AGENDA ITEM DESCRIPTION FORM

Meeting Name:	HLB Board of Directors
Date:	04/01/2019
Item Title:	2018 HUD Continuum of Care Program Competition Debriefing
Name of Staff Member Submitting:	Susan Myers
Background:	The HLB scored 181.75 points out of a possible 200 on the 2018 CoC NOFA. The highest score for any CoC was 190 and the lowest was 47.75. The areas where the HLB lost the most points were on CoC Structure and Governance (43.75 out of 52), and CoC Performance and Strategic Planning (67.5 out of 77). The HLB was not awarded a Bonus Project for 2018; which includes $237,445 for the general bonus and $362,184 for the DV bonus. The System Redesign Committee discussed the Debriefing document and the current process for the HUD NOFA review and rank process. The Committee discussed that in order to make data driven decisions, there needs to be more time to evaluate the CoC funded projects. The Committee recommends that the HLB begin evaluating all current HUD funded projects in advance of the NOFA's release. Additionally, the System Redesign Committee recommends changing the name of the committee to the **Strategic Planning Committee,** in order to enhance the committee's focus on planning for the Continuum of Care.
Budget Impact (if any):	Loss of $599,692 in requested Bonus Project Dollars
Staff Recommendation	Approve the System Redesign Committee's recommendations to: • Begin the review and rank process prior to the release of the NOFA; • Revise the committee's name to the Strategic Planning Committee.
CEO Approval:	*Susan Myers, CEO* – **Approved, 04/01/2019**

Continuum of Care Program Competition Debriefing | FY 2018

CoC Name: Pinellas County Homeless Leadership Board, Inc.

CoC Number: FL-502

This document summarizes the scores your Continuum of Care (CoC) received during the Fiscal Year (FY) 2018 CoC Program Competition and includes:

1. **High Priority CoC Application Questions**;
2. **CoC Scoring Summary**–on the four sections of the application; and
3. **Overall Scores for all CoCs**–including highest and lowest scores.

The scores are organized in the same manner as the CoC application. HUD included a FY 2018 CoC Application NOFA Cross Reference in the Detailed Instructions of the FY 2018 CoC Application which indicated how the CoC application questions relate to the NOFA for the FY 2018 CoC Program Competition.

1. High Priority CoC Application Questions

CoC Application Questions	Maximum Score Available	CoC Score Received
1E. Continuum of Care (CoC) Project Review, Ranking, and Selection		
This question assessed whether a CoC used objective criteria and past performance to review and rank projects. To receive full points, CoCs would have had to use performance-based criteria to at least partially evaluate and rank projects. Examples of performance criteria include reducing the length of time people experienced homelessness and the degree to which people exited programs for permanent housing destinations. **1E-1.** Project Ranking and Selection. Applicants must indicate whether the CoC used the following to rank and select project applications for the FY 2018 CoC Program Competition: (1) objective criteria; (2) at least one factor related to achieving positive housing outcomes; (3) a specific method for evaluating projects submitted by victim services providers; and (4) attach evidence that supports the process selected.	18	15
1E-2. Severity of Needs and Vulnerabilities. Applicants must describe: (1) the specific severity of needs and vulnerabilities the CoC considered when reviewing, ranking, and rating projects; and (2) how the CoC takes severity of needs and vulnerabilities into account during the review, rating, and ranking process.	4	0
2A. Homeless Management Information System (HMIS) Bed Coverage		
2A-5. Bed Coverage Rate. Using 2018 HIC and HMIS data, applicants must report by project type: (1) total number of beds in 2018 HIC; (2) total beds dedicated for DV in the 2018 HIC; and (3) total number of beds in HMIS.	6	6

1. High Priority CoC Application Questions		
CoC Application Questions	**Maximum Score Available**	**CoC Score Received**
3A. Continuum of Care (CoC) System Performance		
3A-1. First Time Homeless as Reported in HDX. Applicants must: (1) describe how the CoC determined which risk factors the CoC uses to identify persons becoming homeless for the first time; (2) describe the CoC's strategy to address individuals and families at risk of becoming homeless; and (3) provide the name of the organization or position title that is responsible for overseeing the CoC's strategy to reduce the number of individuals and families experiencing homelessness for the first time.	3	3
3A-2. Length-of-Time Homeless as Reported in HDX. Applicants must: (1) provide the average length of time individuals and persons in families remained homeless (i.e., the number); (2) describe the CoC's strategy to reduce the length-of-time individuals and persons in families remain homeless; (3) describe how the CoC identifies and houses individuals and persons in families with the longest lengths of time homeless; and (4) provide the name of the organization or position title that is responsible for overseeing the CoC's strategy to reduce the length of time individuals and families remain homeless.	14	11
3A-3. Successful Permanent Housing Placement and Retention as Reported in HDX. Applicants must: (1) provide the percentage of individuals and persons in families in emergency shelter, safe havens, transitional housing, and rapid rehousing that exit to permanent housing destinations; and (2) provide the percentage of individuals and persons in families in permanent housing projects, other than rapid rehousing, that retain their permanent housing or exit to permanent housing destinations. Applicants must: (1) describe the CoC's strategy to increase the rate at which individuals and persons in families in emergency shelter, safe havens, transitional housing and rapid rehousing exit to permanent housing destinations; and (2) describe the CoC's strategy to increase the rate at which individuals and persons in families in permanent housing projects, other than rapid rehousing, retain their permanent housing or exit to permanent housing destinations.	11	9.5
3A-4. Returns to Homelessness as Reported in HDX. Applicants must report the percentage of individuals and persons in families returning to homelessness over a 6- and 12-month period as reported in HDX. Applicants must: 1) describe how the CoC identifies common factors of individuals and persons in families who return to homelessness; (2) describe the CoC's strategy to reduce the rate of additional returns to	8	6

1. High Priority CoC Application Questions		
CoC Application Questions	**Maximum Score Available**	**CoC Score Received**
homelessness; and (3) provide the name of the organization or position title that is responsible for overseeing the CoC's strategy to reduce the rate individuals and persons in families returns to homelessness.		
3A-5. Job and Income Growth. Applicants must: (1) describe the CoC's strategy to increase access to employment and non-employment cash sources; (2) describe how the CoC works with mainstream employment organizations to help individuals and families increase their cash income; and (3) provide the organization name or position title that is responsible for overseeing the CoC's strategy to increase job and income growth from employment.	4	4
3A-6. System Performance Measures Data Submission in HDX. Applicants must enter the date the CoC submitted the System Performance Measures data in HDX, which included the data quality section for FY 2017. (mm/dd/yyyy)	6	6
3B. Continuum of Care (CoC) Performance and Strategic Planning Objectives		
3B-2.2. Applicants must: (1) describe the CoC's current strategy to rapidly rehouse every household of families with children within 30 days of becoming homeless; (2) describe how the CoC addresses both housing and service needs to ensure families successfully maintain their housing once assistance ends; and (3) provide the organization name or position title responsible for overseeing the CoCs strategy to rapidly rehouse families with children within 30 days of becoming homeless.	3	3
4A. Continuum of Care (CoC) Accessing Mainstream Benefits and Additional Policies		
4A-2. Housing First: Applicants must report: (1) total number of new and renewal CoC Program Funded PSH, RRH, SSO non-coordinated entry, Safe-Haven, and Transitional Housing projects the CoC is applying for in FY 2018 CoC Program Competition; and (2) total number of new and renewal CoC Program Funded PSH, RRH, SSO non-coordinated entry, Safe-Haven, and Transitional Housing projects the CoC is applying for in FY 2018 CoC Program Competition that have adopted the Housing First approach–meaning that the project quickly houses clients without preconditions or service participation requirements.	7	7
4A-3. Street Outreach. Applicants must: (1) describe the CoC's outreach; (2) state whether the CoC's Street Outreach covers 100	3	3

1. High Priority CoC Application Questions		
CoC Application Questions	**Maximum Score Available**	**CoC Score Received**
percent of the CoC's geographic area; (3) describe how often the CoC conducts street outreach; and (4) describe how the CoC tailored its street outreach to persons experiencing homelessness who are least likely to request assistance.		
4A-5. RRH Beds as Reported in the HIC. Applicants must report the total number of rapid rehousing beds available to serve all household types as reported in the Housing Inventory Count (HIC) for 2017 and 2018.	10	10

2. CoC Scoring Summary		
Scoring Category	Maximum Score (Points)	Your CoC Score (Points)
Part 1: CoC Structure and Governance	52	43.75
Part 2: Data Collection and Quality	49	48.5
Part 3: CoC Performance and Strategic Planning	77	67.5
Part 4: Cross-Cutting Policies	22	22
Total CoC Application Score	**200**	**181.75**

3. Overall Scores for all CoCs	
Highest Score for any CoC	190
Lowest Score for any CoC	47.75
Median Score for all CoCs	160
Weighted Mean Score* for all CoCs	166.75

*The weighted mean score is the mean CoC score weighted by Annual Renewal Demand. CoCs that scored higher than the weighted mean score were more likely to gain funding relative to their Annual Renewal Demand, while CoCs that scored lower than the weighted mean were more likely to lose money relative to their Annual Renewal Demand.