 (low) -5 (hi	gh) points		
Does the narrative demonstrate that the organization assisted in the creation of the CoC's plan?	Scale of 1 (low) -5 (hi	gh) points		
Does the narrative explain how the project will utilize housing first principles to reduce the length of time homeless?	Scale of 1 (low) -5 (hi	gh) points		
Does the narrative explain how the project will engage landlords in housing program participants?	Scale of 1 (low) -5 (hi	gh) points		
Does the proposed project explain how it will increase employment opportunities for participants?	Scale of 1 (low) -5 (hi	gh) points		
Points Possible:	50 Se	ection Total:	0	
Organizational Capacity and Experience Narrative (See Page 3 of Application Instructions for Details)	Recommended Scori	ing Rubric	Score	Notes
Does the organization have a history of providing services to	Scale of 1 (low) -5 (hi	gh) points		

low-income individuals/households?

Does the organization have experience operating the proposed or similar projects?

Scale of 1 (low) -5 (high) points

Does the organization have the experience and/or capacity to effectively partner with healthcare agencies, ensuring the project is grounded in public health principles, and housing authorities?

Scale of 1 (low) -5 (high) points

Is the organization financially sound? Do they describe their organizational liquidity, percent of liabilities and assets, and percent of program expenses and relation to their total

Scale of 1 (low) -5 (high) points

expenses?

Points Possible:	20	Section Total:	0	
Project Quality Threshold (See Page 3 of Application Instructions for Details)	Recommen	ded Scoring Rubric	Score	Notes
Does the project meet or exceed the quality threshold score minimum as established by HUD? See "Quality Threshold Rubric" tab for guidance.	Yes 20 Points No 0 Points			
Points Possible:	20	Section Total:	0	
Persons with Lived Experience Narrative	Recommen	ded Scoring Rubric	Score	

Does the organization incorporate the input and experience of people with lived experience into their structure, decision making, and quality improvement efforts?

Scale of 1 (low) -20 (high) points

Points Possible:	20	Section Total:	0	
Race Equity Narrative	Recommend	ded Scoring Rubric	Score	Notes
Does the narrative explain the organization's plan to ensure racial equity for staff and clients?	Scale of 1 (lo	ow) -5 (high) points		
Has the organization taken steps to secure a commitment to race equity from the board of directors, funders, community stakeholders, volunteers, employees, and clients?	Scale of 1 (lo	ow) -5 (high) points		
Has the organization adopted a racial equity approach through policies and procedures, assessments, planning, and/or tools?	Scale of 1 (lo	ow) -5 (high) points		
Has the organization created an informal, equitable, inclusive environment for staff and/or communities served?	Scale of 1 (lo	ow) -5 (high) points		

0

Section Total:

Points Possible:

20

Total Scores	P	Points Possible	Points Earned	Attachment Checklist Included? Y/N
CoC Participation		20	18	Completed Application and Signed Certification Y
System Performance Outcomes		40	0	Evidence of 501c3 Status (If not a CoC Member)
Budget and Cost Effectiveness		20	0	Most Recently Submitted Federal Form 990 Y
CoC Plan Narrative		50	0	Most Recent Financial Audit
Organizational Capacity and Experience		20	0	Current List of Board of Directors Y
Quality Threshold Narrative		20	0	Current Organizational Chart Y
Lived Experience Narrative		20	0	
Race Equity Narrative		20	0	
	Total:	210	18	

Coordinated Entry (CE) Project Type: Coordinated Entry

Homeless Leadership Alliance (HLA) Request: \$314,336.91

CoC Participation	Recommende	ed Scoring Rubric	Score	Notes
What is the project's Housing First Questionnaire Score?	10 pts. 8 pts. 6 pts. 4 pts. 2 pts.	Score of 22 – 25 Score of 18 – 21 Score of 14 – 17 Score of 10 – 13 Score of 6 – 9 Score Less than 6	10	To be scored by HLA staff
Does the organization actively participate in HMIS or a comparable victim services database? If they don't currently participate in HMIS, are they willing to if awarded funds?	10 pts. 0 pts.		10	To be scored by HLA staff
Points Possible:	20	Section Total:	20	
System Performance Outcomes	Recommended Scoring Rubric		Score	Notes
Do the proposed outcomes focus on the achievements of clients, rather than services that will be provided?  Do the proposed outcomes describe specific ways in which success can be measured?  Are the proposed outcomes relevant to the needs of the population to be served?  Do the proposed outcomes seem attainable within the 3 year grant period?  Are the proposed outcomes in alignment with HUD System	Scale of 1 (lov Scale of 1 (lov Scale of 1 (lov	v) -5 (high) points v) -5 (high) points v) -5 (high) points v) -5 (high) points		
Performance Measures?  Do the proposed outcomes support the CoC's local system	Scale of 1 (low	r) -10 (high) points		
performance benchmarks?	Scale of 1 (low) -10 (high) points			
Points Possible:	40	Section Total:	0	
Budget and Proposed Cost Effectiveness	Recommende	ed Scoring Rubric	Score	Notes
Is the budget complete and was it calculated correctly?	Scale of 1 (lov	v) -5 (high) points		
Does the budget explain how the amount of funding being requested and/or listed as match in each section was calculated?	Scale of 1 (lov	v) -5 (high) points		

Will the project utilize funds from other sources? If so, does it list the other funding sources, amount, and uses reflecting least 50% other funding sources such as HCV, HOME-ARP, HOPWA, ARPA and CDBG are used to support any housing units proposed?	Scale of 1 (low) -5 (hi	gh) points		
Is the project cost-effective? (Not deviating substantially from the norm in our CoC for the program type and population served.)	Scale of 1 (low) -5 (hi	gh) points		
Points Possible:	20 Section Total:		0	
	Narrati	ves		
Alignment with CoC Plan Narrative (See Page 2 of Application Instructions for Details)	Recommended Scori	ing Rubric	Score	Notes
Does the proposed project provide immediate access to healthcare resources and low-barrier permanent housing?	Scale of 1 (low) -5 (hi	gh) points		
Does the proposed project partner with a local housing authority?	Scale of 1 (low) -10 (h	igh) points		
Does the proposed project partner with any private or public healthcare organizations? Does the project demonstrate 50% of their proposal is covered by healthcare commitment?	Scale of 1 (low) -10 (h	igh) points		
Does the proposed project plan to identify, shelter, and house people in an equitable and efficient way?	Scale of 1 (low) -5 (hi	gh) points		
Does the narrative demonstrate that the organization assisted in the creation of the CoC's plan?	Scale of 1 (low) -5 (hi	gh) points		
Does the narrative explain how the project will utilize housing first principles to reduce the length of time homeless?	Scale of 1 (low) -5 (hi	gh) points		
Does the narrative explain how the project will engage landlords in housing program participants?	Scale of 1 (low) -5 (hi	gh) points		
Does the proposed project explain how it will increase employment opportunities for participants?	Scale of 1 (low) -5 (hi	gh) points		
Points Possible:	50 Se	ection Total:	0	
Organizational Capacity and Experience Narrative (See Page 3 of Application Instructions for Details)	Recommended Scori	ing Rubric	Score	Notes
Does the organization have a history of providing services to	Scale of 1 (low) -5 (hi	gh) points		

low-income individuals/households?

Does the organization have experience operating the proposed or similar projects?

Scale of 1 (low) -5 (high) points

Does the organization have the experience and/or capacity to effectively partner with healthcare agencies, ensuring the project is grounded in public health principles, and housing authorities?

Scale of 1 (low) -5 (high) points

Is the organization financially sound? Do they describe their organizational liquidity, percent of liabilities and assets, and percent of program expenses and relation to their total

Scale of 1 (low) -5 (high) points

expenses?

Points Possible:	20	Section Total:	0	
Project Quality Threshold (See Page 3 of Application Instructions for Details)	Recommen	ded Scoring Rubric	Score	Notes
Does the project meet or exceed the quality threshold score minimum as established by HUD? See "Quality Threshold Rubric" tab for guidance.	Yes 20 Points No 0 Points			
Points Possible:	20	Section Total:	0	
Persons with Lived Experience Narrative	Recommen	ded Scoring Rubric	Score	

Does the organization incorporate the input and experience of people with lived experience into their structure, decision making, and quality improvement efforts?

Scale of 1 (low) -20 (high) points

Points Possible:	20	Section Total:	0	
Race Equity Narrative	Recommend	ded Scoring Rubric	Score	Notes
Does the narrative explain the organization's plan to ensure racial equity for staff and clients?	Scale of 1 (lo	ow) -5 (high) points		
Has the organization taken steps to secure a commitment to race equity from the board of directors, funders, community stakeholders, volunteers, employees, and clients?	Scale of 1 (lo	ow) -5 (high) points		
Has the organization adopted a racial equity approach through policies and procedures, assessments, planning, and/or tools?	Scale of 1 (lo	ow) -5 (high) points		
Has the organization created an informal, equitable, inclusive environment for staff and/or communities served?	Scale of 1 (lo	ow) -5 (high) points		

0

Section Total:

Points Possible:

20

Total Scores	ا	Points Possible	Points Earned	Attachment Checklist Inclu	ıded? Y/N
CoC Participation		20	20	Completed Application and Signed Certification	Υ
System Performance Outcomes		40	0	Evidence of 501c3 Status (If not a CoC Member)	Υ
Budget and Cost Effectiveness		20	0	Most Recently Submitted Federal Form 990	Υ
CoC Plan Narrative		50	0	Most Recent Financial Audit	Υ
Organizational Capacity and Experience		20	0	Current List of Board of Directors	Υ
Quality Threshold Narrative		20	0	Current Organizational Chart	Υ
Lived Experience Narrative		20	0		
Race Equity Narrative		20	0		
	Total:	210	20		

CoC Planning Project Type: CoC Planning
Homeless Leadership Alliance (HLA) Request: \$146,016.00

CoC Participation	Recommend	ed Scoring Rubric	Score	Notes
What is the project's Housing First Questionnaire Score?	10 pts. 8 pts. 6 pts. 4 pts. 2 pts.	Score of 22 – 25 Score of 18 – 21 Score of 14 – 17 Score of 10 – 13 Score of 6 – 9 Score Less than 6	10	To be scored by HLA staff
Does the organization actively participate in HMIS or a comparable victim services database? If they don't currently participate in HMIS, are they willing to if awarded funds?	10 pts. 0 pts.		10	To be scored by HLA staff
Points Possible:	20	Section Total:	20	
System Performance Outcomes	Recommende	ed Scoring Rubric	Score	Notes
Do the proposed outcomes focus on the achievements of clients, rather than services that will be provided?  Do the proposed outcomes describe specific ways in which success can be measured?  Are the proposed outcomes relevant to the needs of the population to be served?  Do the proposed outcomes seem attainable within the 3 year grant period?  Are the proposed outcomes in alignment with HUD System  Performance Measures?  Do the proposed outcomes support the CoC's local system performance benchmarks?  Points Possible:	Scale of 1 (low Scale of 1 (low Scale of 1 (low Scale of 1 (low	w) -5 (high) points w) -5 (high) points w) -5 (high) points w) -5 (high) points v) -10 (high) points v) -10 (high) points Section Total:	0	
Budget and Proposed Cost Effectiveness	Recommende	ed Scoring Rubric	Score	Notes
Is the budget complete and was it calculated correctly?	Scale of 1 (lov	v) -5 (high) points		
Does the budget explain how the amount of funding being requested and/or listed as match in each section was calculated?	Scale of 1 (lov	v) -5 (high) points		

Will the project utilize funds from other sources? If so, does it list the other funding sources, amount, and uses reflecting least 50% other funding sources such as HCV, HOME-ARP, HOPWA, ARPA and CDBG are used to support any housing units proposed?	Scale of 1 (low) -5 (hi	gh) points		
Is the project cost-effective? (Not deviating substantially from the norm in our CoC for the program type and population served.)	Scale of 1 (low) -5 (hi	gh) points		
Points Possible:	20 Section Total:		0	
	Narrati	ves		
Alignment with CoC Plan Narrative (See Page 2 of Application Instructions for Details)	Recommended Scori	ing Rubric	Score	Notes
Does the proposed project provide immediate access to healthcare resources and low-barrier permanent housing?	Scale of 1 (low) -5 (hi	gh) points		
Does the proposed project partner with a local housing authority?	Scale of 1 (low) -10 (h	igh) points		
Does the proposed project partner with any private or public healthcare organizations? Does the project demonstrate 50% of their proposal is covered by healthcare commitment?	Scale of 1 (low) -10 (h	igh) points		
Does the proposed project plan to identify, shelter, and house people in an equitable and efficient way?	Scale of 1 (low) -5 (hi	gh) points		
Does the narrative demonstrate that the organization assisted in the creation of the CoC's plan?	Scale of 1 (low) -5 (hi	gh) points		
Does the narrative explain how the project will utilize housing first principles to reduce the length of time homeless?	Scale of 1 (low) -5 (hi	gh) points		
Does the narrative explain how the project will engage landlords in housing program participants?	Scale of 1 (low) -5 (hi	gh) points		
Does the proposed project explain how it will increase employment opportunities for participants?	Scale of 1 (low) -5 (hi	gh) points		
Points Possible:	50 Se	ection Total:	0	
Organizational Capacity and Experience Narrative (See Page 3 of Application Instructions for Details)	Recommended Scori	ing Rubric	Score	Notes
Does the organization have a history of providing services to	Scale of 1 (low) -5 (hi	gh) points		

low-income individuals/households?

Does the organization have experience operating the proposed or similar projects?

Scale of 1 (low) -5 (high) points

Does the organization have the experience and/or capacity to effectively partner with healthcare agencies, ensuring the project is grounded in public health principles, and housing authorities?

Scale of 1 (low) -5 (high) points

Is the organization financially sound? Do they describe their organizational liquidity, percent of liabilities and assets, and percent of program expenses and relation to their total

Scale of 1 (low) -5 (high) points

expenses?

Points Possible:	20	Section Total:	0	
Project Quality Threshold (See Page 3 of Application Instructions for Details)	Recommen	ded Scoring Rubric	Score	Notes
Does the project meet or exceed the quality threshold score minimum as established by HUD? See "Quality Threshold Rubric" tab for guidance.	Yes 20 Points No 0 Points			
Points Possible:	20	Section Total:	0	
Persons with Lived Experience Narrative	Recommen	ded Scoring Rubric	Score	

Does the organization incorporate the input and experience of people with lived experience into their structure, decision making, and quality improvement efforts?

Scale of 1 (low) -20 (high) points

Points Possible:	20	Section Total:	0	
Race Equity Narrative	Recommend	ded Scoring Rubric	Score	Notes
Does the narrative explain the organization's plan to ensure racial equity for staff and clients?	Scale of 1 (lo	ow) -5 (high) points		
Has the organization taken steps to secure a commitment to race equity from the board of directors, funders, community stakeholders, volunteers, employees, and clients?	Scale of 1 (lo	ow) -5 (high) points		
Has the organization adopted a racial equity approach through policies and procedures, assessments, planning, and/or tools?	Scale of 1 (lo	ow) -5 (high) points		
Has the organization created an informal, equitable, inclusive environment for staff and/or communities served?	Scale of 1 (lo	ow) -5 (high) points		

0

Section Total:

Points Possible:

20

Total Scores	1	Points Possible	Points Earned	Attachment Checklist Incl	ıded? Y/N
CoC Participation		20	20	Completed Application and Signed Certification	Υ
System Performance Outcomes		40	0	Evidence of 501c3 Status (If not a CoC Member)	Υ
Budget and Cost Effectiveness		20	0	Most Recently Submitted Federal Form 990	Υ
CoC Plan Narrative		50	0	Most Recent Financial Audit	Υ
Organizational Capacity and Experience		20	0	Current List of Board of Directors	Υ
Quality Threshold Narrative		20	0	Current Organizational Chart	Υ
Lived Experience Narrative		20	0		
Race Equity Narrative		20	0		
	Total:	210	20		

HMIS Project Type: HMIS

Homeless Leadership Alliance (HLA) Request: \$607,010.78

CoC Participation	Recommende	ed Scoring Rubric	Score	Notes
What is the project's Housing First Questionnaire Score?	10 pts. 8 pts. 6 pts. 4 pts. 2 pts.	Score of 22 – 25 Score of 18 – 21 Score of 14 – 17 Score of 10 – 13 Score of 6 – 9 Score Less than 6	10	To be scored by HLA staff
Does the organization actively participate in HMIS or a comparable victim services database? If they don't currently participate in HMIS, are they willing to if awarded funds?	10 pts. Yes 0 pts. No		10	To be scored by HLA staff
Points Possible:	20	Section Total:	20	
System Performance Outcomes	Recommende	ed Scoring Rubric	Score	Notes
Do the proposed outcomes focus on the achievements of clients, rather than services that will be provided?  Do the proposed outcomes describe specific ways in which success can be measured?  Are the proposed outcomes relevant to the needs of the population to be served?  Do the proposed outcomes seem attainable within the 3 year grant period?  Are the proposed outcomes in alignment with HUD System  Performance Measures?  Do the proposed outcomes support the CoC's local system performance benchmarks?	Scale of 1 (low) -5 (high) points  Scale of 1 (low) -10 (high) points  Scale of 1 (low) -10 (high) points			
Points Possible:	40	Section Total:	0	
Budget and Proposed Cost Effectiveness	Recommende	ed Scoring Rubric	Score	Notes
Is the budget complete and was it calculated correctly?	Scale of 1 (lov	v) -5 (high) points		
Does the budget explain how the amount of funding being requested and/or listed as match in each section was calculated?	Scale of 1 (lov	v) -5 (high) points		

Will the project utilize funds from other sources? If so, does it list the other funding sources, amount, and uses reflecting least 50% other funding sources such as HCV, HOME-ARP, HOPWA, ARPA and CDBG are used to support any housing units proposed?	Scale of 1 (low) -5 (high) points					
Is the project cost-effective? (Not deviating substantially from the norm in our CoC for the program type and population served.)	Scale of 1 (low) -5 (hi	gh) points				
Points Possible:	20 Se	ection Total:	0			
	Narrativ	ves				
Alignment with CoC Plan Narrative (See Page 2 of Application Instructions for Details)	Recommended Scori	ng Rubric S	Score	Notes		
Does the proposed project provide immediate access to healthcare resources and low-barrier permanent housing?	Scale of 1 (low) -5 (hi	gh) points				
Does the proposed project partner with a local housing authority?	Scale of 1 (low) -10 (h	igh) points				
Does the proposed project partner with any private or public healthcare organizations? Does the project demonstrate 50% of their proposal is covered by healthcare commitment?	Scale of 1 (low) -10 (h	igh) points				
Does the proposed project plan to identify, shelter, and house people in an equitable and efficient way?	Scale of 1 (low) -5 (hi	gh) points				
Does the narrative demonstrate that the organization assisted in the creation of the CoC's plan?	Scale of 1 (low) -5 (hi	gh) points				
Does the narrative explain how the project will utilize housing first principles to reduce the length of time homeless?	Scale of 1 (low) -5 (hi	gh) points				
Does the narrative explain how the project will engage landlords in housing program participants?	Scale of 1 (low) -5 (hi	gh) points				
Does the proposed project explain how it will increase employment opportunities for participants?	Scale of 1 (low) -5 (hi	gh) points				
Points Possible:	50 Se	ection Total:	0			
Organizational Capacity and Experience Narrative (See Page 3 of Application Instructions for Details)	Recommended Scori	ng Rubric S	Score	Notes		
Does the organization have a history of providing services to	Scale of 1 (low) -5 (hi	gh) points				

low-income individuals/households?

Does the organization have experience operating the proposed or similar projects?

Scale of 1 (low) -5 (high) points

Does the organization have the experience and/or capacity to effectively partner with healthcare agencies, ensuring the project is grounded in public health principles, and housing authorities?

Scale of 1 (low) -5 (high) points

Is the organization financially sound? Do they describe their organizational liquidity, percent of liabilities and assets, and percent of program expenses and relation to their total

Scale of 1 (low) -5 (high) points

expenses?

Points Possible:	20	Section Total:	0	
Project Quality Threshold (See Page 3 of Application Instructions for Details)	Recommended Scoring Rubric		Score	Notes
Does the project meet or exceed the quality threshold score minimum as established by HUD? See "Quality Threshold Rubric" tab for guidance.	Yes 20 Points No 0 Points			
Points Possible:	20	Section Total:	0	
Persons with Lived Experience Narrative	Recommended Scoring Rubric			

Does the organization incorporate the input and experience of people with lived experience into their structure, decision making, and quality improvement efforts?

Scale of 1 (low) -20 (high) points

Points Possible:	20	Section Total:	0	
Race Equity Narrative	Recommend	ded Scoring Rubric	Score	Notes
Does the narrative explain the organization's plan to ensure racial equity for staff and clients?	Scale of 1 (lo	ow) -5 (high) points		
Has the organization taken steps to secure a commitment to race equity from the board of directors, funders, community stakeholders, volunteers, employees, and clients?	Scale of 1 (lo	ow) -5 (high) points		
Has the organization adopted a racial equity approach through policies and procedures, assessments, planning, and/or tools?	Scale of 1 (lo	w) -5 (high) points		
Has the organization created an informal, equitable, inclusive environment for staff and/or communities served?	Scale of 1 (lo	ow) -5 (high) points		

0

Section Total:

Points Possible:

20

Total Scores	1	Points Possible	Points Earned	Attachment Checklist Incl	ıded? Y/N
CoC Participation		20	20	Completed Application and Signed Certification	Υ
System Performance Outcomes		40	0	Evidence of 501c3 Status (If not a CoC Member)	Υ
Budget and Cost Effectiveness		20	0	Most Recently Submitted Federal Form 990	Υ
CoC Plan Narrative		50	0	Most Recent Financial Audit	Υ
Organizational Capacity and Experience		20	0	Current List of Board of Directors	Υ
Quality Threshold Narrative		20	0	Current Organizational Chart	Υ
Lived Experience Narrative		20	0		
Race Equity Narrative		20	0		
	Total:	210	20		

Housing Stabilization (HS) Project Type: SSO

calculated?

Homeless Leadership Alliance (HLA) Request: \$1,027,260.00

CoC Participation	Recommende	ed Scoring Rubric	Score	Notes
What is the project's Housing First Questionnaire Score?	8 pts. 6 pts. 4 pts. 2 pts.	Score of 22 – 25 Score of 18 – 21 Score of 14 – 17 Score of 10 – 13 Score of 6 – 9 Score Less than 6	10	To be scored by HLA staff
Does the organization actively participate in HMIS or a comparable victim services database? If they don't currently participate in HMIS, are they willing to if awarded funds?	10 pts. Yes 0 pts. No		10	To be scored by HLA staff
Points Possible:	20	Section Total:	20	
System Performance Outcomes	Recommende	ed Scoring Rubric	Score	Notes
Oo the proposed outcomes focus on the achievements of clients, rather than services that will be provided? Oo the proposed outcomes describe specific ways in which		v) -5 (high) points		
success can be measured?  Are the proposed outcomes relevant to the needs of the	Scale of 1 (low) -5 (high) points  Scale of 1 (low) -5 (high) points			
population to be served?  Oo the proposed outcomes seem attainable within the 3 year grant period?	Scale of 1 (lov	v) -5 (high) points		
Are the proposed outcomes in alignment with HUD System Performance Measures?	Scale of 1 (low	) -10 (high) points		
Do the proposed outcomes support the CoC's local system performance benchmarks?	Scale of 1 (low	r) -10 (high) points		
Points Possible:	40	Section Total:	0	
Budget and Proposed Cost Effectiveness	Recommende	ed Scoring Rubric	Score	Notes
s the budget complete and was it calculated correctly?	Scale of 1 (lov	v) -5 (high) points		
Does the budget explain how the amount of funding being requested and/or listed as match in each section was	Scale of 1 (lov	v) -5 (high) points		

Will the project utilize funds from other sources? If so, does it list the other funding sources, amount, and uses reflecting least 50% other funding sources such as HCV, HOME-ARP, HOPWA, ARPA and CDBG are used to support any housing units proposed?	Scale of 1 (low) -5	(high) points		
Is the project cost-effective? (Not deviating substantially from the norm in our CoC for the program type and population served.)	Scale of 1 (low) -5	(high) points		
Points Possible:	20	Section Total:	0	
	Narr	atives		
Alignment with CoC Plan Narrative (See Page 2 of Application Instructions for Details)	Recommended So	coring Rubric	Score	Notes
Does the proposed project provide immediate access to healthcare resources and low-barrier permanent housing?	Scale of 1 (low) -5	(high) points		
Does the proposed project partner with a local housing authority?	Scale of 1 (low) -10	) (high) points		
Does the proposed project partner with any private or public healthcare organizations? Does the project demonstrate 50% of their proposal is covered by healthcare commitment?	Scale of 1 (low) -10	) (high) points		
Does the proposed project plan to identify, shelter, and house people in an equitable and efficient way?	Scale of 1 (low) -5	(high) points		
Does the narrative demonstrate that the organization assisted in the creation of the CoC's plan?	Scale of 1 (low) -5	(high) points		
Does the narrative explain how the project will utilize housing first principles to reduce the length of time homeless?	Scale of 1 (low) -5	(high) points		
Does the narrative explain how the project will engage landlords in housing program participants?	Scale of 1 (low) -5	(high) points		
Does the proposed project explain how it will increase employment opportunities for participants?	Scale of 1 (low) -5	(high) points		
Points Possible:	50	Section Total:	0	
Organizational Capacity and Experience Narrative (See Page 3 of Application Instructions for Details)	Recommended So	coring Rubric	Score	Notes
Does the organization have a history of providing services to	Scale of 1 (low) -5	(high) points		

low-income individuals/households?

Does the organization have experience operating the proposed or similar projects?

Scale of 1 (low) -5 (high) points

Does the organization have the experience and/or capacity to effectively partner with healthcare agencies, ensuring the project is grounded in public health principles, and housing authorities?

Scale of 1 (low) -5 (high) points

Is the organization financially sound? Do they describe their organizational liquidity, percent of liabilities and assets, and percent of program expenses and relation to their total

Scale of 1 (low) -5 (high) points

expenses?

Points Possible:	20	Section Total:	0	
Project Quality Threshold (See Page 3 of Application Instructions for Details)	Recommen	ded Scoring Rubric	Score	Notes
Does the project meet or exceed the quality threshold score minimum as established by HUD? See "Quality Threshold Rubric" tab for guidance.	Yes 20 Points No 0 Points			
Points Possible:	20	Section Total:	0	
Persons with Lived Experience Narrative	Recommen	ded Scoring Rubric	Score	

Does the organization incorporate the input and experience of people with lived experience into their structure, decision making, and quality improvement efforts?

Scale of 1 (low) -20 (high) points

Points Possible:	20	Section Total:	0	
Race Equity Narrative	Recommend	ded Scoring Rubric	Score	Notes
Does the narrative explain the organization's plan to ensure racial equity for staff and clients?	Scale of 1 (lo	ow) -5 (high) points		
Has the organization taken steps to secure a commitment to race equity from the board of directors, funders, community stakeholders, volunteers, employees, and clients?	Scale of 1 (lo	ow) -5 (high) points		
Has the organization adopted a racial equity approach through policies and procedures, assessments, planning, and/or tools?	Scale of 1 (lo	ow) -5 (high) points		
Has the organization created an informal, equitable, inclusive environment for staff and/or communities served?	Scale of 1 (lo	ow) -5 (high) points		

0

Section Total:

Points Possible:

20

Total Scores	ا	Points Possible	Points Earned	Attachment Checklist Inclu	ıded? Y/N
CoC Participation		20	20	Completed Application and Signed Certification	Υ
System Performance Outcomes		40	0	Evidence of 501c3 Status (If not a CoC Member)	Υ
Budget and Cost Effectiveness		20	0	Most Recently Submitted Federal Form 990	Υ
CoC Plan Narrative		50	0	Most Recent Financial Audit	Υ
Organizational Capacity and Experience		20	0	Current List of Board of Directors	Υ
Quality Threshold Narrative		20	0	Current Organizational Chart	Υ
Lived Experience Narrative		20	0		
Race Equity Narrative		20	0		
	Total:	210	20		

Scattered-Site Permanent Supportive Housing Project Type: Permanent Supportive Housing

St. Vincent de Paul (SVDP) Request: \$4,733,895.00

CoC Participation	Recommende	ed Scoring Rubric	Score	Notes
What is the project's Housing First Questionnaire Score?	8 pts. 6 pts. 4 pts. 2 pts.	Score of 22 – 25 Score of 18 – 21 Score of 14 – 17 Score of 10 – 13 Score of 6 – 9 Score Less than 6	10	To be scored by HLA staff
ooes the organization actively participate in HMIS or a omparable victim services database? If they don't currently articipate in HMIS, are they willing to if awarded funds?	10 pts. 0 pts.		10	To be scored by HLA staff
Points Possible:	20	Section Total:	20	
System Performance Outcomes	Recommende	ed Scoring Rubric	Score	Notes
Do the proposed outcomes focus on the achievements of clients, rather than services that will be provided?  Do the proposed outcomes describe specific ways in which success can be measured?		v) -5 (high) points v) -5 (high) points		
Are the proposed outcomes relevant to the needs of the proposed outcomes relevant to the needs of the	Scale of 1 (lov	v) -5 (high) points		
Oo the proposed outcomes seem attainable within the 3 year grant period?	Scale of 1 (lov	v) -5 (high) points		
Are the proposed outcomes in alignment with HUD System Performance Measures?	Scale of 1 (low	) -10 (high) points		
Oo the proposed outcomes support the CoC's local system performance benchmarks?	Scale of 1 (low) -10 (high) points			
Points Possible:	40	Section Total:	0	
Budget and Proposed Cost Effectiveness	Recommende	ed Scoring Rubric	Score	Notes
s the budget complete and was it calculated correctly?	Scale of 1 (lov	v) -5 (high) points		
Does the budget explain how the amount of funding being requested and/or listed as match in each section was calculated?	Scale of 1 (lov	v) -5 (high) points		

Will the project utilize funds from other sources? If so, does it list the other funding sources, amount, and uses reflecting least 50% other funding sources such as HCV, HOME-ARP, HOPWA, ARPA and CDBG are used to support any housing units proposed?	Scale of 1 (low) -5	(high) points		
Is the project cost-effective? (Not deviating substantially from the norm in our CoC for the program type and population served.)	Scale of 1 (low) -5	(high) points		
Points Possible:	20	Section Total:	0	
	Narra	atives		
Alignment with CoC Plan Narrative (See Page 2 of Application Instructions for Details)	Recommended Sc	oring Rubric	Score	Notes
Does the proposed project provide immediate access to healthcare resources and low-barrier permanent housing?	Scale of 1 (low) -5	(high) points		
Does the proposed project partner with a local housing authority?	Scale of 1 (low) -10	(high) points		
Does the proposed project partner with any private or public healthcare organizations? Does the project demonstrate 50% of their proposal is covered by healthcare commitment?	Scale of 1 (low) -10	(high) points		
Does the proposed project plan to identify, shelter, and house people in an equitable and efficient way?	Scale of 1 (low) -5	(high) points		
Does the narrative demonstrate that the organization assisted in the creation of the CoC's plan?	Scale of 1 (low) -5	(high) points		
Does the narrative explain how the project will utilize housing first principles to reduce the length of time homeless?	Scale of 1 (low) -5	(high) points		
Does the narrative explain how the project will engage landlords in housing program participants?	Scale of 1 (low) -5	(high) points		
Does the proposed project explain how it will increase employment opportunities for participants?	Scale of 1 (low) -5	(high) points		
Points Possible:	50	Section Total:	0	
Organizational Capacity and Experience Narrative (See Page 3 of Application Instructions for Details)	Recommended Sc	oring Rubric	Score	Notes
Does the organization have a history of providing services to	Scale of 1 (low) -5	(high) points		

low-income individuals/households?

Does the organization have experience operating the proposed or similar projects?

Scale of 1 (low) -5 (high) points

Does the organization have the experience and/or capacity to effectively partner with healthcare agencies, ensuring the project is grounded in public health principles, and housing authorities?

Scale of 1 (low) -5 (high) points

Is the organization financially sound? Do they describe their organizational liquidity, percent of liabilities and assets, and percent of program expenses and relation to their total

Scale of 1 (low) -5 (high) points

expenses?

Points Possible:	20	Section Total:	0	
Project Quality Threshold (See Page 3 of Application Instructions for Details)	Recommen	ded Scoring Rubric	Score	Notes
Does the project meet or exceed the quality threshold score minimum as established by HUD? See "Quality Threshold Rubric" tab for guidance.	Yes 20 Points No 0 Points			
Points Possible:	20	Section Total:	0	
Persons with Lived Experience Narrative	Recommen	ded Scoring Rubric	Score	

Does the organization incorporate the input and experience of people with lived experience into their structure, decision making, and quality improvement efforts?

Scale of 1 (low) -20 (high) points

Points Possible:	20	Section Total:	0	
Race Equity Narrative	Recommend	ded Scoring Rubric	Score	Notes
Does the narrative explain the organization's plan to ensure racial equity for staff and clients?	Scale of 1 (lo	ow) -5 (high) points		
Has the organization taken steps to secure a commitment to race equity from the board of directors, funders, community stakeholders, volunteers, employees, and clients?	Scale of 1 (lo	ow) -5 (high) points		
Has the organization adopted a racial equity approach through policies and procedures, assessments, planning, and/or tools?	Scale of 1 (lo	ow) -5 (high) points		
Has the organization created an informal, equitable, inclusive environment for staff and/or communities served?	Scale of 1 (lo	ow) -5 (high) points		

0

Section Total:

Points Possible:

20

Total Scores	ا	Points Possible	Points Earned	Attachment Checklist Inclu	ıded? Y/N
CoC Participation		20	20	Completed Application and Signed Certification	Υ
System Performance Outcomes		40	0	Evidence of 501c3 Status (If not a CoC Member)	Υ
Budget and Cost Effectiveness		20	0	Most Recently Submitted Federal Form 990	Υ
CoC Plan Narrative		50	0	Most Recent Financial Audit	Υ
Organizational Capacity and Experience		20	0	Current List of Board of Directors	Υ
Quality Threshold Narrative		20	0	Current Organizational Chart	Υ
Lived Experience Narrative		20	0		
Race Equity Narrative		20	0		
	Total:	210	20		

One-Stop Resource and Outreach Center Project Type: Various SSO Salvation Army Request: \$75,062.50

CoC Participation	Recommend	ed Scoring Rubric	Score	Notes
What is the project's Housing First Questionnaire Score?	10 pts. 8 pts. 6 pts. 4 pts. 2 pts.	Score of 22 – 25 Score of 18 – 21 Score of 14 – 17 Score of 10 – 13 Score of 6 – 9 Score Less than 6	10	To be scored by HLA staff
Does the organization actively participate in HMIS or a comparable victim services database? If they don't currently participate in HMIS, are they willing to if awarded funds?	10 pts. 0 pts.		10	To be scored by HLA staff
Points Possible:	20	Section Total:	20	
System Performance Outcomes	Recommend	ed Scoring Rubric	Score	Notes
Do the proposed outcomes focus on the achievements of clients, rather than services that will be provided?  Do the proposed outcomes describe specific ways in which success can be measured?  Are the proposed outcomes relevant to the needs of the population to be served?  Do the proposed outcomes seem attainable within the 3 year grant period?  Are the proposed outcomes in alignment with HUD System  Performance Measures?  Do the proposed outcomes support the CoC's local system performance benchmarks?  Points Possible:	Scale of 1 (low Scale of 1 (low Scale of 1 (low Scale of 1 (low	v) -5 (high) points v) -5 (high) points v) -5 (high) points v) -5 (high) points v) -10 (high) points v) -10 (high) points Section Total:	0	
Budget and Proposed Cost Effectiveness	Recommend	ed Scoring Rubric	Score	Notes
Is the budget complete and was it calculated correctly?	Scale of 1 (lov	v) -5 (high) points		
Does the budget explain how the amount of funding being requested and/or listed as match in each section was calculated?	Scale of 1 (lov	v) -5 (high) points		

Will the project utilize funds from other sources? If so, does it list the other funding sources, amount, and uses reflecting least 50% other funding sources such as HCV, HOME-ARP, HOPWA, ARPA and CDBG are used to support any housing units proposed?	Scale of 1 (low) -5	(high) points		
Is the project cost-effective? (Not deviating substantially from the norm in our CoC for the program type and population served.)	Scale of 1 (low) -5	(high) points		
Points Possible:	20	Section Total:	0	
	Narra	atives		
Alignment with CoC Plan Narrative (See Page 2 of Application Instructions for Details)	Recommended Sc	oring Rubric	Score	Notes
Does the proposed project provide immediate access to healthcare resources and low-barrier permanent housing?	Scale of 1 (low) -5	(high) points		
Does the proposed project partner with a local housing authority?	Scale of 1 (low) -10	(high) points		
Does the proposed project partner with any private or public healthcare organizations? Does the project demonstrate 50% of their proposal is covered by healthcare commitment?	Scale of 1 (low) -10	(high) points		
Does the proposed project plan to identify, shelter, and house people in an equitable and efficient way?	Scale of 1 (low) -5	(high) points		
Does the narrative demonstrate that the organization assisted in the creation of the CoC's plan?	Scale of 1 (low) -5	(high) points		
Does the narrative explain how the project will utilize housing first principles to reduce the length of time homeless?	Scale of 1 (low) -5	(high) points		
Does the narrative explain how the project will engage landlords in housing program participants?	Scale of 1 (low) -5	(high) points		
Does the proposed project explain how it will increase employment opportunities for participants?	Scale of 1 (low) -5	(high) points		
Points Possible:	50	Section Total:	0	
Organizational Capacity and Experience Narrative (See Page 3 of Application Instructions for Details)	Recommended Sc	oring Rubric	Score	Notes
Does the organization have a history of providing services to	Scale of 1 (low) -5	(high) points		

low-income individuals/households?

Does the organization have experience operating the proposed or similar projects?

Scale of 1 (low) -5 (high) points

Does the organization have the experience and/or capacity to effectively partner with healthcare agencies, ensuring the project is grounded in public health principles, and housing authorities?

Scale of 1 (low) -5 (high) points

Is the organization financially sound? Do they describe their organizational liquidity, percent of liabilities and assets, and percent of program expenses and relation to their total

Scale of 1 (low) -5 (high) points

expenses?

Points Possible:	20	Section Total:	0	
Project Quality Threshold (See Page 3 of Application Instructions for Details)	Recommen	ded Scoring Rubric	Score	Notes
Does the project meet or exceed the quality threshold score minimum as established by HUD? See "Quality Threshold Rubric" tab for guidance.	Yes 20 Points No 0 Points			
Points Possible:	20	Section Total:	0	
Persons with Lived Experience Narrative	Recommen	ded Scoring Rubric	Score	

Does the organization incorporate the input and experience of people with lived experience into their structure, decision making, and quality improvement efforts?

Scale of 1 (low) -20 (high) points

Points Possible:	20	Section Total:	0	
Race Equity Narrative	Recommend	ded Scoring Rubric	Score	Notes
Does the narrative explain the organization's plan to ensure racial equity for staff and clients?	Scale of 1 (lo	ow) -5 (high) points		
Has the organization taken steps to secure a commitment to race equity from the board of directors, funders, community stakeholders, volunteers, employees, and clients?	Scale of 1 (lo	ow) -5 (high) points		
Has the organization adopted a racial equity approach through policies and procedures, assessments, planning, and/or tools?	Scale of 1 (lo	ow) -5 (high) points		
Has the organization created an informal, equitable, inclusive environment for staff and/or communities served?	Scale of 1 (lo	ow) -5 (high) points		

0

Section Total:

Points Possible:

20

Total Scores	1	Points Possible	Points Earned	Attachment Checklist Inclu	ıded? Y/N
CoC Participation		20	20	Completed Application and Signed Certification	Υ
System Performance Outcomes		40	0	Evidence of 501c3 Status (If not a CoC Member)	Υ
Budget and Cost Effectiveness		20	0	Most Recently Submitted Federal Form 990	Υ
CoC Plan Narrative		50	0	Most Recent Financial Audit	Υ
Organizational Capacity and Experience		20	0	Current List of Board of Directors	Υ
Quality Threshold Narrative		20	0	Current Organizational Chart	Υ
Lived Experience Narrative		20	0		
Race Equity Narrative		20	0		
	Total:	210	20		

From: Kayleigh Sagonowsky

To:  $\underline{\text{"Libby Stone"}}; \underline{\text{Stephanie Will; jperdomo@voa-fla.org; egalvan@pinellashousing.com; }}\underline{\text{Barrs, William; Carly}}$ 

Pannella; Alex Strieder; "Julio Salinas"; Ashley Lowery; Zachary White; Barkley, John; Kathleen Prossick; Davis, Amy; Randall Burgan; "Kelly Garrett"; Flanagan, Jeri; Damia Kelly; Bruning - Boley Centers, Inc. (christa.bruning@boleycenters.org); Marrone, Kevin (kevin.marrone@boleycenters.org)

Cc: Monika Alesnik; Avery Slyker

Subject: Special NOFO Project Prioritization List Date: Tuesday, September 27, 2022 4:28:00 PM Attachments: Special NOFO Final Project Prioritization.xlsx

## Good Afternoon,

Thank you all for applying for the Special NOFO. Please see attached for the Rank and Review Committee's final prioritization for funds and let me know if you have any questions. These results are also available on our website: <a href="https://www.pinellashomeless.org/">https://www.pinellashomeless.org/</a>

Thank You,

Kayleigh Sagonowsky Program Management Analyst and Advisor The Homeless Leadership Alliance of Pinellas ksagonowsky@hlapinellas.org www.PinellasHomeless.org

From: <u>Kayleigh Sagonowsky</u>
To: <u>Alex Strieder</u>

Subject: Special NOFO Funding Decision Details

Date: Wednesday, October 5, 2022 10:33:00 AM

Hello,

Although the final project prioritization list was already distributed last week, the Department of Housing and Urban Development requires that we notify Special NOFO applicants of the specific reason(s) their application was rejected or partially funded. All applications were reviewed by the Pinellas County Continuum of Care's Review and Rank Committee and scored on their participation in the CoC, system performance outcomes, proposed budget and cost effectiveness, and race equity, lived experience, organizational capacity, and CoC plan narrative responses. All applications were eligible to score up to 210 points. When the Review and Rank Committee met on September 27, 2022, committee members averaged their scores. Your agency's application scored an average of 90%. Since other projects earned higher scores, the Review and Rank Committee decided not to fund your agency's application. To see the full project prioritization list, please visit our website.

Please let me know if you have any questions.

Thank You,

Kayleigh Sagonowsky
Program Management Analyst and Advisor
The Homeless Leadership Alliance of Pinellas
ksagonowsky@hlapinellas.org
www.PinellasHomeless.org

From: Kayleigh Sagonowsky
To: jperdomo@voa-fla.org

Subject:Special NOFO Funding Decision DetailsDate:Wednesday, October 5, 2022 10:38:00 AM

Hello,

Although the final project prioritization list was already distributed last week, the Department of Housing and Urban Development requires that we notify Special NOFO applicants of the specific reason(s) their application was rejected or partially funded. All applications were reviewed by the Pinellas County Continuum of Care's Review and Rank Committee and scored on their participation in the CoC, system performance outcomes, proposed budget and cost effectiveness, and race equity, lived experience, organizational capacity, and CoC plan narrative responses. All applications were eligible to score up to 210 points. When the Review and Rank Committee met on September 27, 2022, committee members averaged their scores. Your agency's application scored an average of 72%. Since other projects earned higher scores, the Review and Rank Committee decided not to fund your agency's application. To see the full project prioritization list, please visit our website.

Please let me know if you have any questions.

Thank You,

Kayleigh Sagonowsky
Program Management Analyst and Advisor
The Homeless Leadership Alliance of Pinellas
ksagonowsky@hlapinellas.org
www.PinellasHomeless.org

From: Kayleigh Sagonowsky
To: eqalvan@pinellashousing.com

Subject: Special NOFO Funding Decision Details

Date: Wednesday, October 5, 2022 10:37:00 AM

Hello,

Although the final project prioritization list was already distributed last week, the Department of Housing and Urban Development requires that we notify Special NOFO applicants of the specific reason(s) their application was rejected or partially funded. All applications were reviewed by the Pinellas County Continuum of Care's Review and Rank Committee and scored on their participation in the CoC, system performance outcomes, proposed budget and cost effectiveness, and race equity, lived experience, organizational capacity, and CoC plan narrative responses. All applications were eligible to score up to 210 points. When the Review and Rank Committee met on September 27, 2022, committee members averaged their scores. Your agency's application scored an average of 58%. Since other projects earned higher scores, the Review and Rank Committee decided not to fund your agency's application. To see the full project prioritization list, please visit our website.

Please let me know if you have any questions.

Thank You,

Kayleigh Sagonowsky
Program Management Analyst and Advisor
The Homeless Leadership Alliance of Pinellas
ksagonowsky@hlapinellas.org
www.PinellasHomeless.org

From: <u>Kayleigh Sagonowsky</u>
To: <u>Barrs, William</u>

Subject: Special NOFO Funding Decision Details

Date: Wednesday, October 5, 2022 10:37:00 AM

Hello,

Although the final project prioritization list was already distributed last week, the Department of Housing and Urban Development requires that we notify Special NOFO applicants of the specific reason(s) their application was rejected or partially funded. All applications were reviewed by the Pinellas County Continuum of Care's Review and Rank Committee and scored on their participation in the CoC, system performance outcomes, proposed budget and cost effectiveness, and race equity, lived experience, organizational capacity, and CoC plan narrative responses. All applications were eligible to score up to 210 points. When the Review and Rank Committee met on September 27, 2022, committee members averaged their scores. Your agency's application scored an average of 69%. Since other projects earned higher scores, the Review and Rank Committee decided not to fund your agency's application. To see the full project prioritization list, please visit our website.

Please let me know if you have any questions.

Thank You,

Kayleigh Sagonowsky
Program Management Analyst and Advisor
The Homeless Leadership Alliance of Pinellas
ksagonowsky@hlapinellas.org
www.PinellasHomeless.org

From: Kayleigh Sagonowsky

To: <u>Avery Slyker</u>

Subject: Special NOFO Funding Decision Details

Date: Wednesday, October 5, 2022 10:35:00 AM

Hello,

Although the final project prioritization list was already distributed last week, the Department of Housing and Urban Development requires that we notify Special NOFO applicants of the specific reason(s) their application was rejected or partially funded. All applications were reviewed by the Pinellas County Continuum of Care's Review and Rank Committee and scored on their participation in the CoC, system performance outcomes, proposed budget and cost effectiveness, and race equity, lived experience, organizational capacity, and CoC plan narrative responses. All applications were eligible to score up to 210 points. When the Review and Rank Committee met on September 27, 2022, committee members averaged their scores. Your agency's application scored an average of 79%. Since other projects earned higher scores, the Review and Rank Committee decided not to fund your agency's application. To see the full project prioritization list, please visit our website.

Please let me know if you have any questions.

Thank You,

Kayleigh Sagonowsky
Program Management Analyst and Advisor
The Homeless Leadership Alliance of Pinellas
ksagonowsky@hlapinellas.org
www.PinellasHomeless.org

From: Kayleigh Sagonowsky
To: "Libby Stone"

Subject: Special NOFO Funding Decision Details

Date: Wednesday, October 5, 2022 10:35:00 AM

Hello,

Although the final project prioritization list was already distributed last week, the Department of Housing and Urban Development requires that we notify Special NOFO applicants of the specific reason(s) their application was rejected or partially funded. All applications were reviewed by the Pinellas County Continuum of Care's Review and Rank Committee and scored on their participation in the CoC, system performance outcomes, proposed budget and cost effectiveness, and race equity, lived experience, organizational capacity, and CoC plan narrative responses. All applications were eligible to score up to 210 points. When the Review and Rank Committee met on September 27, 2022, committee members averaged their scores. Both of your agency's applications scored an average of 79%. Since other projects earned higher scores, the Review and Rank Committee decided not to fund your agency's application. To see the full project prioritization list, please visit our website.

Please let me know if you have any questions.

Thank You,

Kayleigh Sagonowsky
Program Management Analyst and Advisor
The Homeless Leadership Alliance of Pinellas
ksagonowsky@hlapinellas.org
www.PinellasHomeless.org

From: <u>Kayleigh Sagonowsky</u>
To: <u>Stephanie Will</u>

Subject: Special NOFO Funding Decision Details

Date: Wednesday, October 5, 2022 10:34:00 AM

Hello,

Although the final project prioritization list was already distributed last week, the Department of Housing and Urban Development requires that we notify Special NOFO applicants of the specific reason(s) their application was rejected or partially funded. All applications were reviewed by the Pinellas County Continuum of Care's Review and Rank Committee and scored on their participation in the CoC, system performance outcomes, proposed budget and cost effectiveness, and race equity, lived experience, organizational capacity, and CoC plan narrative responses. All applications were eligible to score up to 210 points. When the Review and Rank Committee met on September 27, 2022, committee members averaged their scores. Your agency's application scored an average of 81%. Since other projects earned higher scores, the Review and Rank Committee decided not to fund your agency's application. To see the full project prioritization list, please visit our website.

Please let me know if you have any questions.

Thank You,

Kayleigh Sagonowsky
Program Management Analyst and Advisor
The Homeless Leadership Alliance of Pinellas
ksagonowsky@hlapinellas.org
www.PinellasHomeless.org

From: <u>Kayleigh Sagonowsky</u>
To: <u>Carly Pannella</u>

Subject: Special NOFO Funding Decision Details

Date: Wednesday, October 5, 2022 10:34:00 AM

Hello,

Although the final project prioritization list was already distributed last week, the Department of Housing and Urban Development requires that we notify Special NOFO applicants of the specific reason(s) their application was rejected or partially funded. All applications were reviewed by the Pinellas County Continuum of Care's Review and Rank Committee and scored on their participation in the CoC, system performance outcomes, proposed budget and cost effectiveness, and race equity, lived experience, organizational capacity, and CoC plan narrative responses. All applications were eligible to score up to 210 points. When the Review and Rank Committee met on September 27, 2022, committee members averaged their scores. Your agency's application scored an average of 84%. Since other projects earned higher scores, the Review and Rank Committee decided not to fund your agency's application. To see the full project prioritization list, please visit our website.

Please let me know if you have any questions.

Thank You,

Kayleigh Sagonowsky
Program Management Analyst and Advisor
The Homeless Leadership Alliance of Pinellas
ksagonowsky@hlapinellas.org
www.PinellasHomeless.org



For the best experience, open this PDF portfolio in Acrobat X or Adobe Reader X, or later.

**Get Adobe Reader Now!** 





October 4, 2022

April Lott, CEO Directions for Living 1437 S. Belcher Rd. Clearwater, FL 33764

Dear Ms. Lott,

Pinellas County provides cash match to support DFL in its homeless street outreach objectives within the community. I understand that you need documentation of this match for your application for funding.

The County's match is as follows: \$380,000.00 in salaries, benefits and operational expenses in support of DFL and its staff.

Sincerely,

Karen B. Yatchum





October 4, 2022

April Lott, CEO Directions for Living 1437 S. Belcher Rd. Clearwater, FL 33764

Dear Ms. Lott,

Pinellas County provides cash match to support DFL in its Supplemental Security Disability Income Access, Outreach and Recovery Services (SOAR) objectives within the community. I understand that you need documentation of this match for your application for funding.

The County's match is as follows: \$32,511.00 in salaries, benefits and operational expenses in support of DFL and its staff.

Sincerely,

Karen B. Yatchum





September 15, 2022

Monika Alesnik, Interim CEO Homeless Leadership Alliance of Pinellas, Inc. (HLA) 647 1<sup>st</sup> Ave. N. St. Petersburg, FL 33701

Dear Ms. Alesnik,

Pinellas County provides cash match to support the HLA in its Coordinated Entry objectives within the Continuum of Care. I understand that you need documentation of this match for your application for funding.

The County's match is as follows: \$21,000.00 in salaries, benefits and operational expenses in support of the HLA and its staff.

Sincerely,

Karen B. Yatchum



September 6, 2022

Monika Alesnik, CEO Homeless Leadership Alliance of Pinellas, Inc. (HLA) 647 1<sup>st</sup> Ave. N. St. Petersburg, FL 33701

Dear Ms. Alesnik,

Pinellas County provides cash match to support the HLA in its Housing Navigation services with client assistance objectives within the Continuum of Care. I understand that you need documentation of this match for your application for funding.

The County's annual match is as follows: \$86,000.00 in salaries, benefits and operational expenses in support of the HLA and its prevention/diversion staff for the 3-year project period.

Sincerely,

Kaubyatelm Karen B. Yatchum



September 15, 2022

Monika Alesnik, Interim CEO Homeless Leadership Alliance of Pinellas, Inc. (HLA) 647 1<sup>st</sup> Ave. N. St. Petersburg, FL 33701

Dear Ms. Alesnik,

Pinellas County provides cash match to support the HLA in its Homeless Management Information System (HMIS) objectives within the Continuum of Care. I understand that you need documentation of this match for your application for funding.

The County's match is as follows: \$40,500.00 in salaries, benefits and operational expenses in support of the HLA and its staff.

Sincerely,

Karen B. Yatchum



# CITY OF TREASURE ISLAND, FLORIDA Treasure Island Fire Rescue

180 108th Avenue, Treasure Island, FL 33706 Phone (727) 547-4590 Fax (727) 547-4582





September 19, 2022

Kayleigh Sagonowsky Program Management Analyst and Advisor The Homeless Leadership Alliance of Pinellas 647 1st Ave N. St. Petersburg, FL 33701

## Kayleigh,

As requested, here is confirmation of our having budgeted \$37,500 towards the cost of a licensed social worker. This amount exceeds the \$18,750 HUD match dollars required in our grant application.

This budget was approved on 9/19/22 by our City Commission.

William Barrs

Fire Chief/Assistant City Manager

City of Treasure Island

WBarrs@mytreasureisland.org

727-547-4590 w 727-260-2367 c

# City of Treasure Island, FL

Fund: 001 - GENERAL FUND

# City Manager Proposed

Department: 5191 - NON-DEPARTMENTAL For Budget Fiscal: FY 2023 Period Ending: 07/31

		FY 2021 Total Budget	FY 2021 Total Activity	FY 2022 Original Budget	FY 2022 Total Budget	FY 2022 YTD Activity	FY 2022 Proj. Actual	FY 2023 CM Proposed	Percent Change FY 2023 / FY 2022
Department: 5191 - NON-DEPARTMENTAL Category: 53 - OPERATING EXPENSES CL 001-5191-31100 PR	RTMENTAL PENSES CLAIMS & LITIGATION PROFESSIONAL SVCS	5,000.00	1,971.09 99,828.00	5,000.00 161,000.00	5,000.00 161,000.00	0.00 104,250.00	0.00	5,000.00 160,500.00	0.00 % -0.31 %
Budget Detail Budget Code CM Proposed CM Proposed CM Proposed CM Proposed	Description Federal Lobbying Services Misc/Consultant NEW - LEED City Certification, one-time NEW - Lic. Social Worker (50% Gulfport) State Lobbying Services	Units 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	Price 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	Amount 60,000.00 6,000.00 12,000.00 37,500.00	V				
0015191-32000 00165191-32000	AUDIT SERVICES CONTRACTUAL SERVICES	21,360.00 25,519.84	21,000.00 33,414.43	24,280.00 10,800.00	24,280.00 10,800.00	23,560.00 608.25	24,280.00 10,800.00	22,840.00 10,800.00	0.00%
Fludget Detail Gludget Code GCM Proposed GCM Proposed	Description ADA Compliance Closed Caption Svc	Units 0.00 0.00	Price 0.00 0.00	Amount 1,500.00 9,300.00		07 47 100	111 000 00	98 550.00	-11.29%
001-5191-34015	IT CONTRACTUAL SVC/CONTRAC	116,710.00	115,791.34	111,090.00	111,090.00	85,451.03	111,090.00	98,550.00	-11.29 %
Budget Detail Budget Code CM Proposed CM Proposed	Description Archive Social ASCAP (Music License) CISCO Yearly Maintenance	Units 0.00 0.00 0.00	Price 0.00 0.00 0.00	Amount 3,000.00 500.00 150.00					
CM Proposed	Datto Backup Cloud Storage Yearly Datto Exchange Backupify - Email Backup DropBox Business Account GoToMeeting Granicus HPE Warranty Microsoft 365 - Annual Licenses Network/Server Support Maintenance/	Hilling Control of the Control of th		18,000.00 5,400.00 1,500.00 1,280.00 20,000.00 2,160.00 11,860.00 7,000.00					
CM Proposed CM Proposed CM Proposed CM Proposed	Revize - Website Hosting/Maintenance/Utilitie Revize - Website Hosting/Maintenance/Utilitie Smarsh/MobileGuard Survey Monkey		0 0.00	2,700.00 4,900.00 3,600.00					





September 15, 2022

Monika Alesnik, Interim CEO Homeless Leadership Alliance of Pinellas, Inc. (HLA) 647 1<sup>st</sup> Ave. N. St. Petersburg, FL 33701

Dear Ms. Alesnik,

Pinellas County provides cash match to support the HLA in its Planning and Evaluation objectives within the Continuum of Care. I understand that you need documentation of this match for your application for funding.

The County's match is as follows: \$12,350.00 in salaries, benefits and operational expenses in support of the HLA and its staff.

Sincerely,

Karen B. Yatchum



September 16, 2022

Ms. Stephanie Will The Salvation Army 340 14<sup>th</sup> Avenue South St. Petersburg, FL 33701

Dear Ms. Will:

On August 4, 2022, the City Council of the City of St. Petersburg ("City") approved the FY 2022/23 Annual Action Plan for HUD funding, which includes an allocation for your agency in the amount of \$25,148 to create a one-stop center for providing job and resource services to homeless shelter residents. Anticipated timeframe of when funds will be available is mid to late November 2022. Funding will be provided on a reimbursement bases over the term of a signed agreement.

If you need any further information, please do not hesitate to contact me <u>Lynn.Farr@stpete.org</u> or (727) 892-5452.

Sincerely,

fynn Farr

Lynn Farr Sr. Housing Development Coordinator





August 18, 2022

Pinellas Continuum of Care (CoC) Homeless Leadership Alliance 647 First Avenue North St. Petersburg, FL 33701

Re: Commitment Letter for Continuum of Care to Address Unsheltered and Rural Homelessness

### Dear CoC:

The Housing Authority of the City of St. Petersburg (SPHA) in collaboration with the Pinellas Continuum of Care (CoC) known as the Homeless Leadership Alliance will support individuals and households to eradicate homelessness in Pinellas County.

If funded through this NOFO, SPHA will accept all HUD-awarded Stability Vouchers and pair them with CoC-funded supportive services to maximize client housing success. Additionally, SPHA will work with the CoC and other stakeholders to develop a prioritization plan for allocation of Stability Vouchers. Since 2021, SPHA has offered a preference for general admission to the Housing Choice Voucher Program for applicants receiving services through the CoC.

The Stability Voucher program is available through The Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2021 (Public Law 116-260, approved December 20, 2020) to assist:

- Individuals and families experiencing or at-risk of homelessness.
- Individuals and families fleeing or attempting to flee domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, and stalking.
- Individuals and families that include a veteran family member that meets one of the preceding criteria (Stability Vouchers).

The Pinellas CoC will refer the above client types from the Coordinated Entry System. This is a targeted allocation and directs the Stability Vouchers to the jurisdictions of greatest need.

HUD is investing in local cross-system collaborative efforts to prevent and end homelessness. As a requirement of these Stability Vouchers, SPHA will enter into partnership agreements through a MOU for the program, with local community service agencies that will provide the necessary referrals and required supportive services.

## Responsibilities of SPHA:

 Accept direct referrals for eligible individuals and families through the CoC Coordinated Entry System.

- Commit staff and resources to ensure the application, certification, and voucher process is completed in a timely manner.
- Ensure inspection of units are done in a timely manner.

Based on the above and if awarded, we are pleased to partner with you in this endeavor to provide much needed affordable housing for income eligible citizens of Pinellas County. A Stability Voucher allocation from HUD will ensure the affordability for many individuals and families in greatest need.

Should you need additional information, please contact Larry Gonzalez, Vice-President of Housing Choice Voucher at (727) 323-3171, ext. 213 or via email at <a href="mailto:lgonzalez@stpeteha.org">lgonzalez@stpeteha.org</a>. We look forward to working with you on this important initiative.

Sincerely,

Michael O. Lundy President / CEO

2001 Gandy Blvd. North, St. Petersburg, FL 33702

Phone: (727) 323-3171 • Fax: (727) 209-6988 • TDD: 1 (800) 955-8770 • TTY: 1 (800) 955-8771



## 11479 ULMERTON ROAD, LARGO, FLORIDA 33778

Regina Booker
Interim Executive Director

Telephone: (727) 443-7684 Fax: (727) 489-0757 TDD: (800) 955-8770 TTY: (800) 955-8771

August 17, 2022

Pinellas Continuum of Care (CoC) Homeless Leadership Alliance 647 First Avenue North St. Petersburg, FL 33701

Re: Commitment Letter for Continuum of Care to Address Unsheltered and Rural Homelessness

Dear CoC:

The Pinellas County Housing Authority (PCHA) in collaboration with the Pinellas Continuum of Care (CoC) known as the Homeless Leadership Alliance will support individuals and households to eradicate homelessness in Pinellas County.

If funded through this NOFO, PCHA will pair 75 Stability Vouchers with CoC-funded supportive services and will work with the CoC and other stakeholders to develop a prioritization plan for allocation of Stability Vouchers; or offer a preference for general admission to the Housing Choice Voucher Program through the coordinated entry process.

The Stability Voucher program is available through The Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2021 (Public Law 116-260, approved December 20, 2020) to assist:

- Individuals and families experiencing or at-risk of homelessness.
- Individuals and families fleeing or attempting to flee domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, and stalking.
- Individuals and families that include a veteran family member that meets one of the preceding criteria (Stability Vouchers).

The Pinellas CoC will refer the above client types from the Coordinated Entry System. This is a targeted allocation and directs the vouchers to the jurisdictions of greatest need.

**BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS** 



HUD is investing in local cross-system collaborative efforts to prevent and end homelessness. As a requirement of these Stability Vouchers, PCHA will enter into partnership agreements through a MOU for the program, with the local supportive service agency to provide the necessary referrals and required supportive services.

## Responsibilities of the PHA:

- Accept direct referrals for eligible individuals and families through the CoC Coordinated Entry System.
- Commit staff and resources to ensure the application, certification, and voucher process is completed in a timely manner.
- Ensure inspection of units are done in a timely manner.

Based on the above and if awarded, we are pleased to partner with you in this endeavor to provide much needed affordable housing for income eligible citizens of Pinellas County by providing 75 Stability Vouchers to Address Unsheltered and Rural Homelessness. These vouchers will ensure the affordability for income eligible individuals and families.

Should you need additional information, please contact Elisa Galvan, HCV Program Director at (727) 443-7684, ext. 3056 or via email at egalvan@pinellashousing.com. We look forward to working with you.

Sincerely,

Regina Booker,

Interim Executive Director



August 18, 2022

Monika Alesnik, Ph.D.
Chief Executive Officer
Homeless Leadership Alliance of Pinellas, Inc.

Dear Dr. Alesnik,

The Pinellas County Department of Human Services has been serving those most in need since 1955. With a network of over 105 partner agencies and managing 220 plus contracts and grants, Human Services helps Pinellas County residents obtain access to medical care, emergency financial assistance, helps connect to county judicial resources, optimize benefits for Veterans and dependents, investigate consumer complaints, and help those who are experiencing homelessness.

Pinellas County Human Services supports the Homeless Leadership Alliance's Continuum of Care's 2022 Supplemental HUD NOFO application and is pleased to provide additional details about the robust health care programs offered to homeless individuals in Pinellas County.

Pinellas County Human Service's Health Care for the Homeless (HCH) program is a HRSA, health center program grantee and Federally Qualified Health Center (FQHC) serving nearly 3,000 homeless individuals in Pinellas County annually. County and federal grant funds total nearly \$5M for comprehensive services for homeless individuals. HCH offers a physical 3,000 sq. ft site, the Bayside Health Clinic, which is centrally located to the County's two largest homeless shelters and County Jail. HCH also offers two mobile teams utilizing a Mobile Medical Unit (MMU) for various homeless shelters, drop-in centers, and feeding locations while our Street Medicine team targets unsheltered homeless individuals in smaller encampments.

The HCH program provides primary care, including treatment of illness or injury as well as preventive care, education, prescription coverage and referrals for lab work, specialty care, dental assistance, mental health & substance use treatment including medications for opioid use disorder, HIV testing, PrEP services, and care coordination to unhoused Pinellas County residents.

In 2021, the Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) recognized the Bayside Health Clinic as a Health Center Quality Leader for ranking among the top 30% of health centers for best overall clinical performance and is a recognized as a Patient Centered Medical Home (PCMH) by the National Committee for Quality Assurance.

In addition to the HCH program, the Human Services Department has a robust network of contracted and community partners committed to addressing the needs of homeless individuals in the County. County and grant funds support of over \$26M helps support street outreach, housing and sheltering programs, case management, behavioral health, connections to benefits and more. We look forward to working with the Pinellas County Continuum of Care and the Homeless Leadership Alliance to continue proactively assisting homeless residents in need in Pinellas County.

Sincerely

Karen B. Yatchuka

Director, Human Service Department

440 Court Street, 2nd Floor Clearwater, FL 33756 Phone (727) 464-8400 Fax (727) 464-8454 V/TDD (727) 464-4062 www.pinellascounty.org

Agency / Providers	Agreement Description	Current Contract Amount
211 Tampa Bay Cares, Inc.	HLA Homeless Services (2 call takers for homeless hotline at 211)	\$151,000.00
211 Tampa Bay Cares, Inc.	Rapid Rehousing - Fiscal Administration	\$136,493.50
211 Tampa Bay Cares, Inc.	Rapid Rehousing - Direct Service Funding Pool	\$608,186.00
AdventHealth North Pinellas	Health Services Provider Agreement (Hospitals) for Ambulatory and Inpatient Care	\$72,000.00
BayCare Health System, Inc.	Health Services Provider Agreement (Hospitals) for Ambulatory and Inpatient Care	\$2,172,000.00
BayCare Home Care, Inc.	Community Home Care Medical Services and Durable Medical Equipment Agreement	\$550,000.00
Bayfront Health (OHI West, LLC)	Health Services Provider Agreement (Hospitals) for Ambulatory and Inpatient Care	\$756,000.00
Boley Centers, Inc.	Funding for staff, operations, and services to support Permanent Supportive Housing	\$669,869.00
Brookwood Florida, Inc.	Social Action Grant provides for residential care and intensive counseling to girls close to aging out of program	\$44,000.00
CASA - Community Action Stops Abuse, Inc.	Social Action Grant provides assistance to the emergency shelter for victims of domestic violence	\$100,000.00
CASA - Community Action Stops Abuse, Inc.	Domestic violence shelter, transitional housing, counseling and advocacy for victims of domestic violence	\$89,029.50
Catholic Charities, Diocese of St. Petersburg, Inc.	Pinellas Hope Operating Expenses Contract for Emergency Shelter	\$500,000.00
Catholic Charities, Diocese of St. Petersburg, Inc.	Funding will provide two Supportive Housing Specialists to case manage disabled residents residing in permanent supportive housing units.	\$107,327.00
Citizens Rx	Pharmaceutical Services for PCHP Clients	\$5,000,000.00
Daystar Life Center Inc.	Traveler's Aid - relocation assistance	\$15,750.00
Directions for Mental Health, Inc. dba Directions for Living	CABHI - Behavioral health services to individuals who have experienced homelessness and have been recently housed or are awaiting a housing placement through a housing program (i.e. RRH/Coordinated Entry)	\$278,493.00
Directions for Mental Health, Inc. dba Directions for Living	Homeless Street Outreach	\$400,000.00
Family Housing Assistance Program (FHAP)	Rapid Rehousing for Families	\$377,300.00
Family Resources, Inc.	Social Action Grant provides for the Safe Connections Program to address youth homelessness, provide outreach	\$94,395.00

Florida Department of Health in Pinellas County	Interlocal Agreement for primary care, specialty care, dental services, behavioral health, prescription assistance	\$11,662,170.00
Gulfcoast Legal Services	Social Action Grant provides legal services for preventing and reducing homelessness	\$61,625.00
Homeless Emergency Project, Inc, DBA Homeless Empowerment Program	Homeless Shelter providing emergency shelter to homeless adults identified by homeless street outreach teams	\$124,684.00
Homeless Leadership Alliance, Inc.	Homeless System Diversion Services	\$134,000.00
Homeless Leadership Alliance, Inc.	Bridge Housing Support	\$300,000.00
Homeless Leadership Alliance, Inc.	Funding for HLA, HMIS staff and Youth Services and Cold Night Shelter Program	\$288,330.00
Hope Villages of America, Inc.	Domestic violence shelter, transitional housing, counseling and advocacy for victims of domestic violence	\$56,920.50
Operation PAR, Inc.	CABHI - Behavioral health services to individuals who have experienced homelessness and have been recently housed or are awaiting a housing placement through a housing program (i.e. RRH/Coordinated Entry)	\$211,363.00
Society of St. Vincent De Paul South Pinellas, Inc.	Pinellas Rapid Rehousing Collaborative	\$588,600.00
Volunteers of America of Florida, Inc.	SAF funding to connect residents of Pinellas Hope with resources/referrals for financial assistance, clothing, housing, employment, food, and healthcare.	\$98,378.00
WestCare Gulfcoast-Florida, Inc.	CABHI - Behavioral health services to individuals who have experienced homelessness and have been recently housed or are awaiting a housing placement through a housing program (i.e. RRH/Coordinated Entry)	\$210,150.00
WestCare Gulfcoast-Florida, Inc.	Operation of Turning Point Shelter and Inebriate Receiving Facility	\$154,696.50
WestCare Gulfcoast-Florida, Inc.	Social Action Grant provides healthcare assessments and rapid rehousing assistance at the Turning Point homeless shelter	\$150,000.00
WestCare Gulfcoast-Florida, Inc.	Homeless emergency shelter beds reserved for adults identified by homeless street outreach teams	\$123,735.00
Total	Homeless Continuum Funding-	\$26,286,495.00



Monika Alesnik, Ph.D. Chief Executive Officer Homeless Leadership Alliance of Pinellas, Inc.

09/22/2022

Dear Dr. Alesnik,

Simply Healthcare is a Florida Managed Care Organization (MCO) that provides a wealth of healthcare services and resources to our members throughout Pinellas County. Our organization invests millions of dollars in annual medical, behavioral health, and social determinants of health-related resources to support the health and wellness of our Medicaid members living in Pinellas County. In the past fiscal year, Simply Healthcare also provided additional, health equity investments to Pinellas County low-income communities, many of whom are experiencing housing instability. Finally, we are one of the three (3) MCO's in Florida managing the 1115 Medicaid Housing Waiver Program for Pinellas County residents. We are writing today to express our support of Pinellas County Continuum of Care applying for the Supplemental HUD NOFO Application.

Simply Healthcare provides the following services in Pinellas County through our large Provider Network coupled with internal disease and behavioral health case management:

- Comprehensive Physical health services, including prevention and disease management
- Comprehensive Behavioral health services, including case management
- Housing-related Support Services through the 1115 Medicaid Housing Waiver Program, including Transitional Housing Services, Tenancy Sustaining Services, Mobile Crisis Services, and Peer Support Services
- Additional Medicaid Benefits for members in Pinellas County include, but are not limited to transportation assistance for appointments, opioid use treatment, a lifetime Housing Assistance Benefit to assist with housing stability, HIV/AIDS case management and linkage to HOPWA resources

Simply Healthcare has been partnering with the homeless crisis response system of Pinellas County over the past several years to better integrate our systems of care, as we often serve many of the same clients. We have become members of the Pinellas County Continuum of Care and will also be utilizing the HMIS system to help ensure better care coordination and navigation for at-risk and homeless members of ours.



We believe that when healthcare and homelessness services work together, our clients benefit from more comprehensive care which better addresses their needs. We are hopeful that homeless service providers continue to recognize the value of comprehensive care so we can build on these successes in the future.

Sincerely,

Holly Prince, President

Monika Alesnik, Ph.D. Chief Executive Officer Homeless Leadership Alliance of Pinellas, Inc.

September 27, 2022

Dear Dr. Alesnik,

Sunshine Health is a Managed Care Organization that provides physical and mental healthcare services to consumers throughout Pinellas County. Our organization provides resources to support the health and wellness of those experiencing homelessness in Pinellas County through various contracts, partnerships, and direct and in-kind contributions. We are writing today to express our formal support of Pinellas County Continuum of Care's 2022 Supplemental HUD NOFO Application.

Sunshine Health provides physical and mental healthcare to thousands of Pinellas County residents. Specifically close to five thousand Pinellas County residents on our Serious Mental Illness Specialty plan where members work closely with a field-based Case Manager. Sunshine is one of the three Managed Care Organization's operating the Medicaid 1115 housing waiver, a program that is available to plan members in Pinellas County who are experiencing homelessness or are at risk of homelessness and experiencing Serious Mental Illness and/or Substance Use Disorders. Sunshine's partnership with the Homeless Leadership Alliance of Pinellas has been critical to the success of this program.

Sunshine Health is contracted with several Pinellas County providers to offer intensive case management services to support permanent and sustainable housing goals. These services are covered by the Medicaid 1115 housing waiver. Sunshine Health also covers all behavioral health services, substance abuse services, routine medical and specialty care, prescription coverage, and medical equipment as deemed medically necessary.

Sunshine is an active member with the Pinellas Continuum of Care and Florida Supportive Housing Coalition. Local and community partnerships are a critical component of Sunshine's goal to provide care in communities and to improve the health and quality of life for each of our members.

When healthcare and homelessness services work together, our clients benefit from more comprehensive care which better addresses their needs. I am hopeful that homeless service providers continue to recognize the value of comprehensive care so we can build on these successes in the future.

Sincerely,

Brittany Stewart, LCSW

Brittany Stewart, LCSW

Supervisor, SMI Care Management Sunshine Health

813-294-1760

Monika Alesnik, Ph.D. Chief Executive Officer Homeless Leadership Alliance of Pinellas, Inc.

8/30/2022

Dear Dr. Alesnik,

The Molina Healthcare Florida Specialty Plan is a Managed Care Organization that provides physical and mental healthcare services to patients throughout Pinellas County. Our organization provides resources to support the health and wellness of those experiencing homelessness in Pinellas County through various contracts, partnerships, and direct and in-kind contributions. We are writing today to express our formal support of Pinellas County Continuum of Care's 2022 Supplemental HUD NOFO Application.

Molina Healthcare has existing contracts and partnerships to provide housing services, as well as physical and mental healthcare to the homeless in Pinellas County. These housing contracts and partnerships are made possible through the Florida Medicaid 1115 housing waiver, a program that is available to plan members in Pinellas County who are experiencing homelessness or are at risk of homelessness, and our partnership with the Homeless Leadership Alliance of Pinellas has been critical to the success of this program.

The Molina Healthcare Florida Specialty Plan provides the following services in Pinellas County through our large Provider Network coupled with internal disease and behavioral health case management:

- Comprehensive Physical health services, including prevention and disease management
- Comprehensive Behavioral health services, including case management
- Housing-related Support Services through the 1115 Medicaid Housing Waiver Program, including Transitional Housing Services, Tenancy Sustaining Services, Mobile Crisis Services, and Peer Support Services
- Additional Medicaid Benefits for members in Pinellas County include, but are not limited to transportation assistance for appointments, opioid use treatment

In the past fiscal year, the MolinaCares Accord, our community investment platform, has provided tens of thousands of dollars through direct or in-kind contributions to the homeless through the following events and/or initiatives:

- Reach St Pete pop up pantry initiative This is a mobile grocery stores that serves low income areas and areas of need operating every second and fourth Thursday providing fresh groceries at no cost. MolinaCares is the presenting corporate sponsor making the launch possible and sustainable through partnerships with Publix, Pinellas Sunshine Transit Authority, St Pete Free Clinic, and Feed St Pete.
- **Board of Directors representation** Our Senior Vice President of Healthcare

Services, Dania Batista, sits on the Board of Directors of the Homeless Leadership Alliance and, in addition to providing valuable insight from a healthcare perspective, she has personally committed to and raised more than ten thousand dollars annually.

- **Showered and Empowered** Mobile showers and laundry for the homeless community, awarded a MolinaCares grant and partnered for several outreach events within the past year.
- Metro Inclusive Health Molina Healthcare is on the Pinellas Planning Partnership Committee. Plans and attends several events throughout the year to outreach to the chronically ill and underserved community, including the homeless.

Molina Healthcare and Molina Cares have also partnered with other community organizations to promote behavioral health recovery and raise awareness through the following events:

- American Foundation of Suicide Prevention Annual suicide prevention awareness walk.
- NAMI Pinellas Molina Cares and Molina Healthcare grants for Mental Health workshops, annual awareness walk, and conference for Mental Health Awareness and Prevention.
- Juvenile Welfare Board Sponsor and attended their annual mental health youth summit.
- Evara Health Partner with local FQHC's for their annual back to school events.
- CVS benefit and vaccine awareness events 2 times a month @ local C VS events all year long
- Smile Faith Resource Fairs for the underserved community 2 times a year next one scheduled is 8/20
- Florida Suicide Prevention Coalition Annual Conference Sponsored and attended annual conference 2/2022
- Florida Supportive Housing Summit, St Petersburg, FL Sponsorship and in attendance this year 10/2022
- **Hispanic Outreach Center** Hispanic Heritage Month event 10/2022 We will sponsor and attend this year
- World Aids Day 12/2022 Molina attends and participates every year

When healthcare and homelessness services work together, our clients benefit from more comprehensive care which better addresses their needs. I am hopeful that homeless service providers continue to recognize the value of comprehensive care so we can build on these successes in the future.

Sincerely,

Steve Litherland, CRPS, NCPRSS | Supervisor

Healthcare Services Molina Healthcare of Florida Specialty Plan Steve.Litherland@MolinaHealthcare.com

Cell: 407-406-2952

Monika Alesnik, Ph.D. Chief Executive Officer Homeless Leadership Alliance of Pinellas, Inc.

August 25, 2022

Dear Dr. Alesnik,

On August 5, 2022, we attended the Homeless Leadership Alliance's strategic planning session at Collaborative Labs. We joined 48 local government, healthcare, and housing representatives to discuss the state of homelessness in Pinellas County. We identified parts of the homelessness system that are working well and those that aren't. We broke into groups to discuss these problem areas further and brainstormed ideas to make the system better. Those ideas were incorporated into the Pinellas County Continuum of Care's Plan for Serving Those Experiencing Homelessness with Severe Service Needs. We met again on August 25, 2022, to review the plan and provide additional feedback about the proposed strategies.

## Regarding Street Outreach, our Workgroup believes:

- Law enforcement officers should be removed from Street Outreach Teams
  - O When there is an officer with a gun, the entire dynamic of the conversation changes
  - People are less likely to be truthful about their situation and needs
  - People are scared they will be ticketed or arrested
- More Peer Specialists should be employed
- Street Outreach should continue to become more targeted, and potentially dispatchable for more populations
- The Continuum of Care should create silicone wristbands that could be passed out by Street Outreach Teams and other service providers to identify people with severe service needs
  - If these issues were easily indefinable by law-enforcement officers, EMS, and local businesses and service providers, it would help avoid many potential issues
- More street outreach teams are needed
- Street Outreach teams should be able to offer additional services like transportation to shelters
- Street Outreach teams should work on the weekends and after 5 PM

# Regarding Emergency Shelter, our Workgroup believes:

- Safe Harbor needs to be improved to provide better conditions for residents and quicker access to permanent housing
- Safe Harbor should not employ armed law-enforcement officers to work at the shelter
- All Emergency shelters should employ those with lived experience to oversee shelters and enforce any necessary rules
- More family shelter is needed
- Emergency shelters should increase access to healthcare services, even if that just means checking in on someone in their tent at Safe Harbor to make sure their needs are met

# Regarding Permanent Housing, our Workgroup believes:

- More Housing Navigators and Landlord Liaisons should be hired
- Stability Vouchers should be reserved for those with a VI-SPDAT score of 8+
- Additional points should be provided for those with children and those who are unsheltered
- Housing/Voucher opportunities need to be more widely promoted through schools, transportation, and emergency services
- Incentives should continue to be provided to landlords if it increases the number of units available
- Peer mentors should live near by those with severe service needs to increase their housing stability.

Many of us enjoyed participating in the writing of the plan and having our voices heard. We would like to continue

meeting and providing feedback to the Continuum of Care Board. Homeless Leadership Alliance staff said they would revise the Charter to include a Lived Experience Advisory Council (LEAC) that operates similarly to the existing Funders' and Providers' Councils. Once the Charter is amended, they said we could continue to meet, potentially with the assistance of an HLA staff member, if awarded funds through this grant, to develop our own policies and procedures and determine the issues we would like to focus on.

Overall, we believe the ideas outlined in the CoC's plan to serve those with sever service needs will help many people and we are excited to continue our work as members of the Lived Experience Advisory Council.

Sincerely,	
Priscilla Barbara Signature:Absent	Melbert Bell, Jr. Signature: Absent
Ryan Cortright Signature:	Leah Cozzola Signature: Absent
Fernando Monroe Signature:	Jeanne Richardson Signature: Leanne Richardson
Maria Roberts Signature: Maria A Roberts	G.W. Rolle Signature: Absent
Yolanda Louis-Jacques Signature:	